Integral representations for binomial sums of chances of winning
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Abstract

Addona, Wagon and Wilf (Ars Math. Contemp. 4 (1) (2011), 29-62) examined a problem about the winning chances in tossing unbalanced coins. Here we present some integral representations associated with such winning probabilities in a more general setting via using certain Fourier transform method. When our newly introduced parameters \((r, d)\) are set to be \((0, 1)\), one of our results reduces to the main formula in the above reference.
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1 Introduction: How to make it fair and fun?

One of the final two papers published by Herbert S. Wilf (1932-2012) is a joint work with Addona and Wagon entitled “How to lose as little as possible”, which investigates an intriguing problem of disadvantaged player Alice competing with Bob [1]:
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Suppose Alice has a coin with probability of heads equal to $q$ ($0 < q < 1$), Bob has a different coin with probability of heads equal to $p$ ($0 < p < 1$), and that $q < p$. They toss their coins independently $n$ times each. The rule says that Alice wins if and only if she gets strictly more heads than Bob does. Clearly, in the above setting Alice’s odds of winning are

$$P(S_n > T_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} p^j (1-p)^{n-j} \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} \binom{n}{k} q^k (1-q)^{n-k},$$

(1.1)

where the random variable $S_n$ (resp. $T_n$) stands for the number of heads that Alice gets (resp. Bob gets) after $n$ tosses.

For convenience, let

$$f(n) = f(n, p, q) = P(S_n > T_n).$$

(1.2)

In search of the choice of $n$ that maximizes Alice’s chances of winning, it is shown in [1] that $f(n)$ is essentially unimodal, and sharp bounds on the turning point $N(q, p)$ are given. Their analysis uses the multivariate form of Zeilberger’s algorithm [2]. In particular, one of the main results of [1] that provides a key role in the proof of unimodality and in the derivation of the turning point is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** With $f(n)$ defined above,

$$\frac{f(n+1) - f(n)}{((1-p)(1-q))^{n+1}} = (y + \frac{1}{2}(1+xy))\phi_n(xy) - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{n+1}(xy),$$

(1.3)

where $x = p/(1-p)$, $y = q/(1-q)$, $\phi_n(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} z^j = (1-z)^n P_n(\frac{1+z}{1-z})$, and $P_n(u)$ is the classical Legendre polynomial:

$$P_n(u) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi (u + \sqrt{u^2 - 1} \cos t)^n dt.$$

(Note that $|u| = |\frac{1+xy}{1-xy}| > 1$ for $xy \in (0, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$; the case $xy = 1$ yields $p = 1 - q$ and (1.3) may be verified directly from (1.1) without using the Legendre polynomial.)

Explicitly, the numerator of the left hand side of (1.3), which is the essential part, may be expressed via

$$f(n+1) - f(n) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \psi^n(t) (q - pq - \sqrt{pq(1-p)(1-q)} \cos t) dt,$$

(1.4)

where $\psi(t) = 1 - p - q + 2pq + 2\sqrt{pq(1-p)(1-q)} \cos t$. In fact, the above expression (1.4) is found by first using the multivariate form of Zeilberger’s algorithm and then proved mathematically (with ease once the formula is found). Also, it follows from (1.4) that the probability for Alice to win with $n$ tosses is

$$P(S_n > T_n) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{1 - \psi^n(t)}{1 - \psi(t)} (q - pq - \sqrt{pq(1-p)(1-q)} \cos t) dt.$$

To be fair and fun, here in this paper we consider a more general setting. Since Alice has a weaker coin, why should not she toss it for $r$ more times than Bob does? And if
that becomes the fact, maybe we should investigate the chances for Alice to get at least \(d (d \geq 1)\) more heads than Bob does.

