

Group distance magic labeling of direct product of graphs

Marcin Anholcer

*Poznań University of Economics, Faculty of Informatics and Electronic Economy
Al. Niepodległości 10, 61-875 Poznań, Poland*

Sylwia Cichacz *

*AGH University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Applied Mathematics
Al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland*

Iztok Peterin , Aleksandra Tepesh

*University of Maribor, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
Smetanova 17, 2000 Maribor, Slovenia
Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics
Jadranska 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia*

Received 16 January 2013, accepted 28 August 2013, published online 11 July 2014

Abstract

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and Γ an Abelian group, both of order n . A group distance magic labeling of G is a bijection $\ell: V \rightarrow \Gamma$ for which there exists $\mu \in \Gamma$ such that $\sum_{x \in N(v)} \ell(x) = \mu$ for all $v \in V$, where $N(v)$ is the neighborhood of v . In this paper we consider group distance magic labelings of direct product of graphs. We show that if G is an r -regular graph of order n and $m = 4$ or $m = 8$ and r is even, then the direct product $C_m \times G$ is Γ -distance magic for every Abelian group of order mn . We also prove that $C_m \times C_n$ is \mathbb{Z}_{mn} -distance magic if and only if $m \in \{4, 8\}$ or $n \in \{4, 8\}$ or $m, n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. It is also shown that if $m, n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then $C_m \times C_n$ is not Γ -distance magic for any Abelian group Γ of order mn .

Keywords: Abelian group, distance magic labeling, group labeling, direct product of graphs.

Math. Subj. Class.: 05C15, 05C22, 05C25, 05C76

*The author was partially supported by National Science Centre grant nr 2011/01/D/ST1/04104.

E-mail addresses: m.anholcer@ue.poznan.pl (Marcin Anholcer), cichacz@agh.edu.pl (Sylwia Cichacz), iztok.peterin@um.si (Iztok Peterin), aleksandra.tepesh@um.si (Aleksandra Tepesh)

1 Introduction and preliminaries

All graphs considered in this paper are simple finite graphs. We use $V(G)$ for the vertex set and $E(G)$ for the edge set of a graph G . The *neighborhood* $N(x)$ or more precisely $N_G(x)$, when needed, of a vertex x is the set of vertices adjacent to x , and the *degree* $d(x)$ of x is $|N(x)|$, the size of the neighborhood of x . By C_n we denote a cycle on n vertices.

We recall two out of four standard graph products (see [8]). Let G and H be two graphs. Both, the *Cartesian product* $G \square H$ and the *direct product* $G \times H$ are graphs with the vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$. Two vertices (g, h) and (g', h') are adjacent in:

- $G \square H$ if $g = g'$ and h is adjacent to h' in H , or $h = h'$ and g is adjacent to g' in G ;
- $G \times H$ if g is adjacent to g' in G and h is adjacent to h' in H .

Distance magic labeling (also called *sigma labeling*) of a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ of order n is a bijection $\ell: V \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ with the property that there is a positive integer k (called *magic constant*) such that $w(x) = \sum_{y \in N_G(x)} \ell(y) = k$ for every $x \in V(G)$, where $w(x)$ is the *weight* of vertex x . If a graph G admits a distance magic labeling, then we say that G is a *distance magic graph*. See [2] (and also [7]) for the survey on distance magic graphs.

The idea of distance magic labeling of graphs has been motivated by the constructions of magic squares. However, finding an r -regular distance magic graph is equivalent to finding equalized incomplete tournament $\text{EIT}(n, r)$ [6]. In an *equalized incomplete tournament* $\text{EIT}(n, r)$ of n teams with r rounds, every team plays exactly r other teams and the total strength of the opponents that team i plays is k .

Some graphs which are distance magic among (some) products can be seen in [1, 3, 4, 5, 9, 10]. Recently a subclass of distance magic graphs was introduced in [1] that behave nicely among products. A distance magic graph G is called *balanced* if there exists a bijection $\ell: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, |V(G)|\}$ such that for every $w \in V(G)$ the following holds: if $u \in N(w)$ with $\ell(u) = i$, then there exists $v \in N(w)$, $v \neq u$, with $\ell(v) = |V(G)| + 1 - i$. We say that u is the *twin vertex* of v (and vice versa) and ℓ is called a *balanced distance magic labeling*. It is easy to see that a balanced distance magic graph has an even number of vertices and that it is an r -regular graph for an even r . Simple examples are empty graph on an even number of vertices, cycle C_4 , and $K_{2n} - M$, for a perfect matching M . The following theorem was proved in [1] and will be used in the second section.

Theorem 1.1 ([1]). The direct product $G \times H$ is a balanced distance magic graph if and only if one of the graphs is balanced distance magic and the other one is regular.

Group distance magic labeling of graphs was recently introduced by Froncek in [5] as in some sense a generalization of distance magic labeling. Let Γ be a finite Abelian group of order n . A Γ -*distance magic labeling* of a graph G with $|V(G)| = n$ is an injection from V to Γ such that the weight of every vertex $x \in V$ is equal to the same element $\mu \in \Gamma$, called the *magic constant*. If there exists a Γ -distance magic labeling of G , we say that G is a Γ -*distance magic graph*. A graph G is called a *group distance magic graph* if there exists a Γ -distance magic labeling for every Abelian group Γ of order $|V(G)|$. The connection between distance magic graphs and Γ -distance magic graphs is as follows. Let

G be a distance magic graph of order n . If we replace n in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ by 0, we obtain a \mathbb{Z}_n -distance magic labeling. Hence every distance magic graph is a \mathbb{Z}_n -distance magic graph. The question remains what happens when we replace \mathbb{Z}_n by some other Abelian group, and which graphs are Γ -distance magic but not distance magic. The following theorem was proved in [5]:

Theorem 1.2 ([5]). The Cartesian product $C_m \square C_k$, $m, k \geq 3$, is a \mathbb{Z}_{mk} -distance magic graph if and only if km is even.

Froncek also showed that the graph $C_{2k} \square C_{2k}$ has a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{2k}$ -distance magic labeling for $k \geq 2$ and $\mu = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ ([5]).

