

Strongly involutive self-dual polyhedra

Javier Bracho Carpizo *

Instituto de Matemáticas, UNAM, Mexico.

Luis Montejano Peimbert †

Instituto de Matemáticas, UNAM-Campus Juriquilla, Mexico.

Eric Pauli Pérez Contreras ‡

Instituto de Matemáticas, UNAM-Campus Juriquilla and IMAG, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France.

Jorge L. Ramírez Alfonsín §

UMI2924 - Jean-Christophe Yoccoz, CNRS-IMPA and IMAG, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, Montpellier, France.

Received 10 December 2019, accepted 11 October 2020

Abstract

A polyhedron is a graph which is simple, planar and 3-connected. In this note, we classify the family of *strongly involutive* self-dual polyhedra. The latter is done by using a well-known result due to Tutte characterizing 3-connected graphs. We also show that in this special class of polyhedra self-duality behaves topologically as the antipodal mapping. These self-dual polyhedra are related with several problems in convex and discrete geometry including the Vázsonyi problem.

Keywords: Polyhedra, graphs, duality, self-dual, antipodal.

Math. Subj. Class. (2020): 05C15, 05C10

*Supported by PAPIIT-UNAM under project IN109218.

†Supported by CONACyT under project 166306 and support from PAPIIT-UNAM under project IN112614.

‡Supported by CONACyT Grant 268597.

§Supported by MATHAMSUD 18-MATH-01, Project FLaNASAGraTA and by PICS07848 CNRS.

E-mail addresses: jbracho@im.unam.mx (Javier Bracho Carpizo), luis@im.unam.mx (Luis Montejano Peimbert), eric@im.unam.mx (Eric Pauli Pérez Contreras), jorge.ramirez-alfonsin@umontpellier.fr (Jorge L. Ramírez Alfonsín)

1 Introduction

A planar and 3-connected graph $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ can be drawn in essentially one way on the sphere or the plane. This fundamental fact is a result of the work of Whitney [14]. It tells us that we not only have the sets V and E defined, but that the set F of faces is also determined, and furthermore the dual graph \mathcal{G}^* is well defined. The dual graph \mathcal{G}^* is the graph whose vertex set V^* is the set of faces F of \mathcal{G} , and two new vertices in \mathcal{G}^* are connected by an edge if and only if the faces that define them are adjacent in \mathcal{G} .

In this class of graphs, each face f is determined by its *boundary walk*, that is, a cyclically ordered sequence (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k) consisting of the vertices (and the edges) that are in the closure of the region defining the face f (see [4]). In this sense we can say that u *incides* on f , if it is any of the elements v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k of the cycle defining the face f . We denote this situation simply by $u \in f$. From Steinitz's theorem [12] we know that it is the same to talk about polyhedra in the sense of convex polytopes and to talk about these graphs, so we will refer to them as *polyhedra*. A *polyhedron* is a graph \mathcal{G} that is simple (without loops and multiple edges), planar and 3-connected.

A polyhedron \mathcal{P} is said to be *self-dual* if there exists an isomorphism of graphs $\tau : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^*$. This isomorphism is called a *duality isomorphism*. There may be several of these duality isomorphisms and each of them is a bijection between vertices and faces of \mathcal{P} , such that adjacent vertices correspond to adjacent faces. We are interested in such an isomorphism that satisfies two more properties:

1. For each pair u, v of vertices, $u \in \tau(v)$ if and only if $v \in \tau(u)$.
2. For every vertex v , we have that $v \notin \tau(v)$.

Such an isomorphism will be called a *strong involution*. If \mathcal{P} is a self-dual polyhedron admitting a strong involution τ , we will say that \mathcal{P} is a *strongly involutive polyhedron*.

Strongly involutive self-dual polyhedra are very common, like for example wheels on n -cycles with n odd and hyperwheels on n -cycles with n -even (see [11]). In fact the relevance of strongly involutive self-dual polyhedra is partially related with the famous Vázsonyi problem. Let T be a finite set of points of diameter h in Euclidean d -space. Characterize those sets T for which the diameter is attained a maximal number of times as a segment of length h with both endpoints in T . Y. S. Kupitz *et al.* [5], call these sets *extremal configurations*. For $d = 3$, if T is an extremal configuration and V is the intersection of balls of radius h with centers in points of T , a facial structure can be defined on the boundary of V that is *strongly self-dual* in the sense that it admits an duality isomorphism that is involution and is fixed-point free when acting as an automorphism of the first barycentric subdivision of the boundary cell complex of V (see [5]). Indeed, this unusual connection between discrete and convex geometry attracted the attention of several mathematicians to this and other related problems. See, for example L. Lóvasz [6], L. Montejano and E. Roldán-Pensado [9], L. Montejano *et al.* [8] and the work of Bezdek *et al.* [2]. For more about the Vázsonyi problem see [7].

