

Fat Hoffman graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \tau$

Akihiro Munemasa

Graduate School of Information Sciences, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8579, Japan

Yoshio Sano

*Division of Information Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Information and Systems
University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8573, Japan*

Tetsuji Taniguchi

Matsue College of Technology, Matsue 690-8518, Japan

Received 30 November 2011, accepted 14 March 2012, published online 30 April 2013

Abstract

In this paper, we show that all fat Hoffman graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \tau$, where τ is the golden ratio, can be described by a finite set of fat $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible Hoffman graphs. In the terminology of Woo and Neumaier, we mean that every fat Hoffman graph with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \tau$ is an \mathcal{H} -line graph, where \mathcal{H} is the set of isomorphism classes of maximal fat $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible Hoffman graphs. It turns out that there are 37 fat $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible Hoffman graphs, up to isomorphism.

Keywords: Hoffman graph, line graph, graph eigenvalue, special graph.

Math. Subj. Class.: 05C50, 05C75

1 Introduction

P. J. Cameron, J. M. Goethals, J. J. Seidel, and E. E. Shult [1] characterized graphs whose adjacency matrices have smallest eigenvalue at least -2 by using root systems. Their results revealed that graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -2 are generalized line graphs, except a finite number of graphs represented by the root system E_8 . Another characterization for generalized line graphs were given by D. Cvetković, M. Doob, and S. Simić [3]

E-mail addresses: munemasa@math.is.tohoku.ac.jp (Akihiro Munemasa), sano@cs.tsukuba.ac.jp (Yoshio Sano), tetsuzit@matsue-ct.ac.jp (Tetsuji Taniguchi)

by determining minimal forbidden subgraphs (see also [4]). Note that graphs with smallest eigenvalue greater than -2 were studied by A. J. Hoffman [5].

Hoffman [6] also studied graphs whose adjacency matrices have smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \sqrt{2}$ by using a technique of adding cliques to graphs. R. Woo and A. Neumaier [12] formulated Hoffman’s idea by introducing the notion of Hoffman graphs. A Hoffman graph is a simple graph with a distinguished independent set of vertices, called fat vertices, which can be considered as cliques of size infinity in a sense (see Definition 2.1, and also [8, Corollary 2.15]). To deal with graphs with bounded smallest eigenvalue, Woo and Neumaier introduced a generalization of line graphs by considering decompositions of Hoffman graphs. They gave a characterization of graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \sqrt{2}$ in terms of Hoffman graphs by classifying fat indecomposable Hoffman graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \sqrt{2}$. This led them to prove a theorem which states that every graph with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \sqrt{2}$ and sufficiently large minimum degree is a subgraph of a Hoffman graph admitting a decomposition into subgraphs isomorphic to only four Hoffman graphs. In the terminology of [12], this means that every graph with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \sqrt{2}$ and sufficiently large minimum degree is an \mathcal{H} -line graph, where \mathcal{H} is the set of four isomorphism classes of Hoffman graphs. For further studies on graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \sqrt{2}$, see the papers by T. Taniguchi [10, 11] and by H. Yu [13].

Recently, H. J. Jang, J. Koolen, A. Munemasa, and T. Taniguchi [8] made the first step to classify the fat indecomposable Hoffman graphs with smallest eigenvalue -3 . However, it seems that there are so many such Hoffman graphs. A key to solve this problem is the notion of *special graphs* introduced by Woo and Neumaier. A special graph is an edge-signed graph defined for each Hoffman graph. Although non-isomorphic Hoffman graphs may have isomorphic special graphs, it is not difficult to recover all the Hoffman graphs with a given special graph in some cases.

In this paper, we introduce irreducibility of Hoffman graphs and classify fat $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible Hoffman graphs, where $\tau := \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden ratio. This is a somewhat more restricted class of Hoffman graphs than those considered in [8], and there are only 37 such Hoffman graphs. As a consequence, every fat Hoffman graph with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \tau$ is a subgraph of a Hoffman graph admitting a decomposition into subgraphs isomorphic to only 18 Hoffman graphs. In the terminology of [12], this means that every fat Hoffman graph with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \tau$ is an \mathcal{H} -line graph, where \mathcal{H} is the set of 18 isomorphism classes of maximal fat $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible Hoffman graphs.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Hoffman graphs and eigenvalues

Definition 2.1. A Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} is a pair (H, μ) of a graph H and a vertex labeling $\mu : V(H) \rightarrow \{\mathbf{slim}, \mathbf{fat}\}$ satisfying the following conditions: (i) every vertex with label **fat** is adjacent to at least one vertex with label **slim**; (ii) the vertices with label **fat** are pairwise non-adjacent.

Let $V(\mathfrak{H}) := V(H)$, $V^s(\mathfrak{H}) := \mu^{-1}(\mathbf{slim})$, $V^f(\mathfrak{H}) := \mu^{-1}(\mathbf{fat})$, and $E(\mathfrak{H}) := E(H)$. We call a vertex in $V^s(\mathfrak{H})$ a *slim vertex*, and a vertex in $V^f(\mathfrak{H})$ a *fat vertex* of \mathfrak{H} . We represent a Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} also by the triple $(V^s(\mathfrak{H}), V^f(\mathfrak{H}), E(\mathfrak{H}))$.

For a vertex x of a Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} , we define $N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(x)$ (resp. $N_{\mathfrak{H}}^s(x)$) to be the set of fat (resp. slim) neighbors of x in \mathfrak{H} . The set of all neighbors of x is denoted by $N_{\mathfrak{H}}(x)$, that

is, $N_{\mathfrak{H}}(x) := N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(x) \cup N_{\mathfrak{H}}^s(x)$.

A Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} is said to be *fat* if every slim vertex of \mathfrak{H} has a fat neighbor. A Hoffman graph is said to be *slim* if it has no fat vertex.

Two Hoffman graphs $\mathfrak{H} = (H, \mu)$ and $\mathfrak{H}' = (H', \mu')$ are said to be *isomorphic* if there exists an isomorphism from H to H' which preserves the labeling.

A Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{H}' = (H', \mu')$ is called an *induced Hoffman subgraph* (or simply a *subgraph*) of another Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{H} = (H, \mu)$ if H' is an induced subgraph of H and $\mu(x) = \mu'(x)$ holds for any vertex x of \mathfrak{H}' .

The subgraph of a Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} induced by $V^s(\mathfrak{H})$ is called the *slim subgraph* of \mathfrak{H} .

Definition 2.2. For a Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} , let A be its adjacency matrix,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_s & C \\ C^T & O \end{pmatrix}$$

in a labeling in which the fat vertices come last. The *eigenvalues* of \mathfrak{H} are the eigenvalues of the real symmetric matrix $B(\mathfrak{H}) := A_s - CC^T$. We denote by $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H})$ the smallest eigenvalue of $B(\mathfrak{H})$.

Remark 2.3. An ordinary graph H without vertex labeling can be regarded as a slim Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} . Then the matrix $B(\mathfrak{H})$ coincides with the ordinary adjacency matrix of the graph H . Thus the eigenvalues of H as a slim Hoffman graph are the same as the eigenvalues of H as an ordinary graph in the usual sense.

Example 2.4. Let \mathfrak{H}_I , \mathfrak{H}_{II} , and \mathfrak{H}_{III} be the Hoffman graphs defined by

$$\begin{aligned} V^s(\mathfrak{H}_I) &= \{v_1\}, & V^f(\mathfrak{H}_I) &= \{f_1\}, & E(\mathfrak{H}_I) &= \{\{v_1, f_1\}\}, \\ V^s(\mathfrak{H}_{II}) &= \{v_1\}, & V^f(\mathfrak{H}_{II}) &= \{f_1, f_2\}, & E(\mathfrak{H}_{II}) &= \{\{v_1, f_1\}, \{v_1, f_2\}\}, \\ V^s(\mathfrak{H}_{III}) &= \{v_1, v_2\}, & V^f(\mathfrak{H}_{III}) &= \{f_1\}, & E(\mathfrak{H}_{III}) &= \{\{v_1, f_1\}, \{v_2, f_1\}\} \end{aligned}$$

(see Figure 1). Note that $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}_I) = -1$ and $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}_{II}) = \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}_{III}) = -2$.




Figure 1: The Hoffman graphs \mathfrak{H}_I , \mathfrak{H}_{II} , and \mathfrak{H}_{III}

Lemma 2.5 ([12, Lemma 3.4]). *The diagonal entry $B(\mathfrak{H})_{xx}$ of the matrix $B(\mathfrak{H})$ is equal to $-|N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(x)|$, and the off-diagonal entry $B(\mathfrak{H})_{xy}$ is equal to $A_{xy} - |N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(x) \cap N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(y)|$.*

Lemma 2.6 ([12, Corollary 3.3]). *If \mathfrak{G} is an induced Hoffman subgraph of a Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} , then $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{G}) \geq \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H})$ holds. In particular, if Γ is the slim subgraph of \mathfrak{H} , then $\lambda_{\min}(\Gamma) \geq \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H})$.*

2.2 Decompositions of Hoffman graphs

Definition 2.7. A decomposition of a Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} is a family $\{\mathfrak{H}^i\}_{i=1}^n$ of Hoffman subgraphs of \mathfrak{H} satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $V(\mathfrak{H}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V(\mathfrak{H}^i)$;
- (ii) $V^s(\mathfrak{H}^i) \cap V^s(\mathfrak{H}^j) = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$;
- (iii) if $x \in V^s(\mathfrak{H}^i)$, $y \in V^f(\mathfrak{H})$, and $\{x, y\} \in E(\mathfrak{H})$, then $y \in V(\mathfrak{H}^i)$;
- (iv) if $x \in V^s(\mathfrak{H}^i)$, $y \in V^s(\mathfrak{H}^j)$, and $i \neq j$, then $|N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(x) \cap N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(y)| \leq 1$, and $|N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(x) \cap N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(y)| = 1$ if and only if $\{x, y\} \in E(\mathfrak{H})$.

If a Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} has a decomposition $\{\mathfrak{H}^i\}_{i=1}^n$, then we write $\mathfrak{H} = \biguplus_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{H}^i$.

Example 2.8. The (slim) complete graph K_n is precisely the slim subgraph of the Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{H} = \biguplus_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{H}^i$ where each \mathfrak{H}^i is isomorphic to \mathfrak{H}_I , sharing the unique fat vertex.

Ordinary line graphs are precisely the slim subgraphs of Hoffman graphs $\mathfrak{H} = \biguplus_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{H}^i$, where each \mathfrak{H}^i is isomorphic to \mathfrak{H}_{II} .

The (slim) cocktail party graph $CP(n) = K_{n \times 2}$ is precisely the slim subgraph of the Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{H} = \biguplus_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{H}^i$ where each \mathfrak{H}^i is isomorphic to \mathfrak{H}_{III} , sharing the unique fat vertex.