It seems that the direct product is the natural choice among (standard) products to deal with Γ -distance magic graphs and group distance magic graphs in general. The reason for this is that the direct product is suitable product if we observe graphs as categories. Hence it should perform well with the product of (Abelian) groups. The confirmation of this will be illustrated in the first theorem of each forthcoming section. This fact also makes the direct product the most natural among graph products, but on the other hand the most difficult to handle. Namely, $G \times H$ does not need to be connected, even if both factors are. More precisely, $G \times H$ is connected if and only if both G and H are connected and at least one of them is non-bipartite [11]. The direct product is commutative, associative, and has attracted a lot of attention in the research community in last 50 years. Probably the biggest challenge (among all products) is the famous Hedetniemi’s conjecture:

$$\chi(G \times H) = \min\{\chi(G), \chi(H)\}.$$

This conjecture suggests that the chromatic number of the direct product depends only on the properties of one factor and not both. This is not so rare and also in this work we show that it is enough for one factor to be a balanced distance magic graph and then the direct product with any regular graph will result in a group distance magic graph. For more about the direct product and products in general we recommend the book [8].

For $V(G) = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{|V(G)|-1}\}$ and $V(H) = \{y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{|V(H)|-1}\}$ we use

$$V(G \times H) = \{v_{i,j} : i \in \{0, 1, \dots, |V(G)| - 1\}, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, |V(H)| - 1\}\}.$$

The fundamental theorem of finite Abelian groups states that the finite Abelian group Γ of order n can be expressed as the direct sum of cyclic subgroups of prime-power order. This implies that $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{\alpha_m}}$, where $n = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\alpha_i}$ and p_i for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ are not necessarily distinct primes. In particular, if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then we have the following possibilities: $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{\alpha_m}}$ and $n = 4 \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\alpha_i}$ or $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{\alpha_m}}$ and $n = 4 \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\alpha_i}$ or $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^{\alpha_0}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{\alpha_m}}$ and $n = 2^{\alpha_0} \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{\alpha_i}$, $\alpha_0 \geq 3$. This fact will be used often in what follows. Recall that any group element $g \in \Gamma$ of order 2 (i.e., $g \neq 0, 2g = 0$) is called an *involution*, and that a non-trivial finite group has elements of order 2 if and only if the order of the group is even. Moreover every cyclic group of even order has exactly one involution. We will use the notation a_0 for the identity element of an Abelian group \mathcal{A} .

In the next section we present some general results about group distance magic labelings on the direct products. In the last section we concentrate on direct product of cycles. We will prove also that a graph $C_m \times C_n$ is \mathbb{Z}_{mn} -distance magic if and only if $m \in \{4, 8\}$ or $n \in \{4, 8\}$ or $m, n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Moreover, we will show that if $m, n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then $C_m \times C_n$ is not Γ -distance magic for any Abelian group Γ of order mn .

2 General results

We start with the following general theorem for direct product of graphs:

Observation 2.1. If an r_1 -regular graph G_1 is Γ_1 -distance magic and an r_2 -regular graph G_2 is Γ_2 -distance magic, then the direct product $G_1 \times G_2$ is $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ -distance magic.

Proof. Let $\ell_i: V(G_i) \rightarrow \Gamma_i$ be a Γ_i -distance magic labeling, and μ_i the magic constant for the graph $G_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$. Define the labeling $\ell: V(G_1 \times G_2) \rightarrow \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ for $G_1 \times G_2$, as:

$$\ell((x, y)) = (\ell_1(x), \ell_2(y)).$$

Obviously, ℓ is a bijection and moreover, for any $(u, w) \in V(G_1 \times G_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} w(u, w) &= \sum_{(x,y) \in N_{G_1 \times G_2}(u,w)} \ell(x, y) = \left(r_2 \sum_{x \in N_{G_1}(u)} \ell_1(x), r_1 \sum_{y \in N_{G_2}(w)} \ell_2(y) \right), \\ w(u, w) &= (r_2\mu_1, r_1\mu_2) = \mu, \end{aligned}$$

which settles the proof. □

Theorem 2.2. If G is a balanced distance magic graph, then G is a group distance magic graph.

Proof. Let G be a balanced distance magic graph of order n . Recall that n is an even number and G is an r -regular graph for an even r . For any Abelian group Γ of order n holds $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2t} \times \mathcal{A}$ for some natural number $t > 0$ and some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of order $\frac{n}{2t}$. If $g \in \Gamma$, then we can write $g = (j, a_i)$ where $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2t}$ and $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n}{2t} - 1\}$. Let $V(G) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{\frac{n}{2}}, u'_1, u'_2, \dots, u'_{\frac{n}{2}}\}$. For $i \in \{1, \dots, \frac{n}{2}\}$ we define the following labeling ℓ for a vertex u_i and its twin vertex u'_i :

$$\ell(u_i) = \left((i - 1) \pmod{t}, a_{\lfloor \frac{i-1}{t} \rfloor} \right) \text{ and } \ell(u'_i) = (2t - 1, a_0) - \ell(u_i).$$

Since $\ell(u_i) + \ell(u'_i) = (2t - 1, a_0)$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, \frac{n}{2}\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} w(v) &= \sum_{u \in N(v)} \ell(u) = \sum_{u_i \in N(v)} (\ell(u_i) + \ell(u'_i)) = \\ &= \sum_{u_i \in N(v)} (2t - 1, a_0) = \frac{r}{2}(2t - 1, a_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{r}{2}$ is an integer since r is even. Moreover, every element of Γ is used exactly once and so G is Γ -distance magic. □

Since for any graph G of order m , the graph $\overline{K}_n \times G$ is isomorphic to \overline{K}_{nm} , by Theorems 1.1 and 2.2 the next result immediately follows.

Theorem 2.3. If G is a balanced distance magic graph and H an r -regular graph for $r \geq 1$, then $G \times H$ is a group distance magic graph. □

Notice that by the above Theorem 2.3, if G is an r -regular graph ($r \geq 1$), then the graph $C_4 \times G$ is a group distance magic graph. We cannot generalize this result to other cycles than C_4 . Namely, $C_n \times K_2$ is isomorphic to $2C_n$ (i.e., the union of two cycles C_n) when n is even and to C_{2n} when n is odd. It is easy to see that both $2C_n$ for $n \neq 4$ and C_{2n} for $n \neq 2$ are not Γ -distance magic for any Abelian group Γ of order $2n$ (for $n \geq 5$, in both cases under the assumption that there is some group distance magic labeling ℓ , we obtain $\ell(v_i) = \ell(v_{i+4})$ for all the vertices v_i , and we easily derive a contradiction also for $n = 3$). Nevertheless, for many regular graphs the result still holds. For C_8 as we will see next.

Theorem 2.4. If G is an r -regular graph of order n for some even r , then direct product $C_8 \times G$ is a group distance magic graph.

