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Abstract

In this paper we study Petersen-colorings and strong Petersen-colorings on some well known families of snarks, e.g. Blanuša snarks, Goldberg snarks and flower snarks. In particular, it is shown that flower snarks have a Petersen-coloring but they do not have a strong Petersen-coloring. Furthermore it is proved that possible minimum counterexamples to Jaeger’s Petersen-coloring conjecture do not contain a specific subdivision of $K_{3,3}$.
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1 Introduction

We study finite graphs $G$ with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. If we distinguish an initial and a terminal end for every edge $e$, then we obtain a directed graph. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, the set of edges with initial end in $S$ and terminal end in $V(G) - S$ is denoted by $\omega^+_G(S)$. We write $\omega_G(S) = \omega^+_G(V(G) - S)$ and $\omega_G(S) = \omega^+_G(S) \cup \omega^-_G(S)$. If $S$ consists of a single vertex $v$ we also write $\omega_G(v)$ instead of $\omega_G(\{v\})$. Subsets of $E(G)$ of the form $\omega_G(S)$ for $S \subseteq V(G)$ are called cocycles of $G$. If $R \subseteq E(G)$, then $G[R]$ denotes the graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $R$.

Given graphs $G$ and $H$, we say that $f : E(G) \rightarrow E(H)$ is a $H$-coloring of $G$ if it is a proper edge-coloring and for every $v \in V(G)$ there exists a $v' \in V(H)$ such that $f(\omega_G(v)) \subseteq \omega_H(v')$. That is, adjacent edges in $G$ are mapped to adjacent edges in $H$. If $H$ is the Petersen graph, we say that $G$ has a Petersen-coloring.
Jaeger [6] studied nowhere-zero flow problems on graphs where the set of flow values are certain subsets of some Abelian group. He showed that a number of problems in graph theory such as the cycle double cover conjecture [9, 12] and Fulkerson’s conjecture [2] (i.e. that every bridgeless cubic graph has six perfect matchings such that every edge is in precisely two of them) can be formulated in terms of such flows. He posed the following conjecture which would imply both previously mentioned conjectures, and many others, see [8].

**Conjecture 1.1** (Petersen Coloring Conjecture [6]). *Every bridgeless cubic graph has a Petersen-coloring.*

In [5] an even more specific notion is introduced. Associate to $G$ a directed graph $dG$ with vertex set $V(dG) = V(G) \cup E(G)$, and to every edge $e = xy$ in $G$ correspond two directed edges $e_x$ and $e_y$ with initial end $e$ and terminal ends $x$ and $y$, respectively. We say $e_x$ is opposite to $e_y$ and vice versa. Let $G$ and $G'$ be two graphs. A mapping $\phi$ from $E(dG)$ to $E(dG')$ is compatible, if for any two opposite edges $e_1$ and $e_2$ in $dG$, $\phi(e_1)$ and $\phi(e_2)$ are opposite edges in $dG'$.

For a cubic graph $G$ the set of triples of edges of $dG$ of the form $\omega_{dG}(v)$ is denoted by $T^+(dG)$, where $v$ is a trivalent vertex in $dG$. $T^-(dG)$ is the set of triples of the form $\{e_1^-, e_2^-, e_3^-\}$ where $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\} \in T^+(dG)$ and $e_i^-$ is opposite to $e_i$.

Let $G$ and $G'$ be two cubic graphs. A $dG'$-coloring of $dG$ is a compatible mapping $\gamma$ from $E(dG)$ to $E(dG')$ which maps every triple of $T^+(dG)$ to a triple of $T^+(dG') \cup T^-(dG')$. For the particular case when $dG$ has a $dG'$-coloring and $G'$ is the Petersen graph, we say that $G$ is strongly Petersen-colorable.

Clearly, strongly Petersen-colorable graphs satisfy the Petersen-coloring conjecture and hence Fulkerson’s and the cycle double cover conjecture as well. Jaeger [5] noticed that moreover these graphs also satisfy Tutte’s 5-flow- and the orientable cycle double cover conjecture.