In order to have a good understanding of strongly involutive self-dual polyhedra, we will use a result due to Tutte [13] establishing that every 3-connected graph is either a

wheel (a cycle where every vertex is also connected with a central vertex o) or it can be obtained from a wheel by a finite sequence of two operations: adding an edge between any pair of vertices and splitting a given vertex v , with degree $\delta(v) \geq 4$, into two new adjacent vertices v' and v'' in such a way that the new graph obtained is still 3-connected.

In the following section we briefly summarize the notions and notation in relation with the above Tutte's result restricted to the case of simple and planar graphs. In [1], Grünbaum and Barnette used this idea for giving two proofs of Steinitz's Theorem. In Section 3, we show our main results that classify the strongly involutive self-dual polyhedra. Finally, in Section 4, we give a geometric interpretation of strong involutions by proving that such a duality is topologically equivalent to the antipodal mapping on the sphere.

2 Tutte's theorem for polyhedra

In this section we summarize the main ideas and terminology of a recursive classification of spherical polyhedra. These results are deduced from Tutte's work and the details can be found in [10]. Let \mathcal{G} be a polyhedron and $e = (uv)$ any edge of \mathcal{G} . We write $\mathcal{G} \setminus e$ for the graph obtained from \mathcal{G} by deleting e . We write \mathcal{G}/e for the graph obtained from $\mathcal{G} \setminus e$ by identifying its endpoints u and v in a single vertex uv . In the same way, given any subset X of V , we write $\mathcal{G} \setminus X$ for the graph obtained from \mathcal{G} by omitting the elements of X and any edge such that one of its endpoints is an element of X . We will say that $e = (uv)$ can be deleted if $\mathcal{G} \setminus e$ is a polyhedron and we say that $e = (uv)$ can be contracted if \mathcal{G}/e is a polyhedron. We will say that X is an n -cutting set if it has n vertices and $\mathcal{G} \setminus X$ is not connected. According to Tutte's terminology, we will say that an edge e is essential if neither $\mathcal{G} \setminus e$ nor \mathcal{G}/e are polyhedra. In other words, e is essential if it cannot be deleted and it cannot be contracted.

Theorem 2.1 ([10]). *The following statements are equivalent.*

1. \mathcal{G} is a wheel.
2. Every edge is essential.
3. Every edge is on a triangular face and has one of its endpoints of degree 3.

This result can be rephrased as follows.

Remark 2.2. Every polyhedron is either a wheel or it can be obtained by a wheel by adding new edges within faces of the polyhedron or its dual's. Equivalently: if a polyhedron is not a wheel there is always a not essential edge, this means, an edge we can delete or contract in order to obtain a new polyhedron with one fewer edge.

In this way we can *reduce* any polyhedron by a finite sequence of this operations until we obtain a wheel. It happens that one can obtain different wheels from a given polyhedron by selecting different sequences of non essential edges.

3 Strongly involutive polyhedra

Throughout this section, we let $\mathcal{P} = (V, E, F, \tau)$ be a strongly involutive self-dual polyhedron and $(ab) \in E$ any edge of \mathcal{P} . By definition $\tau(a)$ and $\tau(b)$ are adjacent faces of \mathcal{P} , thus there must be an edge $(xy) \in E$ such that $\tau(a) \cap \tau(b) = (xy)$ and condition (1) of

strong involution implies that $\tau(x) \cap \tau(y) = (ab)$. We will write $\tau(ab)$ for the edge (xy) . We will say that (ab) is a *diameter* if and only if $a \in \tau(b)$ (and therefore $b \in \tau(a)$).