Proof. Let $V(G) = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ be the vertex set of G , let $C_8 = u_0u_1 \dots u_7u_0$, and $H = C_8 \times G$. Notice that if $x_px_q \in E(G)$, then $v_{j,q} \in N_H(v_{i,p})$ if and only if $j \in \{i-1, i+1\}$ (where the sum on the first suffix is taken modulo 8). We are going to consider three cases, depending on the structure of Γ .

Case 1: $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathcal{A}$ for some Abelian group of order $2n$.

We can write $g \in \Gamma$ as (j_1, j_2, a_k) for $j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $a_k \in \mathcal{A}$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2n-1\}$. For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ we set

$$\ell(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} (0, 0, a_{2j+i}), & \text{if } i \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (0, 1, a_{2j+i-4}), & \text{if } i \in \{4, 5\}, \\ (1, 1, a_0) - \ell(v_{i-2,j}), & \text{if } i \in \{2, 3, 6, 7\}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\ell : V(C_8 \times G) \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a bijection and $\ell(v_{i,j}) + \ell(v_{i+2,j}) = (y_i, a_0)$, where $y_i \in \{(1, 1), (1, 0)\}$, and so $2y_i = (0, 0)$. Hence for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_{i,j}) &= \sum_{x_p \in N_G(x_j)} (\ell(v_{i-1,p}) + \ell(v_{i+1,p})) = \sum_{x_p \in N_G(x_j)} (y_{i-1}, a_0) = \\ &= \frac{r}{2}(0, 0, a_0) = (0, 0, a_0) \end{aligned}$$

and $C_8 \times G$ is Γ -distance magic since r is even.

Case 2: $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathcal{A}$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of order $2n$.

If $g \in \Gamma$, then we can write $g = (j, a_k)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_4$ and $a_k \in \mathcal{A}$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2n-1\}$. For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ we define

$$\ell(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} (0, a_{2j+i}), & \text{if } i \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (2, a_{2j+i-4}), & \text{if } i \in \{4, 5\}, \\ (3, a_0) - \ell(v_{i-2,j}), & \text{if } i \in \{2, 3, 6, 7\}. \end{cases}$$

Again $\ell : V(C_8 \times G) \rightarrow \Gamma$ is obviously a bijection and $\ell(v_{i,j}) + \ell(v_{i+2,j}) = (y_i, a_0)$, where $y_i \in \{1, 3\}$, and thus $2y_i = 2$. Hence for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ we get

$$w(v_{i,j}) = \sum_{x_p \in N_G(x_j)} (\ell(v_{i-1,p}) + \ell(v_{i+1,p})) = \sum_{x_p \in N_G(x_j)} (y_{i-1}, a_0) = \frac{r}{2}(2, a_0) = (r, a_0)$$

and $C_8 \times G$ is Γ -distance magic.

Case 3: $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^\alpha} \times \mathcal{A}$ for $\alpha > 2$ and some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of order $\frac{n}{2^{\alpha-3}}$.

If $g \in \Gamma$, we can write $g = (p, a_k)$ for $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^\alpha}$ and $a_k \in \mathcal{A}$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n}{2^{\alpha-3}} - 1\}$. For $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{n}{2^{\alpha-3}} - 1\}$ define the following labeling ℓ :

$$\ell(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} ((2j + i) \pmod{2^{\alpha-2}}, a_{\lfloor j \cdot 2^{-\alpha+3} \rfloor}), & \text{if } i \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (2^{\alpha-1}, a_0) + \ell(v_{i-4,j}), & \text{if } i \in \{4, 5\}, \\ (2^\alpha - 1, a_0) - \ell(v_{i-2,j}), & \text{if } i \in \{2, 3, 6, 7\}. \end{cases}$$

As in previous cases $\ell : V(C_8 \times G) \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a bijection and $\ell(v_{i,j}) + \ell(v_{i+2,j}) = (y_i, a_0)$ for some $y_i \in \{2^{\alpha-1} - 1, 2^\alpha - 1\}$. Thus $2(\ell(v_{i,j}) + \ell(v_{i+2,j})) = (2y_i, a_0) = (-2, a_0)$. For every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 7\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_{i,j}) &= \sum_{x_p \in N_G(x_j)} (\ell(v_{i-1,p}) + \ell(v_{i+1,p})) = \sum_{x_p \in N_G(x_j)} (y_{i-1}, a_0) = \\ &= \frac{r}{2}(-2, a_0) = (-r, a_0) \end{aligned}$$

and $C_8 \times G$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{2^\alpha} \times \mathcal{A}$ -distance magic. □

The natural question arises whether we can prove similar results for every cycle C_n where $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. The answer to this question is negative as we will see in the next section. It will also be clear from the following section why we cannot expect similar results for $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. (For both claims see Theorem 3.5.) However, below we give some groups Γ such that for G being an r -regular graph of order n for some even r , the direct product $C_{2^p} \times G$ admits a Γ -distance magic labeling.

Proposition 2.5. If G is an r -regular graph of order n for some even r , then the direct product $C_{2^p} \times G$, $p \geq 2$, admits an $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ -distance magic labeling for any Abelian group \mathcal{B} of order n and an Abelian group \mathcal{A} such that:

- $\mathcal{A} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^p$,
- $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-2}$,
- $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{Z}_8 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-3}$,
- $\mathcal{A} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_4)^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-4}$.

Proof. Let $V(G) = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ be the vertex set of G , let $C_{2^p} = u_0 u_1 \dots u_{2^p-1} u_0$, and $H = C_{2^p} \times G$. Notice that if $x_p x_q \in E(G)$, then $v_{j,q} \in N_H(v_{i,p})$ if and only if $j \in \{i - 1, i + 1\}$ (where the sum on the first suffix is taken modulo 2^p). Let the elements of \mathcal{B} be b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} . Recall that for any element $r \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^p$ we have $2r = 0$.

Case 1: $\mathcal{A} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^p$. Let the elements of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^p$ be r_0, \dots, r_{2^p-1} . Each element of $\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^p \times \mathcal{B}$ can be thus expressed as (r_i, b_j) , where $r_i \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^p$ and $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$. We define the labeling ℓ as follows:

$$\ell(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} (r_i, b_j), & \text{if } i \pmod{4} \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (r_i, -b_j), & \text{if } i \pmod{4} \in \{2, 3\}. \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that ℓ is bijective and $\ell(v_{i,j}) + \ell(v_{i+2,j}) = (r_i + r_{i+2}, b_0)$. Hence for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^p - 1\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_{i,j}) &= \sum_{x_d \in N_G(x_j)} (\ell(v_{i-1,d}) + \ell(v_{i+1,d})) = \sum_{x_d \in N_G(x_j)} (r_{i-1} + r_{i+1}, b_0) = \\ &= \frac{r}{2}(r_0, b_0) = (r_0, b_0) \end{aligned}$$

and $C_{2^p} \times G$ is $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^p \times \mathcal{B}$ -distance magic since r is even.