Now formally, let \(S_n \sim \text{Bin}(n, q)\) and \(T_m \sim \text{Bin}(m, p)\). Suppose Alice tosses her coin \(n + r (r \geq 0)\) times and Bob tosses his \(n\) times, and that Alice wins iff she gets at least \(d (d \geq 1)\) more heads than Bob does. Under the new rule, the probability for Alice to win is

\[
P(S_{n+r} \geq T_n + d) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j} p^j (1-p)^{n-j} \sum_{k \geq j+d} \binom{n+r}{k} q^k (1-q)^{n+r-k}.
\]

For convenience, we let

\[
f_{r,d}(n) = f_{r,d}(n, p, q) = P(S_{n+r} \geq T_n + d).
\]

(Apparently, the function \(f(n)\) in this setting is \(f_{0,1}(n)\).

In order to study the turning point, investigations of the difference \(f_{r,d}(n+1) - f_{r,d}(n)\) are needed. In Section 2 we introduce probabilistic preliminaries with Fourier analysis blended. In Section 3 we provide several representations of the difference function based on certain trigonometric integrals.

Throughout this work we adopt the commonly used convention for the generalized binomial coefficients: for \(\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, j \in \mathbb{Z}\),

\[
\binom{\alpha}{j} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-j+1)}{j!}, & \text{if } j \geq 1; \\
1, & \text{if } j = 0; \\
0, & \text{if } j < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

### 2 Probabilistic analysis: Lens of Fourier method

To attack on \(f_{r,d}(n+1) - f_{r,d}(n)\), we adopt the Fourier analysis approach used in [4], where the special case \(p = q\) has been studied.

The following known fact [3, p. 95] will be useful in our studies. Let \(Z\) be an integer-valued random variable. It holds that

\[
P(Z = k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi_Z(t)e^{-ikt}dt,
\]

where \(\varphi_Z(t)\) is the characteristic function of \(Z\).

**Lemma 2.1.** For any \(r, d, n \in \mathbb{N}\),

\[
f_{r,d}(n+1) - f_{r,d}(n) = \sum_{j=0}^{r} (q(1-p)P(T_n - S_n = k = j + 1 - d) - p(1-q)P(T_n - S_n = k = j - d))P(S_r = j).
\]

**Proof.** For convenience, let \(g(n, k) := P(T_n - S_n = k = 0)\). Note that

\[
f_{r,d}(n) = P(S_{n+r} \geq T_n + d) = P(S'_r \geq T_n - S_n + d) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} g(n, k)P(S'_r \geq k + d).
\]
Here in this proof technically $S_r'$ is independent of $S_n$ and has the same distribution as $S_r$. Similarly,

$$f_{r,d}(n + 1) = \mathbb{P}(S'_r \geq T_n - S_n + Y_1 - X_1 + d)$$

$$= \sum_{k=-n}^{n} g(n, k) \mathbb{P}(S'_r \geq Y_1 - X_1 + k + d).$$

Comparing the two formulae above, we arrive at

$$f_{r,d}(n + 1) - f_{r,d}(n)$$

$$= \sum_{k=-n}^{n} g(n, k)(p(1 - q)\mathbb{P}(S'_r \geq k + d + 1) + q(1 - p)\mathbb{P}(S'_r \geq k + d - 1)$$

$$+ (2pq - p - q)\mathbb{P}(S'_r \geq k + d))$$

$$= \sum_{k=-n}^{n} g(n, k)(q(1 - p)\mathbb{P}(S'_r = k + d - 1) - p(1 - q)\mathbb{P}(S'_r = k + d))$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{r} (q(1 - p)g(n, j + 1 - d) - p(1 - q)g(n, j - d))\mathbb{P}(S'_r = j).$$

\hfill \Box

**Corollary 2.2.** For any $r, d, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_{r,d}(n + 1) - f_{r,d}(n) =$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi^n(t) \sum_{j=0}^{r} (\mathbb{P}(S_r = j)(q(1 - p)e^{-i(j+1-d)t} - p(1 - q)e^{-i(j-d)t}))dt,$$

where

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi(t, p, q) := Ee^{it(Y_1 - X_1)}$$

$$= (1 - p + pe^{it})(1 - q + qe^{-it})$$

$$= 1 - p - q + 2pq + (p + q - 2pq) \cos t + i(p - q) \sin t$$

is the characteristic function of $Y_1 - X_1$ with that $Y_1 \sim \text{Bin}(1, p)$ and $X_1 \sim \text{Bin}(1, q)$.