All these conjectures are trivially true for 3-edge-colorable cubic graphs. Hence we focus on bridgeless cubic graphs, which are not 3-edge-colorable; so called snarks. Snarks are of major interest in graph theory since they are potential counterexamples to many hard conjectures. Brinkmann et al. [1] generated all snarks with at most 36 vertices and they disproved a couple of conjectures concerning these graphs. The paper also gives an overview on conjectures which are related to snarks. In [11] it is shown that cubic graphs with high cyclic connectivity have a nowhere-zero 5-flow. This result can also be considered as a first approximation to a conjecture of Jaeger and Swart [7] who conjectured that every cyclically 7-edge connected cubic graph has a nowhere-zero 4-flow.

The paper is organized as follows. The next section delivers Jaeger’s characterizations of Petersen-colorable and strongly Petersen-colorable graphs, [5]. We show that type 1 Blanuša snarks have a strong Petersen-coloring while flower snarks do not have such a coloring. We study the structure of a minimum counterexample to the Petersen-coloring conjecture and finally we show that the flower-, the Goldberg-, and all Blanuša snarks have a Petersen-coloring.

## 2 Normal 5-edge-colorings

Let $G$ be a cubic graph and $\phi : E(G) \to \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be a proper 5-edge-coloring. An edge $e = xy$ in $G$ is *poor* if $|\phi(\omega(x)) \cup \phi(\omega(y))| = 3$ and it is *rich* if $|\phi(\omega(x)) \cup \phi(\omega(y))| = 4$.
5. If every edge in $G$ is either rich or poor, then $\phi$ is a normal 5-edge-coloring. Jaeger characterizes Petersen-colorable and strongly Petersen-colorable graphs in terms of normal 5-edge-colorings.

**Theorem 2.1.** [5] A cubic graph is Petersen-colorable if and only if it has a normal 5-edge-coloring.

**Theorem 2.2.** [5] A cubic graph is strongly Petersen-colorable if and only if it has a normal 5-edge-coloring, and the set of poor edges forms a cocycle.

If $\phi$ is a normal 5-edge-coloring of a graph $G$, such that the set of poor edges forms a cocycle, then we call $\phi$ a strong normal 5-edge-coloring. Jaeger [5] stated that cubic graphs with strong normal 5-edge-coloring do not contain a triangle (cf. Proposition 4.1).

3 Strong Petersen-colorings

3.1 Blanuša snarks

The generalized Blanuša snarks were introduced by Watkins in [13]. Let $A$ be the graph formed by removing two adjacent vertices from the Petersen graph. The generalized Blanuša snarks of type 1 are formed by joining $n$ copies of the graph $A$ as depicted in Figure 1 and one copy of the graph $P_2$.

![Figure 1: The generalized Blanuša snark of type 1.](image)

**Theorem 3.1.** Every generalized Blanuša snark of type 1 with an odd number of $A$-blocks is strongly Petersen-colorable.

**Proof.** Let $G_{2n-1}$ be a Blanuša snark of type 1 formed by blocks $A_1, \ldots, A_{2n+1}, P_2$ and let $\phi$ be the coloring of the even respectively odd blocks as shown in Figure 2. Then it is easy to see that $\phi$ is a normal edge-coloring where the set of poor edges is the set $\cup\{\omega(V(A_i))\}_{i=1}^{2n}$ and hence a cocycle. It now follows from Theorem 2.2 that $G_{2n-1}$ is strongly Petersen-colorable.

3.2 Flower snarks

In this section we will show that flower snarks do not have a strong Petersen-coloring.

Let $G$ be a graph which has a normal 5-edge-coloring. We first study possible partitions of the edge set of $C_6$ (the cycle of length 6) into rich and poor edges. We denote the set of rich edges with $R$. 
Lemma 3.2. Let $G$ be a cubic graph that has a strong normal 5-edge-coloring. If $C_6$ is a subgraph of $G$, then the connected components of $C_6[\overline{E(C_6) \cap R}]$ are either $C_6$ or two paths of length 2 or two isolated edges and two isolated vertices or six isolated vertices.