Case 2: $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-2}$. Let the elements of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-2}$ be $r_0, \dots, r_{2^{p-2}-1}$. Each element of $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-2} \times \mathcal{B}$ can be thus expressed as (q, r_i, b_j) , where $q \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, $r_i \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-2}$, and $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$. We define the labeling ℓ in the following way

$$\ell(v_{4i+q,j}) = \begin{cases} (q, r_i, b_j), & \text{if } q \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (q, r_i, -b_j), & \text{if } q \in \{2, 3\}. \end{cases}$$

Again it is easy to check that ℓ is bijective and $\ell(v_{i,j}) + \ell(v_{i+2,j}) = (y_i, z_i, b_0)$, where $y_i \in \{0, 2\}$ and $z_i = r_{\lfloor i/4 \rfloor} + r_{\lfloor (i+2)/4 \rfloor}$, and so $2(y_i, z_i) = (0, r_0)$. Hence for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^p - 1\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} w(v_{i,j}) &= \sum_{x_d \in N_G(x_j)} (\ell(v_{i-1,d}) + \ell(v_{i+1,d})) = \sum_{x_d \in N_G(x_j)} (y_{i-1}, z_{i-1}, b_0) = \\ &= \frac{r}{2}(0, r_0, b_0) = (0, r_0, b_0) \end{aligned}$$

and $C_{2^p} \times G$ is $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-2} \times \mathcal{B}$ -distance magic since r is even.

Case 3: $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{Z}_8 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-3}$. Let the elements of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-3}$ be $r_0, \dots, r_{2^{p-3}-1}$. Each element of $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_8 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-3} \times \mathcal{B}$ can be thus expressed as $(\sigma(q), r_i, b_j)$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}_8$, $r_i \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-3}$, $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$, and the function $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}_8 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_8$ is defined as $\sigma(2) = 3$, $\sigma(3) = 2$, $\sigma(6) = 7$, $\sigma(7) = 6$, and $\sigma(j) = j$ for remaining $j \in \mathbb{Z}_8$. We define the labeling ℓ in the following way.

$$\ell(v_{8i+q,j}) = \begin{cases} (\sigma(q), r_i, b_j), & \text{if } q \pmod{4} \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (\sigma(q), r_i, -b_j), & \text{if } q \pmod{4} \in \{2, 3\}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that ℓ is bijective and $\ell(v_{i,j}) + \ell(v_{i+2,j}) = (y_i, z_i, b_0)$, where $(y_i, z_i) \in \{(3, 2r_{\lfloor i/8 \rfloor}), (7, r_{\lfloor i/8 \rfloor} + r_{\lfloor (i+2)/8 \rfloor})\}$, so $2(y_i, z_i) = (6, r_0)$. Hence for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^p - 1\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ we get

$$w(v_{i,j}) = \sum_{x_d \in N_G(x_j)} (\ell(v_{i-1,d}) + \ell(v_{i+1,d})) = \sum_{x_d \in N_G(x_j)} (y_{i-1}, z_{i-1}, b_0) = \frac{r}{2}(6, r_0, b_0)$$

and $C_{2^p} \times G$ is $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-3} \times \mathcal{B}$ -distance magic since r is even.

Case 4: $\mathcal{A} \cong (\mathbb{Z}_4)^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-4}$. Let the elements of $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-4}$ be $r_0, \dots, r_{2^{p-4}-1}$. Each element of $\Gamma \cong (\mathbb{Z}_4)^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-4} \times \mathcal{B}$ can be thus expressed as $(\sigma(q), r_i, b_j)$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{16}$, $r_i \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-4}$, $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$, and the function $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}_{16} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_4)^2$ is defined as

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$\sigma(i)$	(0,0)	(0,3)	(1,1)	(1,2)	(2,2)	(2,1)	(3,3)	(3,0)
i	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
$\sigma(i)$	(0,2)	(0,1)	(1,3)	(1,0)	(2,0)	(2,3)	(3,1)	(3,2)

We define the labeling ℓ in the following way:

$$\ell(v_{16i+q,j}) = \begin{cases} (\sigma(q), r_i, b_j), & \text{if } q \pmod{4} \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (\sigma(q), r_i, -b_j), & \text{if } q \pmod{4} \in \{2, 3\}. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that ℓ is bijective and $\ell(v_{i,j}) + \ell(v_{i+2,j}) = (y_i, z_i, b_0)$, where $y_i \in \{(1, 1), (1, 3), (3, 1), (3, 3)\}$ and $z_i = r_{\lfloor i/16 \rfloor} + r_{\lfloor (i+2)/16 \rfloor}$, so $2(y_i, z_i) = (2, 2, r_0)$. Hence for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^p - 1\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ we get

$$w(v_{i,j}) = \sum_{x_d \in N_G(x_j)} (\ell(v_{i-1,d}) + \ell(v_{i+1,d})) = \sum_{x_d \in N_G(x_j)} (y_{i-1}, z_{i-1}, b_0) = \frac{r}{2}(2, 2, r_0, b_0)$$

and $C_{2^p} \times G$ is $(\mathbb{Z}_4)^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-4} \times \mathcal{B}$ -distance magic since r is even. □

Proposition 2.6. If G is an r -regular graph of order n for some even r and n , then the direct product $C_{2^p} \times G$, $p \geq 2$, admits an $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ -distance magic labeling for any Abelian group \mathcal{B} of order $\frac{n}{2}$ and an Abelian group \mathcal{A} such that:

- $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-4}$,
- $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{16} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-3}$.

Proof. Let $V(G) = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$ be the vertex set of G , let $C_{2^p} = u_0 u_1 \dots u_{2^p-1} u_0$, and $H = C_{2^p} \times G$. Notice that if $x_p x_q \in E(G)$, then $v_{j,q} \in N_H(v_{i,p})$ if and only if $j \in \{i - 1, i + 1\}$ (where the sum on the first suffix is taken modulo 2^p). Let the elements of \mathcal{B} be $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n/2-1}$.