Generalized line graphs are precisely the slim subgraphs of Hoffman graphs $\mathfrak{H} = \biguplus_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{H}^i$, where each \mathfrak{H}^i is isomorphic to \mathfrak{H}_{II} or \mathfrak{H}_{III} (see [12]).

Definition 2.9. A Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} is said to be *decomposable* if \mathfrak{H} has a decomposition $\{\mathfrak{H}^i\}_{i=1}^n$ with $n \geq 2$. We say \mathfrak{H} is *indecomposable* if \mathfrak{H} is not decomposable.

Example 2.10. A disconnected Hoffman graph is decomposable.

Definition 2.11. Let α be a negative real number and let \mathfrak{H} be a Hoffman graph with $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}) \geq \alpha$. The Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} is said to be α -*reducible* if there exists a Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H}' containing \mathfrak{H} as an induced Hoffman subgraph such that there is a decomposition $\{\mathfrak{H}^i\}_{i=1}^2$ of \mathfrak{H}' with $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}^i) \geq \alpha$ and $V^s(\mathfrak{H}^i) \cap V^s(\mathfrak{H}) \neq \emptyset$ ($i = 1, 2$). We say \mathfrak{H} is α -*irreducible* if $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}) \geq \alpha$ and \mathfrak{H} is not α -reducible. A Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} is said to be *reducible* if \mathfrak{H} is $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H})$ -reducible. We say \mathfrak{H} is *irreducible* if \mathfrak{H} is not reducible.

Lemma 2.12 ([8, Lemma 2.12]). *If a Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} has a decomposition $\{\mathfrak{H}^i\}_{i=1}^n$, then $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}) = \min\{\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}^i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. In particular, an irreducible Hoffman graph is indecomposable.*

Example 2.13. For a non-negative integer t , let $\mathfrak{R}_{1,t}$ be the connected Hoffman graph having exactly one slim vertex and t fat vertices, i.e.,

$$\mathfrak{R}_{1,t} = (V^s(\mathfrak{R}_{1,t}), V^f(\mathfrak{R}_{1,t}), E(\mathfrak{R}_{1,t})) = (\{v\}, \{f_1, \dots, f_t\}, \{\{v, f_i\} \mid i = 1, \dots, t\}).$$

Then $\mathfrak{R}_{1,t}$ is irreducible and $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{R}_{1,t}) = -t$.

Example 2.14. By Example 2.13, the Hoffman graphs $\mathfrak{H}_I (\cong \mathfrak{R}_{1,1})$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{II} (\cong \mathfrak{R}_{1,2})$ are irreducible. The Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H}_{III} is also irreducible.

Example 2.15. Let \mathfrak{H}_{IV} be the Hoffman graph defined by

$$V^s(\mathfrak{H}_{IV}) = \{v_1, v_2\}, V^f(\mathfrak{H}_{IV}) = \{f_1, f_2\} \quad \text{and}$$

$$E(\mathfrak{H}_{IV}) = \{\{v_1, v_2\}, \{v_1, f_1\}, \{v_2, f_2\}\}.$$

The Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H}_{IV} is indecomposable but reducible. Indeed, it is clear that \mathfrak{H}_{IV} is indecomposable. Let \mathfrak{H}' be the Hoffman graph obtained from \mathfrak{H}_{IV} by adding a new fat vertex f_3 and two edges $\{v_1, f_3\}$ and $\{v_2, f_3\}$. The Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H}' is the sum of two copies of \mathfrak{H}_{II} , where the newly added fat vertex is shared by both copies, that is, \mathfrak{H}' is decomposable. Furthermore, $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}_{IV}) = \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}_{II}) = -2$. Hence \mathfrak{H}_{IV} is reducible.

Proposition 2.16. *Let G be a slim graph with at least two vertices. If G has maximum degree k , then G is $(-k)$ -reducible.*

Proof. Let G be a slim graph with maximum degree k . We define a Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} by adding a fat vertex for each edge e of G and joining it to the two end vertices of e . Note that G is the slim subgraph of \mathfrak{H} . For each slim vertex $x \in V^s(\mathfrak{H})$, let \mathfrak{H}^x be the Hoffman subgraph of \mathfrak{H} induced by $\{x\} \cup N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(x)$. Then \mathfrak{H}^x is isomorphic to the Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{R}_{1, \deg_G(x)}$ defined in Example 2.13, and we can check that $\mathfrak{H} = \bigsqcup_{x \in V^s(\mathfrak{H})} \mathfrak{H}^x$. Since the maximum degree of G is k , $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}^x) = -\deg_G(x) \geq -k$. Thus G is $(-k)$ -reducible. \square

Definition 2.17. Let \mathcal{H} be a family of isomorphism classes of Hoffman graphs. An \mathcal{H} -line graph is an induced Hoffman subgraph of a Hoffman graph which has a decomposition $\{\mathfrak{H}^i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that the isomorphism class of \mathfrak{H}^i belongs to \mathcal{H} for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

2.3 The special graphs of Hoffman graphs

Definition 2.18. An edge-signed graph \mathcal{S} is a pair (S, sgn) of a graph S and a map $\text{sgn} : E(S) \rightarrow \{+, -\}$. Let $V(\mathcal{S}) := V(S)$, $E^+(\mathcal{S}) := \text{sgn}^{-1}(+)$, and $E^-(\mathcal{S}) := \text{sgn}^{-1}(-)$. Each element in $E^+(\mathcal{S})$ (resp. $E^-(\mathcal{S})$) is called a $(+)$ -edge (resp. a $(-)$ -edge) of \mathcal{S} . We represent an edge-signed graph \mathcal{S} also by the triple $(V(\mathcal{S}), E^+(\mathcal{S}), E^-(\mathcal{S}))$.