Lemma 3.1. *If (ab) and (xy) are both diameters, then \mathcal{P} is the tetrahedron K_4 .*

Proof. From the hypotheses we deduce $a \in \tau(x) \cap \tau(y) \cap \tau(b)$ and $x \in \tau(a) \cap \tau(b) \cap \tau(y)$, then $\{a, x\} \subset \tau(y) \cap \tau(b)$ but from the 3-connectivity, the intersection of any two faces must be empty, a single vertex or a single edge, thus (ax) is an edge, otherwise $\{a, x\}$ is a 2-cutting set. Analogously, (bx) is an edge. In the same way, (ya) and (yb) are edges. It follows that the induced graph on these four vertices is K_4 . In addition, we have the faces $\tau(a), \tau(b), \tau(x)$ and $\tau(y)$ are triangles. Suppose there exist additional vertices. Take any vertex $v \in V \setminus \{a, b, x, y\}$ such that v is connected to some vertex in $\{a, b, x, y\}$ by an edge. Assume, without loss of generality, that (av) is an edge. This is a contradiction because in that case face $\tau(a)$ should form a cycle with at least four edges. \square

Lemma 3.2. *If (ab) is a diameter and (xy) is not, then $\{a, b, x\}$ and $\{a, b, y\}$ are 3-cutting sets of \mathcal{P} .*

Proof. From the hypotheses we can deduce that $(\tau(a) \cup \tau(b)) \setminus (xy)$ and $(\tau(x) \cup \tau(y)) \setminus (ab)$ are cycles whose intersection is the set $\{a, b\}$, then we can observe that $(\tau(a) \cup \tau(b)) \setminus (xy) \cup (ab)$ is the union of two cycles γ_1, γ_2 whose intersection is the edge (ab) and thus $\mathcal{P} \setminus \gamma_1$ consists of two connected pieces R_1, R_2 and also $\mathcal{P} \setminus \gamma_2$ consists of two connected pieces S_1, S_2 . Since $\tau(x) \cap \tau(y) = (ab) = \gamma_1 \cap \gamma_2$, we may assume $\tau(x) \setminus (ab) \subset R_1 \cap S_1$ and $\tau(y) \setminus (ab) \subset R_2 \cap S_2$. Let be $w \in (\tau(y) \setminus (ab)) \setminus \gamma_1 \subset R_2 \cap S_2$. It exists because otherwise $\tau(y) = \gamma_1$, and therefore $x \in \tau(y)$, a contradiction since (xy) is not a diameter. Analogously, let be $u \in (\tau(x) \setminus (ab)) \setminus \gamma_2 \subset S_1 \cap R_1$. Then in the graphs $\mathcal{P} \setminus \{a, b, y\}$ and $\mathcal{P} \setminus \{a, b, x\}$, the vertices u and w are disconnected. \square

Theorem 3.3. *If \mathcal{P} is not a wheel, then there exists an edge e satisfying the three following conditions:*

1. e is not on a triangular face,
2. e is not in a 3-cutting set and
3. e is not a diameter.

Proof. Since \mathcal{P} is not a wheel then, by Theorem 2.1, there is a not essential edge, say e that can be either deleted or contracted. In fact we ensure, since \mathcal{P} is self-dual, there is an edge that can be contracted, otherwise we can take an edge e that can be deleted and the corresponding dual edge e^* can be contracted in \mathcal{P}^* which is isomorphic to \mathcal{P} . Without loss of generality we may assume e can be contracted in \mathcal{P} . We may now check that e verifies the three desired conditions:

(1) e is not in a triangle. Otherwise, if e were contracted then \mathcal{P}/e would have parallel edges (which is not possible since \mathcal{P}/e is simple).

(2) e is not in any 3-cutting set. Otherwise, if e were contracted then \mathcal{P}/e would have a 2-cutting set (which is not possible since \mathcal{P}/e is 3-connected).

(3) e is not a diameter. Indeed, if e were a diameter then we would have that edge $\tau(e)$ cannot be a diameter (otherwise, by Lemma 3.1, \mathcal{P} must be a tetrahedron, that is, a 3-wheel, which is not the case) and thus, by Lemma 3.2, e would be in a 3-cutting set, which is not possible. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $e = (ab)$ be an edge which is neither on a triangular face nor in a 3-cutting set nor a diameter. Then, the graph $[\mathcal{P}/(ab)] \setminus \tau(ab)$, denoted by $\mathcal{P}^\circ = \mathcal{P}_{ab}^\circ$, is a strongly involutive self-dual polyhedron.*