Case 1: $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-4}$. Each element of $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-4} \times \mathcal{B}$ can be expressed as $(\sigma(q), r_i, b_j)$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{32}$, $r_i \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-4}$, $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$, and the function $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}_{32} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ is defined as:

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$\sigma(i)$	(0,0)	(1,0)	(3,1)	(2,1)	(4,2)	(5,2)	(7,3)	(6,3)
i	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
$\sigma(i)$	(0,2)	(1,2)	(3,3)	(2,3)	(4,0)	(5,0)	(7,1)	(6,1)
i	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
$\sigma(i)$	(0,3)	(1,3)	(3,2)	(2,2)	(4,1)	(5,1)	(7,0)	(6,0)
i	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
$\sigma(i)$	(0,1)	(1,1)	(3,0)	(2,0)	(4,3)	(5,3)	(7,2)	(6,2)

For $j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ we define the labeling ℓ in the following way:

$$\ell(v_{16i+t,j}) = \begin{cases} (\sigma(t), r_i, b_{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}), & \text{if } t \pmod{4} \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (\sigma(t), r_i, -b_{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}), & \text{if } t \pmod{4} \in \{2, 3\}; \end{cases}$$

and for $j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ we set

$$\ell(v_{16i+t,j}) = \begin{cases} (\sigma(16+t), r_i, b_{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}), & \text{if } t \pmod{4} \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (\sigma(16+t), r_i, -b_{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}), & \text{if } t \pmod{4} \in \{2, 3\}. \end{cases}$$

It is straightforward to check that ℓ is bijective and $\ell(v_{i,j}) + \ell(v_{i+2,j}) = (y_i, z_i, b_0)$, where $y_i \in \{(3, 1), (7, 3), (7, 1)\}$ and $z_i = r_{\lfloor i/16 \rfloor} + r_{\lfloor (i+2)/16 \rfloor + 1}$, so $2(y_i, z_i) = (6, 2, r_0)$. Hence for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^p - 1\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ we get

$$w(v_{i,j}) = \sum_{x_d \in N_G(x_j)} (\ell(v_{i-1,d}) + \ell(v_{i+1,d})) = \sum_{x_d \in N_G(x_j)} (y_{i-1}, z_{i-1}, b_0) = \frac{r}{2}(6, 2, r_0, b_0)$$

and $C_{2^p} \times G$ is $\mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-4} \times \mathcal{B}$ -distance magic since r is even.

Case 2: $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{16} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-3}$. Each element of $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_{16} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-3} \times \mathcal{B}$ as $(\sigma(q), r_i, b_j)$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{16}$, $r_i \in (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-3}$, $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$, and the function $\sigma : \mathbb{Z}_{16} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{16}$ is defined as:

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
$\sigma(i)$	0	2	1	15	8	10	9	7	4	6	13	11	12	14	5	3

We define the labeling ℓ for $j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ as

$$\ell(v_{8i+t,j}) = \begin{cases} (\sigma(t), r_i, b_{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}), & \text{if } t \pmod{4} \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (\sigma(t), r_i, -b_{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}), & \text{if } t \pmod{4} \in \{2, 3\}, \end{cases}$$

and for $j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ by

$$\ell(v_{8i+t,j}) = \begin{cases} (\sigma(8+t), r_i, b_{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}), & \text{if } t \pmod{4} \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (\sigma(8+t), r_i, -b_{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor}), & \text{if } t \pmod{4} \in \{2, 3\}. \end{cases}$$

Again it is easy to see that ℓ is bijective and $\ell(v_{i,j}) + \ell(v_{i+2,j}) = (y_i, z_i, b_0)$, where $y_i \in \{1, 9\}$ and $z_i = r_{\lfloor i/8 \rfloor} + r_{\lfloor (i+2)/8 \rfloor}$, so $2(y_i, z_i) = (2, r_0)$. Hence for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2^p - 1\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ we get

$$w(v_{i,j}) = \sum_{x_d \in N_G(x_j)} (\ell(v_{i-1,d}) + \ell(v_{i+1,d})) = \sum_{x_d \in N_G(x_j)} (y_{i-1}, z_{i-1}, b_0) = \frac{r}{2}(2, r_0, b_0)$$

and $C_{2^p} \times G$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{16} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-3} \times \mathcal{B}$ -distance magic since r is even. □

3 Γ -distance magic labeling of $C_m \times C_n$

In this section we concentrate on the direct product of two cycles.

Theorem 3.1. If $m, n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then the direct product $C_m \times C_n$ is $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ -distance magic for any Abelian groups \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} of order m and n , respectively.

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ and let b_0 be the identity of \mathcal{B} . We consider three cases, depending on the factorization of Γ .

Case 1: $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{B}$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{G} of order $\frac{m}{4}$.

If $g \in \Gamma$, then we can write $g = (j_1, j_2, a_k, b_j)$ for $j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, $a_k \in \mathcal{G}$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{m}{4} - 1\}$, and $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$ for $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$. For $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$ we set:

$$\ell(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} (0, i, a_{\lfloor \frac{i}{4} \rfloor}, b_j), & \text{if } i \pmod{4} \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (1, 1, a_0, b_0) - \ell(v_{i-2,j}), & \text{if } i \pmod{4} \in \{2, 3\}, \end{cases}$$

for $j \pmod{4} \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$\ell(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} (0, i, -a_{\lfloor \frac{i}{4} \rfloor}, b_j), & \text{if } i \pmod{4} \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (1, 1, a_0, b_0) - \ell(v_{i-2,j}), & \text{if } i \pmod{4} \in \{2, 3\}, \end{cases}$$

for $j \pmod{4} \in \{2, 3\}$.

It is easy to see that $\ell : V(C_m \times C_n) \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a bijection and $w(v_{i,j}) = \ell(v_{i-1,j-1}) + \ell(v_{i-1,j+1}) + \ell(v_{i+1,j-1}) + \ell(v_{i+1,j+1}) = (0, 0, a_0, b_0)$.

Case 2: $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{B}$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{G} of order $\frac{m}{4}$.

If $g \in \Gamma$, then we can write $g = (q, a_k, b_j)$ for $q \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, $a_k \in \mathcal{G}$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{m}{4} - 1\}$, and $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$ for $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$. For $i \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}$ we define the following labeling ℓ :

$$\ell(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} (i, a_{\lfloor \frac{i}{4} \rfloor}, b_j), & \text{if } i \pmod{4} \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (3, a_0, b_0) - \ell(v_{i-2,j}), & \text{if } i \pmod{4} \in \{2, 3\}, \end{cases}$$

for $j \pmod{4} \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$\ell(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} (i, -a_{\lfloor \frac{i}{4} \rfloor}, b_j), & \text{if } i \pmod{4} \in \{0, 1\}, \\ (3, a_0, b_0) - \ell(v_{i-2,j}), & \text{if } i \pmod{4} \in \{2, 3\}, \end{cases}$$

for $j \pmod{4} \in \{2, 3\}$.