Proof. Let $G$ be a cubic graph that has a strong normal 5-edge-coloring $\phi$, and that contains $C_6$ as a subgraph. Then the set of poor edges forms a cocycle by Theorem 2.2 and therefore, it partitions $V(G)$ into two sets $S$ and $S'$ such that the following two conditions are satisfied:

C1: If $e = vw$ is a poor edge, then $v \in S$ if and only if $w \in S'$.

C2: If $e = vw$ is a rich edge, then either $v, w \in S$ or $v, w \not\in S$.

Taken into account these two conditions, it is easy to see that the following claim is true.

Claim 3.1. The number of rich (poor) edges in $C_6$ is even.

Let the edges of $C_6$ be labeled as indicated in Figure 3.

Claim 3.2. The rich edges do not induce a path of length 4.
Proof. Assume that \(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\) are rich. W.l.o.g. we may assume that \(\phi(e_1) = 1, \phi(f_1) = 2, \phi(e_6) = 3, \phi(f_2) = 4, \phi(e_2) = 5\). Then \(\phi(f_3), \phi(e_3), \phi(f_4), \phi(e_4) \neq 5\). Hence \(5 \in \{\phi(f_5), \phi(e_5)\}\). But on the other hand \(\{\phi(e_5), \phi(f_5)\} = \{1, 3\}\) or \(\{2, 3\}\), a contradiction.

Claim 3.3. The rich edges do not induce a path of length 3 and an isolated edge in \(C_6\).

Proof. Assume that \(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_5\) are rich. W.l.o.g. we may assume that \(\phi(e_1) = 1, \phi(f_1) = 2, \phi(e_6) = 3, \phi(f_2) = 4, \phi(e_2) = 5\). This implies that \(\{\phi(e_4), \phi(f_3)\} = \{1, 4\}\) and \(\{\phi(e_4), \phi(f_5)\} = \{4, 5\}\); hence \(\phi(e_4) = 4\). Thus \(\phi(f_5) = 5 = \phi(f_4)\), a contradiction.

Claim 3.4. The rich edges do not induce precisely one path of length 2 in \(C_6\).

Proof. Assume that \(e_1, e_2\) are rich. W.l.o.g. we may assume that \(\phi(e_1) = 1, \phi(f_1) = 2, \phi(e_6) = 3, \phi(f_2) = 4, \phi(e_2) = 5\). This implies that \(3 \in \{\phi(f_5), \phi(e_4)\}\) and \(5 \in \{\phi(e_4), \phi(f_4)\}\). On the other hand we have that \(\{\phi(e_5), \phi(e_6), \phi(f_5)\} = \{1, 2, 3\}\) and hence \(5 \notin \{\phi(e_4), \phi(f_5)\}\). But then \(\phi(e_4) = 3, \phi(f_4) = 5\) and therefore \(5 \in \{\phi(e_6), \phi(f_5)\}\), a contradiction.

For the further study we will go a little bit more into the details of possible (strong) normal 5-edge-colorings.

Lemma 3.3. Let \(G\) be a cubic graph that has a normal 5-edge-coloring \(\phi\). If \(C_6\) is a subgraph of \(G\) and all its edges are rich, then \(E(C_6)\) is partitioned into three color classes, say \(\phi^{-1}(1), \phi^{-1}(2), \phi^{-1}(3)\), such that \(e_i, e_{i+3} \in \phi^{-1}(i)\), for \(i = 1, 2, 3\).

Proof. Clearly, at least three colors appear at the edges of \(C_6\) since for otherwise there are two edges of the same color with distance 1, contradicting the fact that all edges are rich.

If more than three colors appear at the edges of \(C_6\), then there is a path of length 4, say \(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\), whose edges are colored pairwise differently, say \(\phi(e_i) = i\). W.l.o.g. we may assume that \(\phi(f_2) = 4\) and \(\phi(f_3) = 5\). Thus \(\phi(f_4) = 1\), and since all edges are rich, it follows that \(\{\phi(e_5), \phi(f_5)\} = \{2, 5\}\), \(\{\phi(e_6), \phi(f_1)\} = \{3, 5\}\), and hence \(\{\phi(e_6), \phi(f_6)\} = \{1, 3\}\) and \(\{\phi(e_5), \phi(f_6)\} = \{2, 4\}\), a contradiction. It is easy to see that a coloring as stated in the claim exists.