An edge-signed graph $\mathcal{S}' = (S', \text{sgn}')$ is called an induced edge-signed subgraph of an edge-signed graph $\mathcal{S} = (S, \text{sgn})$ if S' is an induced subgraph of S and $\text{sgn}(e) = \text{sgn}'(e)$ holds for any edge e of S' .

Two edge-signed graphs \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' are said to be isomorphic if there exists a bijection $\phi : V(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow V(\mathcal{S}')$ such that $\{u, v\} \in E^+(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if $\{\phi(u), \phi(v)\} \in E^+(\mathcal{S}')$ and that $\{u, v\} \in E^-(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if $\{\phi(u), \phi(v)\} \in E^-(\mathcal{S}')$.

An edge-signed graph \mathcal{S} is said to be connected (resp. disconnected) if the graph $(V(\mathcal{S}), E^+(\mathcal{S}) \cup E^-(\mathcal{S}))$ is connected (resp. disconnected).

Example 2.19. A connected edge-signed graph with at most two vertices is isomorphic to one of the edge-signed graphs $\mathcal{S}_{1,1}$, $\mathcal{S}_{2,1}$, and $\mathcal{S}_{2,2}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathcal{S}_{1,1}) &= \{v_1\}, & E^+(\mathcal{S}_{1,1}) &= \emptyset, & E^-(\mathcal{S}_{1,1}) &= \emptyset, \\ V(\mathcal{S}_{2,1}) &= \{v_1, v_2\}, & E^+(\mathcal{S}_{2,1}) &= \{\{v_1, v_2\}\}, & E^-(\mathcal{S}_{2,1}) &= \emptyset, \\ V(\mathcal{S}_{2,2}) &= \{v_1, v_2\}, & E^+(\mathcal{S}_{2,2}) &= \emptyset, & E^-(\mathcal{S}_{2,2}) &= \{\{v_1, v_2\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

(see Figure 2 in which we draw an edge-signed graph by depicting $(+)$ -edges as full lines and $(-)$ -edges as dashed lines).




Figure 2: The connected edge-signed graphs with at most two vertices

Definition 2.20. The *special graph* of a Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} is the edge-signed graph

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H}) := (V(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})), E^+(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})), E^-(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})))$$

where $V(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})) := V^s(\mathfrak{H})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} E^+(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})) &:= \{\{u, v\} \mid u, v \in V^s(\mathfrak{H}), u \neq v, \{u, v\} \in E(\mathfrak{H}), N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(u) \cap N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(v) = \emptyset\}, \\ E^-(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})) &:= \{\{u, v\} \mid u, v \in V^s(\mathfrak{H}), u \neq v, \{u, v\} \notin E(\mathfrak{H}), N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(u) \cap N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(v) \neq \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.21 ([8, Lemma 3.4]). *A Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} is indecomposable if and only if its special graph $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ is connected.*

Definition 2.22. For an edge-signed graph \mathcal{S} , we define its *signed adjacency matrix* $M(\mathcal{S})$ by

$$(M(\mathcal{S}))_{uv} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \{u, v\} \in E^+(\mathcal{S}), \\ -1 & \text{if } \{u, v\} \in E^-(\mathcal{S}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We denote by $\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{S})$ the smallest eigenvalue of $M(\mathcal{S})$.

We remark that P. J. Cameron, J. J. Seidel, and S. V. Tsaranov studied the eigenvalues of edge-signed graphs in [2].

Lemma 2.23. *If \mathcal{S}' is an induced edge-signed subgraph of an edge-signed graph \mathcal{S} , then $\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{S}') \geq \lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{S})$.*

Proof. Since $M(\mathcal{S}')$ is a principal submatrix of $M(\mathcal{S})$, the lemma holds. □

Lemma 2.24. *Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hoffman graph in which any two distinct slim vertices have at most one common fat neighbor. Then*

$$M(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})) = B(\mathfrak{H}) + D(\mathfrak{H}),$$

where $D(\mathfrak{H})$ is the diagonal matrix defined by $D(\mathfrak{H})_{xx} := |N_{\mathfrak{H}}^f(x)|$ for $x \in V^s(\mathfrak{H})$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the definitions and Lemma 2.5. □

Lemma 2.25. *If \mathfrak{H} is a fat Hoffman graph with smallest eigenvalue greater than -3 , then $\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})) \geq \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}) + 1$.*

Proof. If some two distinct slim vertices of \mathfrak{H} have two common fat neighbors, then \mathfrak{H} contains an induced subgraph with smallest eigenvalue at most -3 . This contradicts the assumption by Lemma 2.6. Thus the hypothesis of Lemma 2.24 is satisfied. Since \mathfrak{H} is fat, the smallest eigenvalue of $M(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})) = B(\mathfrak{H}) + D(\mathfrak{H})$ is at least $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}) + 1$ by [7, Corollary 4.3.3], proving the desired inequality. □

3 Main Results

3.1 The edge-signed graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least $-\tau$

Definition 3.1. Let $p, q,$ and r be non-negative integers with $p + q \leq r$. Let $V_p, V_q,$ and V_r be mutually disjoint sets such that $|V_i| = i$ where $i \in \{p, q, r\}$. Let U_p and U_q be subsets of V_r satisfying $|U_p| = p, |U_q| = q,$ and $U_p \cap U_q = \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}$ be the edge-signed graph defined by

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}) &:= V_p \cup V_q \cup V_r, \\ E^+(\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}) &:= \{\{u, v\} \mid u \in U_p, v \in V_p\} \cup \{\{v, v'\} \mid v, v' \in V_r, v \neq v'\}, \\ E^-(\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}) &:= \{\{u, v\} \mid u \in U_q, v \in V_q\} \end{aligned}$$

(see Figure 3 for an illustration).