Again $\ell : V(C_m \times C_n) \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a bijection and $w(v_{i,j}) = \ell(v_{i-1,j-1}) + \ell(v_{i-1,j+1}) + \ell(v_{i+1,j-1}) + \ell(v_{i+1,j+1}) = (2, a_0, b_0)$.

Case 3: $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^\alpha} \times \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{B}$ for $\alpha > 2$ and some Abelian group \mathcal{G} of order $\frac{m}{2^\alpha}$.

Notice that this case is meaningful only when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$. If $g \in \Gamma$, then we can write that $g = (q, a_k, b_j)$ for $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^\alpha}$, $a_k \in \mathcal{G}$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{m}{2^\alpha} - 1\}$ and $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$ for $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$. For $i \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}$ we define the following labeling ℓ :

$$\ell(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} ((i \pmod{2^\alpha})2^{\alpha-2}, a_{\lfloor i/2^\alpha \rfloor}, b_j), & \text{if } i \pmod{2^\alpha} \in \{0, 1\}, \\ ((1 - i \pmod{2^\alpha})2^{\alpha-2}, -a_{\lfloor i/2^\alpha \rfloor}, -b_j), & \text{if } i \pmod{2^\alpha} \in \{2, 3\}, \\ (1, a_0, b_0) + \ell(v_{i-4,j}), & \text{if } i \pmod{2^\alpha} \notin \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \end{cases}$$

for $j \pmod{4} \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$\ell(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} (-(i \pmod{2^\alpha})2^{\alpha-2} - 1, -a_{\lfloor i/2^\alpha \rfloor}, -b_j), & \text{if } i \pmod{2^\alpha} \in \{0, 1\}, \\ ((i \pmod{2^\alpha} - 1)2^{\alpha-2} - 1, a_{\lfloor i/2^\alpha \rfloor}, b_j), & \text{if } i \pmod{2^\alpha} \in \{2, 3\}, \\ (-1, a_0, b_0) + \ell(v_{i-4,j}), & \text{if } i \pmod{2^\alpha} \notin \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \end{cases}$$

for $j \pmod{4} \in \{2, 3\}$.

It is easy to see that $\ell : V(C_m \times C_n) \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a bijection and moreover, $w(v_{i,j}) = \ell(v_{i-1,j-1}) + \ell(v_{i+1,j-1}) + \ell(v_{i-1,j+1}) + \ell(v_{i+1,j+1}) = (-2, a_0, b_0)$. \square

One can ask if it is possible to find an $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ -distance magic labeling of $C_m \times C_n$, if $|\mathcal{A}| > m$. A partial answer is given by the following observation.

Proposition 3.2. If $m, n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then the direct product $C_m \times C_n$ is $\mathbb{Z}_t \times \mathcal{A}$ -distance magic for $m|t$ and any Abelian group \mathcal{A} order $\frac{mn}{t}$.

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_t \times \mathcal{A}$ where $m|t$ and \mathcal{A} is an Abelian group of order $\frac{mn}{t}$. If $g \in \Gamma$, then we can write that $g = (j, a_k)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_t$ and $a_k \in \mathcal{A}$ for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{mn}{t} - 1\}$. For $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$ let

$$\ell(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{jm(\bmod t)}{2}, a_{\lfloor \frac{jm}{t} \rfloor} \right), & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \left(\frac{jm(\bmod t)}{2} + \frac{m}{4}, a_{\lfloor \frac{jm}{t} \rfloor} \right), & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \left(-\frac{jm(\bmod t)}{2} - \frac{m}{4}, -a_{\lfloor \frac{jm}{t} \rfloor} \right), & \text{if } i = 2 \\ \left(-\frac{jm(\bmod t)}{2} - \frac{m}{2}, -a_{\lfloor \frac{jm}{t} \rfloor} \right), & \text{if } i = 3 \\ \ell(v_{i-4,j}) + (1, a_0), & \text{if } i > 3, \end{cases}$$

for $j(\bmod 4) \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$\ell(v_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} \left(-\frac{jm(\bmod t)}{2} - 1, -a_{\lfloor \frac{jm}{t} \rfloor} \right), & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \left(-\frac{jm(\bmod t)}{2} - \frac{m}{4} - 1, -a_{\lfloor \frac{jm}{t} \rfloor} \right), & \text{if } i = 1 \\ \left(\frac{jm(\bmod t)}{2} + \frac{m}{4} - 1, a_{\lfloor \frac{jm}{t} \rfloor} \right), & \text{if } i = 2 \\ \left(\frac{jm(\bmod t)}{2} + \frac{m}{2} - 1, a_{\lfloor \frac{jm}{t} \rfloor} \right), & \text{if } i = 3 \\ \ell(v_{i-4,j}) + (-1, a_0), & \text{if } i > 3, \end{cases}$$

for $j(\bmod 4) \in \{2, 3\}$.

Notice that we obtain mn/t blocks such that in every block we have all elements from \mathbb{Z}_t as the first coordinate. Moreover for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, mn/t - 1\}$ in i -th block we have labels (j, a_i) , where $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, t/2 - 1\}$. Therefore ℓ is bijective and $\mu = (-2, a_0)$ is the magic constant. \square

The above results encourage us to post the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.3. If $m, n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $C_m \times C_n$ is a group distance magic graph.

Now we are going to present some sufficient conditions for a graph G not to be group distance magic.

Theorem 3.4. Assume that $m, n \geq 3$, $m, n \notin \{4, 8\}$, $m = 4b + d$ and $n = 4a + c$ for some integers $a, b \geq 0$ where $c \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. If an Abelian group Γ of order mn has less than $\max\{2, a - 1\}$ involutions, then $C_m \times C_n$ is not Γ -distance magic.

Proof. Let m, n, a, b, c, d be as in the statement of the theorem. Thus $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Let $G = C_m \times C_n$. Assume that there exists a group Γ of order mn such that G is Γ -distance magic, i.e., there is a bijection $\ell : V(G) \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that for every $x \in V(G)$, $w(x) = \mu$ for some constant $\mu \in \Gamma$. Furthermore, let g_0 be the identity of Γ .