Lemma 3.4. Let \(G\) be a cubic graph that contains \(C_6\) as a subgraph and \(\phi\) be a strong normal 5-edge-coloring. If precisely two edges of \(C_6\) are rich, then they receive the same color.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that there are two non-isomorphic distributions of the rich edges.

1) The distance between the rich edges in \(C_6\) is 2. Assume that \(e_1, e_4\) are rich. W.l.o.g. we may assume that \(\phi(e_1) = 1, \phi(f_1) = 2, \phi(e_6) = 3, \phi(f_2) = 4, \phi(e_2) = 5\). Assume to the contrary \(\phi(e_4) \neq 1\).

Case 1: \(\phi(e_5) = 1\). Then it follows that \(\phi(f_3) = 1\) and \(\phi(f_4) = 1\), contradicting the fact that \(e_4\) is rich.

Case 2: \(\phi(e_5) \neq 1\), i.e. \(\phi(e_5) = 2\), and hence \(\phi(f_6) = \phi(f_5) = 1\) and \(\phi(e_4) = 3\). But \(3 \notin \{\phi(e_2), \phi(f_3)\}\), a contradiction.

2) The distance between the rich edges in \(C_6\) is 1. Assume that \(e_1, e_3\) are rich. W.l.o.g. we may assume that \(\phi(e_1) = 1, \phi(f_1) = 2, \phi(e_6) = 3, \phi(f_2) = 4, \phi(e_2) = 5\). Assume to the contrary \(\phi(e_3) \neq 1\). Then \(\phi(e_3) = 4\) and hence \(4 \in \{\phi(e_5), \phi(f_5)\}\), and therefore
in any case $4 \in \{\phi(e_5), \phi(f_6), \phi(e_6)\}$. But on the other hand $\{\phi(e_5), \phi(f_6), \phi(e_6)\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$, a contradiction.

\[\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{figure4.png}
\caption{$C_6^*$}
\end{figure}\]

Let $C_6^*$ be the graph of Figure 4 without the edges $f_1, f_3, f_4, f_6$. Our objective is to reduce the number of non-isomorphic partitions of the edge set of $C_6^*$ into rich and poor edges to the five partitions shown in Figure 5.

\[\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.8\textwidth]{figure5.png}
\caption{Five types of non-isomorphic partitions of $E(C_6^*)$ into rich and poor edges. (The rich edges are bold.)}
\end{figure}\]

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $G$ be a cubic graph that has a strong normal 5-edge-coloring. If $C_6^*$ is a subgraph of $G$ and $E_p, E_r$ is a partition of the edges of $E(C_6^*)$ into poor and rich edges, then this partition is isomorphic to one of the types in Figure 5.

**Proof.** The result follows by case checking along the number $r$ of rich edges in $C_6^*$. Let the edges of $C_6^*$ be labeled as in Figure 4. It contains three $C_6$ - with edge sets $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_6\}$, $\{e_1, f_2, f_0, f_5, e_5, e_6\}$, and $\{e_2, e_3, e_4, f_5, f_0, f_2\}$ - which share pairwise a path of length 3.
$r = 0$: We obtain a partition of type $A$ of Figure 5.

$r = 1$: Then there is a $C_6$ with an odd number of rich edges, contradicting Lemma 3.2.

$r = 2$: By Lemma 3.2 any of the three $C_6$ has either no rich edge or two rich edges, which induce two isolated edges. Now it is easy to see that type $B$ of Figure 5 is the only solution (up to isomorphism).

$r = 3$: By Lemma 3.2 any of the three $C_6$ has two rich edges, which induce two isolated edges. It is easy to see that types $C$ and $D$ are the only possible solutions.

$r = 4$: The matching number of $C_6^*$ is 4. If $r = 4$ and the four rich edges induce a matching, then there is a $C_6$ that contains an odd number of rich edges, a contradiction. Thus, by Lemma 3.2, we can assume that there is a $C_6$ such that the rich edges induce two paths of length 2. The only realizable partition is of type $E$ of Figure 5 (up to isomorphism).