**Proof.** This is an immediate consequence of (2.1) and Lemma 2.1. \hfill \Box

**Corollary 2.3.** More specifically, for $r = 0, d = 1$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f_{0,1}(n + 1) - f_{0,1}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi^n(t)(q(1 - p) - p(1 - q)e^{it})dt. \quad (2.2)$$

In the case $r = 0$ and $d = 1$, the formula of Corollary 2.2 reduces to the formula by Addona et al [1] as will be shown in Example 3.3.
3 Integral representations

The difference \( f_{r,d}(n+1) - f_{r,d}(n) \) may be evaluated directly. In fact it depends on certain trigonometric integrals. Before we proceed the following fact is needed.

**Lemma 3.1.** For all nonnegative integers \( a, b, c \), let
\[
J(a, b, c) = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^a t \sin^b t \cos(ct) dt
\]
and
\[
K(a, b, c) = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^a t \sin^b t \sin(ct) dt.
\]
Then
\[
J(a, b, c) = 2\pi \sum_s \frac{(-1)^{b/2+s}}{2a+b+1} \binom{b}{s} \left[ \left( \frac{a}{(a+b-c)/2-s} \right) + \left( \frac{a}{(a+b+c)/2-s} \right) \right]
\]
\[
K(a, b, c) = 2\pi \sum_s \frac{(-1)^{(b-1)/2+s}}{2a+b+1} \binom{b}{s} \left[ \left( \frac{a}{(a+b-c)/2-s} \right) - \left( \frac{a}{(a+b+c)/2-s} \right) \right],
\]
where for convenience we assume that \((-1)^u = 0\) if \( u \notin \mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof.** Note that \( J(a, b, c) = 0 \) whenever \( b \) is odd, and that
\[
\int_0^{2\pi} e^{itm} dt = \begin{cases} 2\pi, & \text{if } m = 0; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]
Hence we have
\[
J(a, b, c) = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^a t \sin^b t \cos(ct) dt
\]
\[
= \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \frac{e^{it} + e^{-it}}{2} \right)^a \left( \frac{e^{it} - e^{-it}}{2i} \right)^b (\frac{e^{ict} + e^{-ict}}{2}) dt
\]
\[
= \sum_{l,s} \frac{(-1)^{b-s}}{2a+b+1} \binom{b}{s} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{a}{l} e^{ilt-(a-l)} \binom{b}{s} e^{its-ib} (\frac{e^{ict} + e^{-ict}}{2}) dt
\]
\[
= \sum_{l,s} \frac{(-1)^{b/2-s} \binom{a}{l}}{2a+b+1} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it(2l-a+2s-b-c)} dt + \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it(2l-a+2s-b-c)} dt \right)
\]
\[
= 2\pi \sum_s \frac{(-1)^{b/2+s}}{2a+b+1} \binom{b}{s} \left[ \left( \frac{a}{(a+b-c)/2-s} \right) + \left( \frac{a}{(a+b+c)/2-s} \right) \right].
\]
A similar calculation yields the result for \( K(a, b, c) \). \( \square \)

Now we rewrite Corollary 2.2 in a more explicit form:

**Theorem 3.2.** For any \( r, d, n \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
f_{r,d}(n+1) - f_{r,d}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi^n(t) \sum_{j=0}^r \left( \begin{array}{c} r \\ j \end{array} \right) q^j(1-q)^{r-j}(q(1-p) \cos(j+1-d)t - p(1-q) \cos(j-d)t - q(1-p)i \sin(j+1-d)t + p(1-q)i \sin(j-d)t) dt,
\]
where
\[
\varphi(t) = 1 - p - q + 2pq + (p + q - 2pq) \cos t + i(p - q) \sin t.
\]
Note that by Theorem 3.2, \( f_{r,d}(n + 1) - f_{r,d}(n) \) reduces to
\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{r} \binom{r}{j} q^{j} (1 - q)^{r-j} (I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4)
\]
where the integrals \( I_k \)'s \((1 \leq k \leq 4)\) are defined as,
\[
I_1 = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi^n(t) q(1 - p) \cos(j + 1 - d) t \, dt \\
I_2 = - \int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi^n(t) p(1 - q) \cos(j - d) t \, dt \\
I_3 = - \int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi^n(t) q(1 - p) i \sin(j + 1 - d) t \, dt \\
I_4 = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi^n(t) p(1 - q) i \sin(j - d) t \, dt.
\]

All results reduce to such integrals. We shall represent \( f_{r,d}(n + 1) - f_{r,d}(n) \) by the “basis” \( \int_{0}^{2\pi} \cos^{2k} t \, dt \), \( k \geq 0 \). To do this, we partition the real parts of \( \varphi^n(t) q(1 - p) \cos(j + 1 - d) t \), etc, according to the “order” \( 2k \), i.e., those of the form constant times \( \cos^a t \sin^b t \cos^{ct} \) or \( \cos^a t \sin^b t \sin^{ct} \) where \( 2k - 1 \leq a + b \leq 2k \). The notation \([\cos^{2k} t]_f f\) means that in the expansion of \( f \), we group all “homogeneous” terms (of form \( \cos^a t \sin^b t \cos^{ct} \) or \( \cos^a t \sin^b t \sin^{ct} \)) where \( 2k - 1 \leq a + b \leq 2k \) and take the ratio of the integrals \( \frac{\sum_{a,b} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \cos^a t \sin^b t \cos(ct) \, dt}{\int_{0}^{2\pi} \cos^{2k} t \, dt} \) or \( \frac{\sum_{a,b} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \cos^a t \sin^b t \sin(ct) \, dt}{\int_{0}^{2\pi} \cos^{2k} t \, dt} \) as the corresponding coefficient. For example, based on Lemma 3.1, we have
\[
[\cos^{2k} t]_f (\cos^{2k-1-2m} t \sin^{2m} t \cos(ct)) = \frac{(2k)!!}{(2k - 1)!!} \sum_{s} \frac{(-1)^{m+s}}{2^{2k}} \binom{2m}{s} \left( \frac{2k - 1 - 2m}{(2k - 1 - c)/2 - s} \right) + \left( \frac{2k - 1 - 2m}{(2k - 1 + c)/2 - s} \right),
\]
and
\[
[\cos^{2k} t]_f (\cos^{2k-2m} t \sin^{2m} t \cos(ct)) = \frac{(2k)!!}{(2k - 1)!!} \sum_{s} \frac{(-1)^{m+s}}{2^{2k+1}} \binom{2m}{s} \left( \frac{2k - 2m}{(2k - c)/2 - s} \right) + \left( \frac{2k - 2m}{(2k + c)/2 - s} \right).
\]

Similarly we obtain the formulas for \([\cos^{2k} t]_f (\cos^{2k-2m} t \sin^{2m-1} t \sin(ct))\) and \([\cos^{2k} t]_f (\cos^{2k+1-2m} t \sin^{2m-1} t \sin(ct))\). Consequently, \([\cos^{2k} t]_f \{I_1\}\), etc, may be found and the following theorem follows. To keep the cleanness we omit the proof details.