Figure 3: $\mathcal{Q}_{3,2,6}$

Lemma 3.2. For any non-negative integers $p, q,$ and r with $p + q \leq r, \lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}) \geq -\tau$.

Proof. Let

$$M(\mathcal{Q}_{r,r,2r}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -I \\ I & 0 & J - I & J \\ 0 & -I & J & J - I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Multiplying

$$\begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & xI & 0 \\ 0 & I & 0 & -xI \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

from the left to $xI - M(\mathcal{Q}_{r,r,2r})$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |xI - M(\mathcal{Q}_{r,r,2r})| &= (-1)^r \begin{vmatrix} (x^2 + x - 1)I & -(x^2 + x - 1)I \\ xJ & -(x^2 + x - 1)I + xJ \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (x^2 + x - 1)^r \begin{vmatrix} I & I \\ xJ & (x^2 + x - 1)I - xJ \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (x^2 + x - 1)^r |(x^2 + x - 1)I - 2xJ| \\ &= (x^2 + x - 1)^{2r-1} (x^2 - (2r - 1)x - 1). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we obtain $\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{Q}_{r,r,2r}) = -\tau$. Since $p \leq r$ and $q \leq r$, $\mathcal{Q}_{r,r,2r}$ has an induced edge-signed subgraph isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}$. By Lemma 2.23, $\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}) \geq \lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{Q}_{r,r,2r}) = -\tau$. \square

Example 3.3. Let \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2 be the edge-signed triangles having exactly one (+)-edge and exactly two (+)-edges, respectively, i.e., $V(\mathcal{T}_1) = V(\mathcal{T}_2) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, $E^+(\mathcal{T}_1) = E^-(\mathcal{T}_2) = \{\{v_1, v_2\}\}$, and $E^-(\mathcal{T}_1) = E^+(\mathcal{T}_2) = \{\{v_1, v_3\}, \{v_2, v_3\}\}$ (see Figure 4).

For $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 \in \{1, -1\}$ and $\delta \in \{0, \pm 1\}$, let $\mathcal{S}_1(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)$, $\mathcal{S}_2(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \delta)$, $\mathcal{S}_3(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \delta)$, and $\mathcal{S}_4(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ be the edge-signed graphs in Figure 5, where an edge with label 1 (resp. -1) represents a (+)-edge (resp. a (−)-edge) and an edge with label 0 represents a non-adjacent pair.

It can be checked that each of the edge-signed graphs \mathcal{T}_2 , $\mathcal{S}_1(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)$, $\mathcal{S}_2(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \delta)$, $\mathcal{S}_3(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \delta)$, $\mathcal{S}_4(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ has the smallest eigenvalue less than $-\tau$.




Figure 4: The edge-signed triangles \mathcal{T}_1 and \mathcal{T}_2

Theorem 3.4. Let \mathcal{S} be a connected edge-signed graph with $\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{S}) \geq -\tau$. Assume that \mathcal{S} does not contain an induced edge-signed subgraph isomorphic to \mathcal{T}_1 . Then either \mathcal{S} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}$ for some non-negative integers p, q, r with $p + q \leq r$, or \mathcal{S} has at most 6 vertices and is isomorphic to one of the 15 edge-signed graphs in Figure 6.

Proof. By using computer [14], we checked that the theorem holds when $|V(\mathcal{S})| \leq 7$. We prove the assertion by induction on $|V(\mathcal{S})|$. Assume that the assertion holds for $|V(\mathcal{S})| = n$ (≥ 7). Suppose that $|V(\mathcal{S})| = n + 1$. It follows from Problem 6(a) in Section 6 of [9] that there exists a vertex v which is not a cut vertex of \mathcal{S} . Then $\mathcal{S} - v$ is connected, where $\mathcal{S} - v$ is the edge-signed subgraph induced by $V(\mathcal{S}) \setminus \{v\}$. Since $\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{S} - v) \geq \lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{S}) \geq -\tau$, the inductive hypothesis implies that $\mathcal{S} - v$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}$ for some p, q, r with $p + q + r = n$. Thus \mathcal{S} is the edge-signed graph obtained from $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}$ by adding the vertex v and signed edges between v and some vertices in $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}$. Note that $r \geq 4$ since $n = p + q + r \geq 7$ and $p + q \leq r$.




Figure 5: Edge-signed graphs with smallest eigenvalue less than $-\tau$

We claim that either v is adjacent to only one vertex of V_r , or to all the vertices of V_r . Note that \mathcal{S} cannot contain any of the edge-signed graphs \mathcal{T}_2 , $\mathcal{S}_1(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)$, $\mathcal{S}_2(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \delta)$, $\mathcal{S}_3(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \delta)$, $\mathcal{S}_4(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ in Example 3.3. If v is adjacent to none of the vertices of V_r , then \mathcal{S} contains $\mathcal{S}_4(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ as an induced edge-signed subgraph, a contradiction. If the number of neighbors of v in V_r is at least 2 and less than r , then \mathcal{S} contains $\mathcal{S}_3(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \delta)$ as an induced edge-signed subgraph, a contradiction. Thus the claim holds.