Proof. Since (ab) satisfies the three properties of last theorem, then $\mathcal{P}/(ab)$ is a polyhedron, and therefore its dual $\mathcal{P} \setminus \tau(ab)$ is also a polyhedron. We will show that \mathcal{P}° is a polyhedron. Indeed, it is simple and planar. We need it to be 3-connected. If it were not, then it would have a 2-cutting set $\{m, n\}$. Since $\tau(a)$ and $\tau(b)$ are the faces such that $\tau(a) \cap \tau(b) = \tau(ab)$ we may observe that one of the elements in $\{m, n\}$ is in $\tau(a)$ and the other is in $\tau(b)$. Let's suppose $m \in \tau(a)$ and $n \in \tau(b)$. Furthermore the vertex $a = b$, denoted by ab must be one of the elements in $\{m, n\}$, otherwise $\{m, n\}$ would be a 2-cutting set of $\mathcal{P} \setminus \tau(ab)$, a contradiction. This implies that in \mathcal{P} , $a \in \tau(b)$ (and therefore $b \in \tau(a)$), so (ab) would be a diameter, which is not by hypothesis. Finally, by definition, \mathcal{P}° is self-dual and it is strongly involutive with isomorphism $\tau^\circ(u) = \tau(u)$ for every $u \notin \{a, b\}$ and with $\tau^\circ(a = b)$ the face obtained by the union of $\tau(a)$ and $\tau(b)$ when edge (xy) is deleted. \square

By the above theorem, we can define the *remove-contract* operation in any strongly involutive polyhedron which is not a wheel: there is at least one edge (ab) that we can contract and at the same time remove the edge $\tau(ab)$ in order to obtain a new strongly involutive polyhedron. We can apply this operation repeatedly in order to finish with a strongly involutive wheel (with odd number of vertices in the main cycle). Conversely, we can start with such a wheel and then diagonalizing faces and splitting their corresponding vertices carefully in order to *expand* a strongly involutive polyhedron. By diagonalizing we mean that given a face that is not a triangle, we add a new edge within the face joining two non-consecutive vertices.

In the above terms, Theorem 3.4 gives the following.

Corollary 3.5. *Every strongly involutive self-dual polyhedra is either a wheel or it can be obtained from an odd wheel by a finite sequence of operations consisting in diagonalizing faces of the polyhedron and its dual's simultaneously.*

4 Topological interpretation

In this section we are going to consider topological embeddings of a given graph \mathcal{G} on the surface \mathbb{S}^2 . By Whitney's Theorem we know that if \mathcal{G} is simple, planar and 3-connected, then any two such embeddings are equivalent in the sense that the set of faces (and their adjacencies) is fully determined only by the graph (they are independent of the embedding). It is an interesting fact that with these conditions we can choose one of these embeddings in such a way that any automorphism of the graph of \mathcal{P} acts as an isometry of \mathbb{S}^2 . We will write this important fact as follows.

Lemma 4.1 ([11, Lemma 1]). (*Isometric embedding lemma.*) *There exists an embedding $i : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ such that for every $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$ there exists an isometry $\tilde{\sigma}$ of \mathbb{S}^2 satisfying $i \circ \sigma = \tilde{\sigma} \circ i$.*

Our goal for now is to interpret geometrically the strong involutions. In the rest of the section \mathcal{G} is the underlying graph (simple, planar and 3-connected) of a strongly involutive self-dual polyhedron \mathcal{P} .

Let us define \mathcal{G}_\square the graph of squares of \mathcal{G} as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\mathcal{G}_\square) &= V(\mathcal{G}) \cup F(\mathcal{G}) \cup E(\mathcal{G}) \text{ and} \\ E(\mathcal{G}_\square) &= \{(ve) : v \in V(\mathcal{G}), e \in E(\mathcal{G}), v \in e\} \cup \\ &\quad \{(ec) : e \in E(\mathcal{G}), f \in F(\mathcal{G}), e \in f\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to observe that \mathcal{G}_\square is a 3-connected simple planar graph and therefore it can be drawn on the sphere in such a way that any automorphism of \mathcal{G}_\square is an isometry. We can suppose \mathcal{G}_\square is embedded in that way and we will abuse of notation making no distinction between \mathcal{G}_\square and its image under the embedding. By definition, the faces of \mathcal{G}_\square are all quadrilaterals of the form $(vafb)$, where $v \in V(\mathcal{G})$, $a, b \in E(\mathcal{G})$ and $f \in F(\mathcal{G})$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let τ be a strong involution of \mathcal{P} . Then $\tilde{\tau}$ is the antipodal mapping $\alpha : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$, $\alpha(x) = -x$.*

Proof. First we can observe that τ is an automorphism of \mathcal{G}_\square and condition (1) of strong involution implies $\tau^2 = id$. Therefore, $\tilde{\tau}$ (given in Lemma 8) must be an involution as isometry. There are three possible involutive isometries of the sphere: a reflection through a line (a spherical line), a rotation by $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and the antipodal mapping (a good reference is [3]). Only the antipodal mapping has no fixed points, so we will show that $\tilde{\tau}$ cannot have fixed points. We will proceed by contradiction, supposing $\tilde{\tau}$ has a fixed point and then we will conclude there exists a vertex v such that $v \in \tau(v)$.