**Theorem 3.3.** For \( r, d \in \mathbb{N} \),
\[
f_{r,d}(n + 1) - f_{r,d}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=0}^{r} \binom{r}{j} q^{j} (1 - q)^{r-j} \sum_{k} a_{n,k}(j, d) \int_{0}^{2\pi} \cos^{2k}(t) \, dt,
\]
where (i) \( a_{n,k}(j,d) = \)
\[
\frac{n!}{(2k)!(n+1-2k)!} \frac{(2k)!!(2^k)}{(k+(j-d+1)/2)!} (1-p-q+2pq)^{n-2k}(q(1-p)p(1-q))^k
\]
\[
(q^{-1}(1-p)^{-1}p(1-q))^{\frac{j-d}{2}} \{(k+(j-d+1)/2)p + (n+1-k+(j-d+1)/2)q - (n+2+j-d)pq - (k+(j-d+1)/2)\}, \text{ if } j - d \text{ is odd;}
\]
and (ii) \( a_{n,k}(j,d) = \)
\[
\frac{n!}{(2k)!(n+1-2k)!} \frac{(2k)!!(2^k)}{(k+(j-d)/2)!} (1-p-q+2pq)^{n-2k}(q(1-p)p(1-q))^k
\]
\[
(q^{-1}(1-p)^{-1}p(1-q))^{\frac{j-d}{2}} \{(-n-1+k+(j-d)/2)p - (k-(j-d)/2)q + (n+1-j+d)pq + k\}, \text{ if } j - d \text{ is even.}
\]

We conclude with three examples.

**Example 3.1.**
\[
f_{1,1}(n+1) - f_{1,1}(n) = \sum_k a_{n,k}(1,1) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{2k}(t)dt,
\]
where
\[
a_{n,k}(1,1) = \frac{n!}{(2k)!(n+1-2k)!} (1-p-q+2pq)^{n-2k}2^{2k}(1-q)
\]
\[
(pq(1-p)(1-q))^k((-n-1+k)p - kq + (n+1)pq + k).
\]

**Example 3.2.** For \( m \in \mathbb{N}, \)

(i) \( f_{0,2m}(n+1) - f_{0,2m}(n) = \sum_k a_{n,k}(0,2m) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{2k}(t)dt, \)
where \( a_{n,k}(0,2m) := \)
\[
\frac{n!}{(2k)!(n+1-2k)!} (1-p-q+2pq)^{n-2k} \frac{(2k)!!(\frac{2^k}{k+m})}{(k+m)!} (pq(1-p)(1-q))^k
\]
\[
(q(1-p)p^{-1}(1-q)^{-1})^{-m}((-n-1+k-m)p - (k-m)q + (n+1+2m)pq + k).
\]

(ii) \( f_{0,2m+1}(n+1) - f_{0,2m+1}(n) = \sum_k a_{n,k}(0,2m+1) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{2k}(t)dt, \)
where \( a_{n,k}(0,2m+1) := \)
\[
\frac{n!}{(2k)!(n+1-2k)!} (1-p-q+2pq)^{n-2k} \frac{(2k)!!(\frac{2^k}{k+m})}{(k+m)!} (pq(1-p)(1-q))^k
\]
\[
(q(1-p)p^{-1}(1-q)^{-1})^{-m}((k-m)p + (n+1-k-m)q + (n+1-2m)pq + m-k).
\]
Theorem 3.3 actually provides a perspective to generalize the Legendre type representations discussed in [1].

Finally we exhibit the equivalence of Corollary 2.3 and (1.4).

Example 3.3.

\[ f_{0,1}(n+1) - f_{0,1}(n) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \psi^n(t)(q - pq - \sqrt{pq(1-p)(1-q) \cos t})dt, \]

where \( \psi(t) = 1 - p - q + 2pq + 2\sqrt{pq(1-p)(1-q) \cos t} \).

**Proof.** In fact, specializing Theorem 3.3,

\[ f_{0,1}(n+1) - f_{0,1}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} a_{n,k}(0,1) \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{2k} t dt \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} a_{n,k}(0,1) \cos^{2k} t dt \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \psi^n(t)(q - pq - \sqrt{pq(1-p)(1-q) \cos t})dt. \]

Thus we have rediscovered (1.4).
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