Now, if v is adjacent to only one vertex of V_r , then the unique neighbor of v in V_r is in $V_r \setminus (U_p \cup U_q)$. Indeed, otherwise we would find $\mathcal{S}_2(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \delta)$ as an induced edge-signed subgraph, a contradiction. Also, v is adjacent to none of the vertices of $V_p \cup V_q$ since otherwise we would find $\mathcal{S}_1(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)$ as an induced edge-signed subgraph, a contradiction. Thus \mathcal{S} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_{p+1,q,r}$ or $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q+1,r}$.

Suppose that v is adjacent to all the vertices of V_r . Since V_r is a clique consisting of (+)-edges only, the assumption implies that v is incident with at most one (-)-edge to V_r . If there is a vertex of V_r joined to v by a (-)-edge, then we find \mathcal{T}_2 as an induced edge-signed subgraph, a contradiction. Thus all the edges from v to V_r are (+)-edges. Now v is adjacent to none of the vertices of $V_p \cup V_q$ since otherwise we would find $\mathcal{S}_3(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, 0)$ as an induced edge-signed subgraph, a contradiction. Thus \mathcal{S} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r+1}$. Hence the theorem holds. \square

Lemma 3.5. *The smallest eigenvalues of the signed adjacency matrices of the edge-signed graphs in Figure 6 are given as follows:*

$$\lambda_{\min}(\mathcal{S}) = \begin{cases} -\sqrt{2} & \approx -1.414213 & \text{if } \mathcal{S} \in \{\mathcal{S}_{3,1}, \mathcal{S}_{4,4}\}, \\ \frac{1-\sqrt{17}}{2} & \approx -1.561553 & \text{if } \mathcal{S} \in \{\mathcal{S}_{4,5}, \mathcal{S}_{5,5}, \mathcal{S}_{5,6}\}, \\ 1+t & \approx -1.601679 & \text{if } \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{6,3}, \\ -\tau & \approx -1.618034 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$




Figure 6: The 15 connected edge-signed graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least $-\tau$ not containing \mathcal{T}_1 , other than $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}$ where p, q, r are some non-negative integers with $p+q \leq r$

where t is the smallest zero of the polynomial $x^3 - 6x + 2$.

Proof. This can be checked by a direct calculation. □

Remark 3.6. Among edge-signed graphs in Figure 6, the maximal ones with respect to taking induced edge-signed graphs are $\mathcal{S}_{4,1}, \mathcal{S}_{5,2}, \mathcal{S}_{5,3}, \mathcal{S}_{5,6}, \mathcal{S}_{6,1}, \mathcal{S}_{6,2}, \mathcal{S}_{6,3}$.

3.2 The special graphs of fat $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible Hoffman graphs

Lemma 3.7. *Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hoffman graph with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \tau$. Then every slim vertex of \mathfrak{H} has at most two fat neighbors.*

Proof. If a slim vertex v of \mathfrak{H} has at least 3 fat neighbors, then \mathfrak{H} contains an induced Hoffman subgraph isomorphic to the Hoffman graph $\mathfrak{K}_{1,3}$ (see Example 2.13). By Lemma 2.6, we have $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}) \leq \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{K}_{1,3}) = -3$, which is a contradiction to $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}) \geq -1 - \tau$. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let S be a connected edge-signed graph with three vertices. Let D be a 3×3 diagonal matrix with diagonal entries 1 or 2 such that at least one of the diagonal entries is 2. Then $M(S) - D$ has the smallest eigenvalue less than $-1 - \tau$.*

Proof. This can be checked by a direct calculation. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let \mathfrak{H} be a fat indecomposable Hoffman graph with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \tau$. If some slim vertex of \mathfrak{H} has at least two fat neighbors, then the special graph $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ of \mathfrak{H} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_{0,0,1}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{1,0,1}$, or $\mathcal{Q}_{0,1,1}$.*

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.6, it suffices to show that every fat indecomposable Hoffman graph with three slim vertices, in which some slim vertex has two fat neighbors, has the smallest eigenvalue less than $-1 - \tau$.

Let \mathfrak{H} be such a Hoffman graph. Then $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ is connected by Lemma 2.21 and $B(\mathfrak{H}) = M(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})) - D$ for some diagonal matrix D with diagonal entries 1 or 2 such that at least one of the diagonal entries is 2. Then we have a contradiction by Lemma 3.8. \square

Example 3.10. Let $\mathfrak{H}_{\text{XVI}}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{\text{XVII}}$ be the Hoffman graphs in Figure 7. The special graphs of $\mathfrak{H}_{\text{XVI}}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_{\text{XVII}}$ are $\mathcal{Q}_{1,0,1}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{0,1,1}$, respectively, and $\lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}_{\text{XVI}}) = \lambda_{\min}(\mathfrak{H}_{\text{XVII}}) = -1 - \tau$.