For any integers $i, p,$ and s we have

$$w(v_{i+p+1,s+1}) = \ell(v_{i+p,s}) + \ell(v_{i+p,s+2}) + \ell(v_{i+p+2,s}) + \ell(v_{i+p+2,s+2}) = \mu,$$

where the first suffix is taken modulo $m,$ and the second one modulo $n.$ Comparing the above equality for $p = 0$ and $p = 2,$ we obtain

$$\ell(v_{i,s}) + \ell(v_{i,s+2}) = \ell(v_{i+4,s}) + \ell(v_{i+4,s+2}).$$

More generally, if we consider the equality for $p = j$ and $p = j + 2$ for some integer $j,$ we obtain that

$$\ell(v_{i+j,s}) + \ell(v_{i+j,s+2}) = \ell(v_{i+j+4,s}) + \ell(v_{i+j+4,s+2})$$

for every $j.$ In consequence,

$$\ell(v_{i,s}) + \ell(v_{i,s+2}) = \ell(v_{i+4j,s}) + \ell(v_{i+4j,s+2}) \tag{3.1}$$

for every $j.$ As $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4},$ there exists such a j that $i + 4j \equiv i + 2 \pmod{m}.$ This way we obtain from (3.1)

$$\ell(v_{i,s}) + \ell(v_{i,s+2}) = \ell(v_{i+2,s}) + \ell(v_{i+2,s+2}). \tag{3.2}$$

Substituting s with $s + 2$ in (3.2) we obtain

$$\ell(v_{i,s+2}) + \ell(v_{i,s+4}) = \ell(v_{i+2,s+2}) + \ell(v_{i+2,s+4}) \tag{3.3}$$

and finally by subtracting (3.3) from (3.2)

$$\ell(v_{i,s}) - \ell(v_{i,s+4}) = \ell(v_{i+2,s}) - \ell(v_{i+2,s+4}). \tag{3.4}$$

In a similar way as (3.1) we can prove that for any $i, j,$ and s

$$\ell(v_{i,s}) + \ell(v_{i+2,s}) = \ell(v_{i,s+4j}) + \ell(v_{i+2,s+4j}). \tag{3.5}$$

In particular from (3.5) for $j = 1$ we get

$$\ell(v_{i,s}) - \ell(v_{i,s+4}) = \ell(v_{i+2,s+4}) - \ell(v_{i+2,s}). \tag{3.6}$$

This leads, if we add together (3.4) and (3.6), to

$$2(\ell(v_{i,s}) - \ell(v_{i,s+4})) = g_0.$$

By comparing the last equality for $s = 4p$ and $s = 4(p + 1),$ where p is any nonnegative integer, we can observe that

$$2(\ell(v_{i,0}) - \ell(v_{i,4p})) = g_0$$

at least for every $1 \leq p \leq a - 1.$ This bound is sharp when $c = 0.$ Let first $a \geq 2.$ We have at least

$$2(\ell(v_{i,0}) - \ell(v_{i,4})) = g_0 \text{ and } 2(\ell(v_{i,0}) - \ell(v_{i,8})) = g_0. \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand, if $a < 2,$ then $c \neq 0,$ since $n \notin \{4, 8\}.$ Hence $v_{i,0}, v_{i,4},$ and $v_{i,8}$ are again different vertices and (3.7) holds as well.

Fix $i = 0$. Since ℓ is bijection, $\ell(v_{0,0}) - \ell(v_{0,4p}) \neq 0$ for every p such that $p \in \{1, \dots, a-1\}$, therefore $\ell(v_{0,0}) - \ell(v_{0,4p})$ has to be an involution for every $p \in \{1, \dots, a-1\}$ and we have at least two involutions when $n = 3$. Moreover, bijectivity of ℓ implies that

$$\ell(v_{0,0}) - \ell(v_{0,4p_1}) \neq \ell(v_{0,0}) - \ell(v_{0,4p_2})$$

for every $p_1 \neq p_2$ such that $p_1, p_2 \in \{1, \dots, a-1\}$. Thus the set of involutions of Γ has to consists of at least $\max\{2, a-1\}$ distinct elements. \square

Theorem 3.5. If $m, n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ then $C_m \times C_n$ is not Γ -distance magic for any Abelian group Γ of order mn .

Proof. If m, n are odd, then any group Γ has odd order mn and we are done by Theorem 3.4, as there are no involutions in Γ . If $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and n is odd (or $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and m is odd, resp.), then $mn \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Thus by the fundamental theorem of finite Abelian groups $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k^{\alpha_k}}$ where $mn = 2 \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{\alpha_i}$ and $p_i > 2$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ are not necessarily distinct primes. Therefore there exists exactly one involution i in Γ ($i = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$) and we are done by Theorem 3.4.

Suppose now that $m, n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then $m = 2 + 4a, n = 2 + 4b$ and $mn = 4(1 + 2a)(1 + 2b)$ for some integers a, b . Thus by the fundamental theorem of finite Abelian groups $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathcal{A}$ or $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathcal{A}$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of order $(1 + 2a)(1 + 2b)$. Since $(1 + 2a)(1 + 2b)$ is an odd number, then, if $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathcal{A}$, there exists only one involution $i = (2, 0)$ in Γ and $C_m \times C_n$ is not $\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathcal{A}$ -distance magic by Theorem 3.4.

In the case $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathcal{A}$, there exist exactly three involutions $i_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $i_2 = (0, 1, 0)$ and $i_3 = (1, 1, 0)$ in Γ . Let $G = C_m \times C_n$ and assume that G is Γ -distance magic, i.e., there is a bijection $\ell : V(G) \rightarrow \Gamma$ such that for every $x \in V(G)$, $w(x) = \mu$ for some constant μ . Using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, since $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, we obtain that $2(\ell(v_{0,0}) + \ell(v_{0,2})) = 2(\ell(v_{0,2}) + \ell(v_{0,4})) = \mu$ and $2(\ell(v_{0,4}) + \ell(v_{0,6})) = 2(\ell(v_{0,6}) + \ell(v_{0,8})) = \mu$. On the other hand since $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ we have $\gcd(2, n) = \gcd(4, n) = 2$ and there exists α' such that $4\alpha' \equiv 2 \pmod{n}$. By repeating the above arguments we get $2(\ell(v_{0,4}) + \ell(v_{2,4})) = 2(\ell(v_{2,4}) + \ell(v_{4,4})) = \mu$ and $2(\ell(v_{0,4}) + \ell(v_{m-2,4})) = 2(\ell(v_{m-2,4}) + \ell(v_{m-4,4})) = \mu$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\ell(v_{0,0}) - \ell(v_{0,4})) &= 2g_1 = 0, \\ 2(\ell(v_{0,8}) - \ell(v_{0,4})) &= 2g_2 = 0, \\ 2(\ell(v_{4,4}) - \ell(v_{0,4})) &= 2g_3 = 0 \\ 2(\ell(v_{m-4,4}) - \ell(v_{0,4})) &= 2g_4 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If any $g_i = 0$ (for $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$), then the labeling ℓ is not a bijection as $m, n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Thus we can assume that all g_i are involutions and by the Pigeonhole Principle there exist $j \neq i$ such that $g_i = g_j$ (since there are only three involutions in Γ) what implies that the labeling ℓ is not a bijection $m, n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ (e.g., if $g_2 = g_3$, then $\ell(v_{0,8}) = \ell(v_{0,4})$), a contradiction. \square

The immediate corollary follows.