$r = 5 \leq r \leq 8$: It is easy to see that Lemma 3.2 can not be satisfied for all three $C_6$ of $C_6^*$.

$r = 9$: In this case, we obtain a contradiction to Lemma 3.3.

The following lemma easily follows from Lemma 3.4.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let $G$ be a cubic graph that has a strong normal 5-edge-coloring. If $C_6^*$ is a subgraph of $G$ and the edges of $E(C_6^*)$ are partitioned into poor and rich edges as shown in Figure 5 $B$, $C$ or $D$, then the three rich edges receive the same color.

The flower snarks are invented by Isaacs [4]. They are cyclically 6-edge connected and have girth 6, if $k \geq 3$. For $k \geq 1$, the flower snark $J_{2k+1}$ has vertex set $V(J_{2k+1}) = \{a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i | i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2k\}$ and edge set $E(J_{2k+1}) = \{b_ia_i, b_ic_i, b_id_i; a_ia_{i+1}; c_id_{i+1}; d_ic_{i+1} | i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2k\}$ (indices are added modulo $2k + 1$).

**Theorem 3.7.** For every $k \geq 1$, the flower snark $J_{2k+1}$ is not strongly Petersen-colorable.

![Figure 6: Substructure of $J_{2k+1}$](image)

**Proof.** We show that the flower snarks do not have a strong normal 5-edge-coloring. Then the result follows with Theorem 2.2. Assume to the contrary that $J_{2k+1}$ has a strong normal 5-edge-coloring $\phi$. Let $C_6^*$ be the graph as indicated in Figure 4. The flower snark $J_{2k+1}$ can be considered as the union of $2k + 1$ copies $D_0, \ldots, D_{2k}$ of $C_6^*$, where $D_i$ and $D_{i+1}$ share precisely the subgraph which is induced by one vertex of degree 3 and its neighbors (indices are added modulo $2k + 1$); see Figure 6. By Lemma 3.5, the five partitions of the edges of $C_6^*$ shown in Figure 5 are the only non-isomorphic types of possible partitions of the edges of $C_6^*$ into rich and poor edges.

1) There is $i \in \{0, \ldots, 2k\}$ such that $D_i$ is of type $E$. Since $D_i$ shares with $D_{i+1}$ a vertex of degree 3 with its three incident edges, it follows that $D_{i+1}$ is of type $E$ as well.
Hence all $D_i$ are of type $E$ and therefore all edges of the inner cycle of length $2k + 1$ are poor, contradicting our assumption, that $J_{2k+1}$ has a strong normal 5-edge-coloring. Thus all $D_i$ are not of type $E$.

2) There is $i \in \{0 \ldots 2k\}$ such that $D_i$ is of type $D$. Then $D_{i+1}$ can be of any other type different from $E$. We may assume that the edge $b_ic_i$ is rich. Hence $c_{i-1}d_i$ and $a_{i-1}a_i$ are rich, too. All the other edges of $D_i$ are poor. If $D_{i+1}$ is of type $C$ or $D$, then it follows, that two different rich edges, one of $D_i$ and one of $D_{i+1}$ are adjacent. By Lemma 3.6, they all have the same color, contradicting the fact that $\phi$ is a coloring. Thus $D_{i+1}$ is of type $B$.

On the other hand, $D_{i-1}$ shares with $D_i$ the vertex $b_{i-1}$ of degree 3 which is incident to three poor edges. As above, it follows that $D_{i-1}$ cannot be of type $D$; thus it is of type $A$. Since the number of the $D_i$ is odd it follows that the types $A$, $B$, $C$ and $D$ cannot combined to get a coloring of $J_{2k+1}$. Thus all $D_i$ are not of type $D$.

3) There is $i \in \{0 \ldots 2k\}$ such that $D_i$ is of type $A$. Since $D_i$ shares with $D_{i+1}$ a vertex of degree 3 with its three incident edges, it follows that $D_{i+1}$ is of type $A$ as well. Not all $D_j$ can be of type $A$ since then $J_{2k+1}$ has no rich edges and therefore it is 3-edge-colorable, a contradiction. Thus all $D_i$ are of type $B$ or $C$.