Figure 7: Fat indecomposable Hoffman graphs

Lemma 3.11. *Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hoffman graph in which every slim vertex has at most one fat neighbor. Then the special graph $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ of \mathfrak{H} does not contain an induced edge-signed subgraph isomorphic to \mathcal{T}_1 .*

Proof. Suppose that the special graph $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ of \mathfrak{H} contains $\mathcal{T}_1 = (\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}, \{\{v_1, v_2\}\}, \{\{v_1, v_3\}, \{v_2, v_3\}\})$ as an induced edge-signed subgraph. Since v_3 is incident to a $(-)$ -edge, v_3 must have a fat neighbor. Since every slim vertex of \mathfrak{H} has at most one fat neighbor, v_3 has a unique fat neighbor f . Then f is adjacent to v_1 and v_2 . This is a contradiction to $\{v_1, v_2\} \in E^+(\mathcal{T}_1)$. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hoffman graph in which every slim vertex has exactly one fat neighbor. If the special graph $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ of \mathfrak{H} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}$ for some non-negative integers p, q, r , then \mathfrak{H} is an induced Hoffman subgraph of a Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H}' with $V^s(\mathfrak{H}') = V^s(\mathfrak{H})$ which has a decomposition $\{\mathfrak{H}^i\}_{i=1}^r$ such that \mathfrak{H}^i is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{H}_{\text{XVI}}$, $\mathfrak{H}_{\text{XVII}}$, or \mathfrak{H}_{II} for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. In particular, if $r \geq 2$, then \mathfrak{H} is $(-1 - \tau)$ -reducible.*

Proof. By the assumption, $V^s(\mathfrak{H}) = V(\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H}))$ is partitioned into $V_p \cup V_q \cup V_r$ as Definition 3.1. Consider the Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H}' defined by $V^s(\mathfrak{H}') := V^s(\mathfrak{H})$, $V^f(\mathfrak{H}') := V^f(\mathfrak{H}) \cup \{f^*\}$, and $E(\mathfrak{H}') := E(\mathfrak{H}) \cup \{\{v, f^*\} \mid v \in V_r\}$, where f^* is a new fat vertex. Note that \mathfrak{H} is an induced Hoffman subgraph of \mathfrak{H}' with $V^s(\mathfrak{H}) = V^s(\mathfrak{H}')$. Then \mathfrak{H}' has a decomposition $\{\mathfrak{H}^i\}_{i=1}^r$ with $\mathfrak{H}^i \cong \mathfrak{H}_{\text{XVI}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$, $\mathfrak{H}^i \cong \mathfrak{H}_{\text{XVII}}$ for $p < i \leq p + q$, and $\mathfrak{H}^i \cong \mathfrak{H}_{\text{II}}$ for $p + q < i \leq p + q + r$ (see Examples 2.4 and 3.10). Since $r \geq 2$ and each of the Hoffman graphs \mathfrak{H}^i has the smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \tau$, it follows that \mathfrak{H} is $(-1 - \tau)$ -reducible. \square

Theorem 3.13. *Let \mathfrak{H} be a fat indecomposable Hoffman graph with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \tau$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) *If some slim vertex of \mathfrak{H} has at least two fat neighbors, then the special graph $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ of \mathfrak{H} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_{0,0,1}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{1,0,1}$, or $\mathcal{Q}_{0,1,1}$.*
- (ii) *If every slim vertex of \mathfrak{H} has exactly one fat neighbor, then the special graph $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ of \mathfrak{H} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}$ for some non-negative integers p, q, r with $p + q \leq r$ or one of the 15 edge-signed graphs in Figure 6.*

Proof. The statement (i) follows from Lemma 3.9. We show (ii). Suppose that every slim vertex of \mathfrak{H} has exactly one fat neighbor. By Lemma 2.21, $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ is connected, and by Lemma 2.25, $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ has smallest eigenvalue at least $-\tau$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.11, $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ does not contain an induced edge-signed subgraph isomorphic to \mathcal{T}_1 . Now Theorem 3.4 implies that $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ is isomorphic $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}$ or one of the 15 edge-signed graphs in Figure 6. \square

Corollary 3.14. *Let \mathfrak{H} be a fat $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible Hoffman graph. Then the special graph $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ of \mathfrak{H} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_{0,0,1}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{1,0,1}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{0,1,1}$, or one of the 15 edge-signed graphs in Figure 6.*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{H} is $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible, \mathfrak{H} is indecomposable. If some slim vertex of \mathfrak{H} has at least two fat neighbors, then the statement holds by Theorem 3.13 (i). Suppose that every slim vertex of \mathfrak{H} has exactly one fat neighbor. By Theorem 3.13 (ii), $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}$ for some non-negative integers p, q, r , or one of the 15 edge-signed graphs in Figure 6. Since \mathfrak{H} is $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible, the former case occurs only for $r = 1$ by Lemma 3.12. Hence the corollary holds. \square

3.3 The classification of fat Hoffman graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \tau$




Figure 8: The fat $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible Hoffman graphs with 3 or 4 slim vertices

Lemma 3.15. *Let \mathfrak{H} be a fat indecomposable Hoffman graph with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \tau$. If the number of slim vertices of \mathfrak{H} is at most two, then \mathfrak{H} is isomorphic to one of \mathfrak{H}_I , \mathfrak{H}_{II} , \mathfrak{H}_{III} , \mathfrak{H}_{IV} , \mathfrak{H}_{XVI} , and \mathfrak{H}_{XVII} .*




Figure 9: The fat $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible Hoffman graphs with 5 slim vertices




Figure 10: The fat $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible Hoffman graphs with 6 slim vertices

Proof. Straightforward. □

Lemma 3.16. *Let \mathfrak{H} be a fat $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible Hoffman graph. If $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}_{i,j}$ in Figure 6, then \mathfrak{H} is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{H}_{i,j}^k$ for some k in Figures 8, 9, and 10.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.9, every slim vertex has exactly one fat neighbor. It is then straightforward to establish the lemma. □