Corollary 3.6. Assume that $m, n \geq 3$ and $\{m, n\} = \{4a, 4b + c\}$ for some integers $a \geq 3$ and $b \geq 0, c \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then $C_m \times C_n$ can be Γ -distance magic only in the following cases:

- $c \in \{1, 3\}$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p+2}$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 2^p$,
- $c \in \{1, 3\}$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^p$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 3 \cdot 2^{p-2}$,
- $c \in \{1, 3\}$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^p \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 2^p$,
- $c \in \{1, 3\}$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-2} \times (\mathbb{Z}_4)^2$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 2^p$,
- $c \in \{1, 3\}$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 2^p$,
- $c = 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p+3}$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 2^p$,
- $c = 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p+1}$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 3 \cdot 2^{p-2}$,
- $c = 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 3 \cdot 2^{p-2}$,
- $c = 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^p$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 5 \cdot 2^{p-3}$,
- $c = 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^p$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 7 \cdot 2^{p-3}$,
- $c = 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 2^p$,
- $c = 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-1} \times (\mathbb{Z}_4)^2$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 2^p$,
- $c = 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^p \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 2^p$,
- $c = 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-2} \times \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 2^p$,
- $c = 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{16}$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 2^p$.

Proof. Let $a = \alpha 2^p$ for some odd number α . Observe that the number of involutions is equal to $2^\beta - 1$, where β is the number of the factors \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} of Γ . By Theorem 3.4 we have $\alpha 2^p - 1 \leq 2^\beta - 1$ and hence $\alpha \leq 2^{\beta-p}$. It is straightforward to see that if $c \in \{1, 3\}$, then the maximum number of such factors is $p + 2$ and $\alpha \leq 4$, while in the case when $c = 2$ it is $p + 3$ and $\alpha \leq 8$. Moreover $\mathbb{Z}_6 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_{10} \cong \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\mathbb{Z}_{12} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{14} \cong \mathbb{Z}_7 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ are the only groups of the respective order, so the listed groups are the only ones that consist of at least p factors \mathbb{Z}_{2^k} . \square

In the previous section in Propositions 2.5 and 2.6 we presented constructions for all the cases from Corollary 3.6, where $m = 2^p$ or $n = 2^p$ for some integer p . However we think that whole Corollary 3.6 gives not only necessary but also sufficient conditions for a graph to be group distance magic so we post the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.7. Assume that $m, n \geq 3$ and $\{m, n\} = \{4a, 4b + c\}$ for some integers $a \geq 3$ and $b \geq 0, c \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then $C_m \times C_n$ is Γ -distance magic in the following cases:

- $c \in \{1, 3\}$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^p$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 3 \cdot 2^{p-2}$,
- $c = 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p+1}$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 3 \cdot 2^{p-2}$,
- $c = 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^{p-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 3 \cdot 2^{p-2}$,
- $c = 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}_5 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^p$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 5 \cdot 2^{p-3}$,
- $c = 2$ and $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}_7 \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^p$ for some Abelian group \mathcal{A} of odd order, where $a = 7 \cdot 2^{p-3}$,

We finish with the following result.

Theorem 3.8. A graph $C_m \times C_n$ is \mathbb{Z}_{mn} -distance magic if and only if $m \in \{4, 8\}$ or $n \in \{4, 8\}$ or $m, n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. If $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $n \notin \{4, 8\}$, or $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $m \notin \{4, 8\}$, then the group \mathbb{Z}_{mn} has at most one involution i (namely $i = \frac{mn}{2}$, if mn is even) and so $C_m \times C_n$ is not \mathbb{Z}_{mn} -distance magic by Theorem 3.4. If $n = 4$ or $m = 4$ then $C_m \times C_n$ is \mathbb{Z}_{mn} -distance magic by Theorem 2.3 and if $m, n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, then $C_m \times C_n$ is \mathbb{Z}_{mn} -distance magic by Proposition 3.2. If $n = 8$ or $m = 8$, then the graph $C_m \times C_n$ is \mathbb{Z}_{mn} -distance magic by Theorem 2.4. \square

References

- [1] M. Anholcer, S. Cichacz, I. Peterin, A. Tepeh, Distance magic labeling and two products of graphs, *Graphs Combin.*, accepted.
- [2] S. Arumugam, D. Froncek and N. Kamatchi, Distance Magic Graphs – A Survey, *Journal of the Indonesian Mathematical Society*, Special Edition (2011) 11–26.
- [3] S. Beena, On Σ and Σ' labelled graphs, *Discrete Math.* **309** (2009), 1783–1787.
- [4] S. Cichacz, Note on group distance magic graphs $G[C_4]$, *Graphs Combin.* **30** (2014), 565–571.
- [5] D. Froncek, Group distance magic labeling of Cartesian product of cycles, *Australasian J. Combin.* **55** (2013), 167–174.
- [6] D. Froncek, P. Kovář and T. Kovářová, Fair incomplete tournaments, *Bull. of ICA* **48** (2006) 31–33.
- [7] J. A. Gallian, A dynamic survey of graph labeling, *Elec. J. Combin.*, DS6, <http://www.combinatorics.org/issue/view/Surveys>.
- [8] R. Hammack, W. Imrich, S. Klavžar, *Handbook of Product Graphs*, Second Edition, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2011.
- [9] M. Miller, C. Rodger and R. Simanjuntak, Distance magic labelings of graphs, *Australasian J. Combin.* **28** (2003), 305–315.
- [10] S. B. Rao, T. Singh and V. Parameswaran, Some sigma labelled graphs I, in: S. Arumugam, B.D. Acharya and S.B. Rao (eds.), *Graphs, Combinatorics, Algorithms and Applications*, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi (2004) 125–133.
- [11] P. M. Weichsel, The Kronecker product of graphs, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **13** (1962), 47–52.