4) There is $i \in \{0 \ldots 2k\}$ such that $D_i$ is of type $B$ or $C$. It follows that $D_{i+1}$ is of type $B$ or $C$. It turns out, that in any case the two rich edges which are adjacent to the trivalent vertices $b_i$ and $b_{i+1}$ are of the form $b_ic_i$, $b_{i+1}d_{i+1}$ or $b_id_i$, $b_{i+1}c_{i+1}$. This implies that eventually two edges $b_jc_j$ and $bjd_j$ are rich, contradicting the fact that every $D_i$ is of type $B$ or $C$.

Since the five types of Figure 5 are the only possible strong normal 5-edge-colorings of $C_6^*$ and no combination of them yields a strong normal 5-edge-coloring of $J_{2k+1}$, it follows with Theorem 2.2 that $J_{2k+1}$ has no strong Petersen-coloring.

4 Structure of a possible minimum counterexample to the Petersen-coloring conjecture

Jaeger [6] showed that a possible minimum counterexample to the Petersen-coloring conjecture must be cyclically 4-edge connected snark.

If $G$ contains a triangle, then let $G^-$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by contracting the triangle to a single vertex. Clearly, every normal 5-edge-coloring of $G^-$ can be extended to one of $G$. On the hand, if a cubic graph $G$ has a normal 5-edge-coloring then this coloring can be extended to any graph which is obtained from $G$ by expanding a vertex to a triangle. The following proposition is a reformulation of Proposition 15 in [5].

**Lemma 4.1.** Let $\phi$ be a normal 5-edge-coloring of a bridgeless cubic graph $G$. If there is an edge $e$ which is contained in a triangle, then $e$ is poor.

**Proof.** Let $e_1 = v_1v_2$, $e_2 = v_2v_3$, $e_3 = v_3v_1$ be the edges of a triangle $T$ in $G$ and let $f_i$ be the edge which is incident to $v_i$ and not an edge of $T$. Assume that $e_1$ is rich, then $|\phi(\omega(v_1))| \cup |\phi(\omega(v_2))| = 5$ and hence $e_1$, $e_2$, $e_3$, $f_1$, $f_2$ and $f_3$ have to receive pairwise different colors; contradicting the fact that $\phi$ is a 5-edge-coloring.

Consider $K^*_3,3$ with partition sets $\{u, v, w\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Let $K^*_3,3$ be the graph obtained from $K^*_3,3$ by subdividing the edges $uv_i$ and $wv_i$ by vertices $u_i$ and $w_i$, respectively. Graph $K^*_3,3$ is shown in Figure 7.
It is easy to see that the statements of this section are also true if we consider Fulkerson-colorings (i.e. a cover with six perfect matchings such that every edge is contained in precisely two of them) instead of Petersen-colorings.

**Theorem 4.2.** If $G$ is a minimum counterexample to the Petersen-coloring conjecture (or to the Fulkerson conjecture), then it does not contain $K_{3,3}^*$ as a subgraph.

**Proof.** Let $\phi$ be a normal 5-edge-coloring of $G$, and assume that $K_{3,3}^*$ is a subgraph of $G$. Remove the vertices $u$ and $w$ and add edges $u_iw_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, to obtain a cubic graph $G'$. Since $G$ is cyclically 4-edge connected it follows that $G'$ is bridgeless. Thus $G'$ has a normal 5-edge-coloring $\phi'$ by induction hypothesis. Since $u_i, v_i, w_i$ span a triangle in $G'$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$), it follows by Lemma 4.1 that edge $u_iw_i$ receives the same color as $vv_i$. Thus $\phi'$ is extendable to a normal 5-edge-coloring of $G$, a contradiction. The statement follows with Theorem 2.1. The proof for the Fulkerson conjecture is similar. \qed