Theorem 3.17. *Let \mathfrak{H} be a fat $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible Hoffman graph. Then \mathfrak{H} is isomorphic to one of $\mathfrak{H}_I, \mathfrak{H}_{II}, \mathfrak{H}_{III}, \mathfrak{H}_{XVI}, \mathfrak{H}_{XVII}$, and the 32 Hoffman graphs given in Figures 8, 9, and 10.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.14, the special graph $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ of \mathfrak{H} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_{0,0,1}, \mathcal{Q}_{1,0,1}, \mathcal{Q}_{0,1,1}$, or one of the 15 edge-signed graphs in Figure 6. If the number of slim vertices of \mathfrak{H} is at most two, then the statement holds by Lemma 3.15 and Example 2.15. If the number of slim vertices of \mathfrak{H} is at least three, then the statement holds by Lemma 3.16. □

Theorem 3.18. *Let \mathcal{H} be the set of isomorphism classes of the maximal members of the 37 fat $(-1 - \tau)$ -irreducible Hoffman graphs given in Theorem 3.17, with respect to taking induced Hoffman subgraphs. More precisely, \mathcal{H} is the set of isomorphism classes of $\mathfrak{H}_{XVI}, \mathfrak{H}_{XVII}, \mathfrak{H}_{4,1}^1, \mathfrak{H}_{4,3}^2, \mathfrak{H}_{5,2}^1, \mathfrak{H}_{5,3}^1, \mathfrak{H}_{5,6}^1$, and the 11 Hoffman graphs in Figure 10. Then every fat Hoffman graph with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \tau$ is an \mathcal{H} -line graph.*

Proof. It suffices to show that every fat indecomposable Hoffman graph \mathfrak{H} with smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \tau$ is an \mathcal{H} -line graph. First suppose that some slim vertex of \mathfrak{H} has two fat neighbors. Then by Lemma 3.9, \mathfrak{H} has at most two slim vertices, and by Lemma 3.15, \mathfrak{H} is isomorphic to one of $\mathfrak{H}_I, \mathfrak{H}_{II}, \mathfrak{H}_{III}, \mathfrak{H}_{IV}, \mathfrak{H}_{XVI}$, and \mathfrak{H}_{XVII} . Since \mathfrak{H}_I and \mathfrak{H}_{II} are induced Hoffman subgraphs of \mathfrak{H}_{XVI} , and \mathfrak{H}_{III} is an induced Hoffman subgraph of \mathfrak{H}_{XVII} , they are \mathcal{H} -line graphs. Note that \mathfrak{H}_{IV} is also an \mathcal{H} -line graph since Example 2.15 shows that \mathfrak{H}_{IV} is an induced Hoffman subgraph of a Hoffman graph having a decomposition into two induced Hoffman subgraphs isomorphic to \mathfrak{H}_I . Thus the result holds in this case.

Next suppose that every slim vertex of \mathfrak{H} has exactly one fat neighbor. Then by Theorem 3.13 (ii), $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{H})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{Q}_{p,q,r}$ for some non-negative integers p, q, r with $p + q \leq r$ or one of the 15 edge-signed graphs in Figure 6. In the former case, \mathfrak{H} is an \mathcal{H} -line graph by Lemma 3.12. In the latter case, Lemma 3.16 implies that \mathfrak{H} is an \mathcal{H} -line graph since \mathcal{H} contains all the maximal members of the isomorphism classes of Hoffman graphs $\mathfrak{H}_{i,j}^k$. □

References

- [1] P. J. Cameron, J. M. Goethals, J. J. Seidel and E. E. Shult, Line graphs, root systems and elliptic geometry, *J. Algebra* **43** (1976), 305–327.
- [2] P. J. Cameron, J. J. Seidel and S. V. Tsaranov, Signed graphs, root lattices, and Coxeter groups, *J. Algebra* **164** (1994), 173–209.
- [3] D. Cvetković, M. Doob and S. Simić, Generalized line graphs, *J. Graph Theor.* **5** (1981), 385–399.
- [4] D. Cvetković, P. Rowlinson and S. K. Simić, *Spectral Generalizations of Line Graphs — On graphs with least eigenvalue -2* , Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.

- [5] A. J. Hoffman, On limit points of the least eigenvalue of a graph, *Ars Combinatoria* **3** (1977), 3–14.
- [6] A. J. Hoffman, On graphs whose least eigenvalue exceeds $-1 - \sqrt{2}$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **16** (1977), 153–165.
- [7] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Corrected reprint of the 1985 original, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [8] H. J. Jang, J. Koolen, A. Munemasa and T. Taniguchi, On fat Hoffman graphs with smallest eigenvalue at least -3 , *Ars Math. Contemp.* **7** (2014), 105–121.
- [9] L. Lovász, *Combinatorial Problems and Exercises*, 2nd edition, North-Holland, 1993.
- [10] T. Taniguchi, On graphs with the smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \sqrt{2}$, part I, *Ars Math. Contemp.* **1** (2008), 81–98.
- [11] T. Taniguchi, On graphs with the smallest eigenvalue at least $-1 - \sqrt{2}$, part II, *Ars Math. Contemp.* **5** (2012), 239–254.
- [12] R. Woo and A. Neumaier, On graphs whose smallest eigenvalue is at least $-1 - \sqrt{2}$, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **226-228** (1995), 577–591.
- [13] H. Yu, On the limit points of the smallest eigenvalues of regular graphs, *Designs Codes Cryptogr.* **65** (2012), 77–88.
- [14] The Magma Computational Algebra System for Algebra, Number Theory and Geometry, URL: <http://magma.maths.usyd.edu.au/magma/>.