If τ is a reflection through a plane H , let us consider $v \in V(\mathcal{G})$, $a, b \in E(\mathcal{G})$ and $f \in F(\mathcal{G})$ such that H intersects the quadrilateral $\mathcal{Q} = (vafb)$ in its interior. The only points of the edges of quadrilateral \mathcal{Q} can intersect H are a and b , so $H \cap \mathbb{S}^2 = l$ where l is the spherical line through a and b , thus we must have $\tau(v) = f$ that means $v \in \tau(v)$.

If τ is a rotation in a line PP' (P, P' antipodal points on the sphere), let $\mathcal{Q} = (vafb)$ be a quadrilateral containing P . If P is the center (the barycenter) of the quadrilateral, then since τ is a duality, it must send v into f , but then $\tau(v) = f$, which means $v \in \tau(v)$. If P is a or b , say $P = a$, then the edge (va) is sent to an edge (af') where f' is a face of \mathcal{G} , distinct from f and containing v , but then the quadrilateral \mathcal{Q}' corresponding to v and f' we have $\tau(v) = f'$, which means $v \in \tau(v)$. This concludes the proof. \square

As a consequence of Theorem 4.2 we obtain the following.

Corollary 4.3. *For a strongly involutive self-dual polyhedron there is only one duality which is a strong involution.*

ORCID iDs

Javier Bracho Carpizo  <https://orcid.org/????>

Luis Montejano Peimbert  <https://orcid.org/????>

Eric Pauli Pérez Contreras  <https://orcid.org/????>

Jorge L. Ramírez Alfonsín  <https://orcid.org/????>

References

- [1] D. W. Barnette and B. Grünbaum, On Steinitz's theorem concerning convex 3-polytopes, in: *The Many Facets of Graph Theory (Proc. Conf., Western Mich. Univ., Kalamazoo, Mich., 1968)*, Springer, Berlin, 1969 pp. 27–40, doi:10.1007/bfb0060102.

- [2] K. Bezdek, Z. Lángi, M. Naszódi and P. Papez, Ball-polyhedra, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **38** (2007), 201–230, doi:10.1007/s00454-007-1334-7.
- [3] D. A. Brannan, M. F. Esplen and J. J. Gray, *Geometry 2nd edition*, Cambridge University Press, 2012, <https://www.cambridge.org/si/academic/subjects/mathematics/geometry-and-topology/geometry-2nd-edition?format=PB&isbn=9781107647831>.
- [4] J. L. Gross and T. W. Tucker, *Topological graph theory*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1987, a Wiley-Interscience Publication, https://books.google.si/books?id=6HmA_x0dL9oC.
- [5] Y. S. Kupitz, H. Martini and M. A. Perles, Ball polytopes and the Vázsonyi problem, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **126** (2010), 99–163, doi:10.1007/s10474-009-9030-0.
- [6] L. Lovász, Self-dual polytopes and the chromatic number of distance graphs on the sphere, *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)* **45** (1983), 317–323, <http://acta.bibl.u-szeged.hu/14897/>.
- [7] H. Martini, L. Montejano and D. Oliveros, *Bodies of constant width*, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2019, doi:10.1007/978-3-030-03868-7, an introduction to convex geometry with applications.
- [8] L. Montejano, E. Pauli, M. Raggi and E. Roldán-Pensado, The Graphs Behind Reuleaux Polyhedra, *Discrete Comput. Geom.* **64** (2020), 1013–1022, doi:10.1007/s00454-020-00220-0.
- [9] L. Montejano and E. Roldán-Pensado, Meissner polyhedra, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **151** (2017), 482–494, doi:10.1007/s10474-017-0697-3.
- [10] E. Pauli, *Poliedros autoduales fuertemente involutivos*, Ph.D. thesis, 2020.
- [11] B. Servatius and H. Servatius, The 24 symmetry pairings of self-dual maps on the sphere, *Discrete Math.* **140** (1995), 167–183, doi:10.1016/0012-365x(94)00293-r.
- [12] E. Steinitz, Polyeder und raumeinteilungen, *Enzykl. Math. Wiss. 3 (Geometrie)* (1922), 1–139.
- [13] W. T. Tutte, A theory of 3-connected graphs, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser.* **64** (1961), 441–455, doi:10.1016/s1385-7258(61)50045-5.
- [14] H. Whitney, Congruent Graphs and the Connectivity of Graphs, *Amer. J. Math.* **54** (1932), 150–168, doi:10.2307/2371086.