This also yields a method to generated cubic graphs with normal 5-edge-colorings from smaller ones (with normal 5-edge-coloring). Let $v$ be a vertex of a cubic graph with normal 5-edge-coloring $\phi$, and let $w_1, w_2, w_3$ be the neighbors of $v$. Expand $w_i$ to a triangles $T_i$ with vertex set $\{w_{i,1}, w_{i,2}, w_{i,3}\}$ such that $v, w_{i,1}$ are incident, to obtain a graph $G_1$. Then $\phi$ can be extended to a normal 5-edge-coloring $\phi_1$ on $G_1$. By Lemma 4.1 it follows that $\phi_1(vw_{i,1}) = \phi_1(w_{i,2}w_{i,3})$. Hence edges $w_{i,2}w_{i,3}$ can be removed and two vertices can be added so that we obtain a $K_{3,3}^*$ as a subgraph and a normal 5-edge-coloring of the new graph.

We will use this fact, to prove Conjecture 1.1 for flower snarks.

## 5 Petersen-colorings for some families of snarks

### 5.1 Flower snarks

If a cubic graph $G$ contains a $K_{3,3}^*$ and we reduce it to a smaller graph $G'$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, then $G'$ contains three triangles. If we contract these three triangles to single vertices we obtain a new cubic graph $G^*$ that has 8 vertices less than $G$. Let us say that $G$ is $K_{3,3}^*$-reducible to $G^*$. Theorem 4.2 can be reformulated as follows:
**Theorem 5.1.** Let $G$ be a cubic graph that is $K^*_3,3$-reducible to a graph $H$. If $H$ has a Petersen-coloring, then $G$ has a Petersen-coloring.

The following lemma is a simple consequence of Lemma 4.2 of [10].

**Lemma 5.2.** For $k \geq 1$, the flower snark $J_{2k+3}$ is $K^*_3,3$-reducible to $J_{2k+1}$.

Since $J_3$ can be reduced to the Petersen graph by contracting the triangle to a single vertex, Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 imply the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.3.** For all $k \geq 1$, the flower snark $J_{2k+1}$ has a Petersen-coloring.

### 5.2 Goldberg snarks

Let $k \geq 5$ be an odd integer. The Goldberg snark $G_k$ is formed from $k$ copies $B_1, \ldots, B_k$ of the graph $B$ in Figure 8 and the edges $\{a_ia_{i+1}, c_ib_{i+1}, e_id_{i+1}\}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ where indices are added modulo $k$.

![Figure 8: A block $B$ in the Goldberg snark.](image)

**Theorem 5.4.** Every Goldberg snark $G_k$, where $k \geq 5$ is odd, has a Petersen-coloring.

**Proof.** Let $G_k$ be a Goldberg snark. Then $G_k$ can be constructed from one 3-block (see Figure 10) and $\frac{k-3}{2}$ 2-blocks (see Figure 9). Using the normal 5-edge-colorings provided in Figure 9 and 10 it is easy to see that it will give a normal 5-edge-coloring of $G_k$.

### 5.3 Blanuša snarks

Let $G$ be a Blanuša snark of type 1 as defined in Section 3.1. If we color the blocks $A_1, \ldots, A_{r-1}$ as in Figure 11 and $A_r$ and $C_1$ as in Figure 12 and 13, it is easy to see that we have a normal edge coloring of all such graphs.

The generalized Blanuša snarks of type 2 are formed by joining $r$ copies of $A$ and one copy of $C_2$ (see Figure 14). Once again it is straightforward to see that all such graphs have normal edges colorings by coloring $A_1, \ldots, A_{r-2}$ as in Figure 11, $A_{r-1}$ as in Figure 13 and finally $C_2$ as in Figure 14.

From this we get the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.5.** All generalized Blanuša snarks of type 1 and 2 have Petersen-colorings.
Figure 9: A 2-block in the Goldberg snark with a normal 5-edge-coloring.

Figure 10: A 3-block in the Goldberg snark with a normal 5-edge-coloring.

Figure 11: Block $A_i$ in the generalized Blanuša snark.
Figure 12: Block $A_r$ in the generalized Blanuša snark.

Figure 13: Block $P_2$ in the generalized Blanuša snark.

Figure 14: Block $C_2$ in the generalized Blanuša snark.
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