

# Symplectic semifield spreads of $\text{PG}(5, q^t)$ , $q$ even

Valentina Pepe \*

*Sapienza University of Rome, Italy*

Received 23 July 2018, accepted 14 July 2019, published online 24 November 2019

---

## Abstract

Let  $q > 2 \cdot 3^{4t}$  be even. We prove that the only symplectic semifield spread of  $\text{PG}(5, q^t)$ , whose associate semifield has center containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , is the Desarguesian spread. Equivalently, a commutative semifield of order  $q^{3t}$ , with middle nucleus containing  $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$  and center containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , is a field. We do that by proving that the only possible  $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ -linear set of rank  $3t$  in  $\text{PG}(5, q^t)$  disjoint from the secant variety of the Veronese surface is a plane of  $\text{PG}(5, q^t)$ .

*Keywords:* Semifields, spreads, symplectic polarity, linear sets, Veronese variety.

*Math. Subj. Class.:* 05B25, 51E15, 51E23, 14M12

---

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\text{PG}(r-1, q)$  be the projective space of dimension  $r-1$  over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of order  $q$ . An  $(n-1)$ -spread  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $\text{PG}(2n-1, q)$ , which we will call simply *spread* from now on, is a partition of the point-set in  $(n-1)$ -dimensional subspaces. With any spread  $\mathcal{S}$  it is associated a translation plane  $A(\mathcal{S})$  of order  $q^n$  via the André-Bruck-Bose construction (see e.g. [7, Section 5.1]). Translation planes associated with different spreads of  $\text{PG}(2n-1, q)$  are isomorphic if and only if there is a collineation of  $\text{PG}(2n-1, q)$  mapping one spread to the other (see [1] or [16, Chapter 1]). A spread  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be *Desarguesian* if  $A(\mathcal{S})$  is isomorphic to  $\text{AG}(2, q^n)$  and hence a plane coordinatized by the field of order  $q^n$ . The spread  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be a *semifield spread* if  $A(\mathcal{S})$  is a plane of Lenz-Barlotti class V and this is equivalent to saying that  $A(\mathcal{S})$  is coordinatized by a semifield.

---

\*The author acknowledges the support of the project “Polinomi ortogonali, strutture algebriche e geometrie inerenti a grafi e campi finiti” of the SBAI Department of Sapienza University of Rome.

*E-mail address:* valepepe@sbai.uniroma1.it (Valentina Pepe)

A finite semifield  $\mathbb{S} = (\mathbb{S}, +, \star)$  is a finite algebra satisfying all the axioms for a skew-field except (possibly) associativity of multiplication. The subsets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N}_l &= \{a \in \mathbb{S} : (a \star b) \star c = a \star (b \star c), \forall b, c \in \mathbb{S}\}, \\ \mathbb{N}_m &= \{b \in \mathbb{S} : (a \star b) \star c = a \star (b \star c), \forall a, c \in \mathbb{S}\}, \\ \mathbb{N}_r &= \{c \in \mathbb{S} : (a \star b) \star c = a \star (b \star c), \forall a, b \in \mathbb{S}\} \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{K} &= \{a \in \mathbb{N}_l \cap \mathbb{N}_m \cap \mathbb{N}_r : a \star b = b \star a, \forall b \in \mathbb{S}\} \end{aligned}$$

are fields and are known, respectively, as the *left nucleus*, the *middle nucleus*, the *right nucleus* and the *center* of the semifield. A finite semifield is a vector space over its nuclei and its center.

If  $A(\mathcal{S})$  is coordinatized by the semifield  $\mathbb{S}$ , then  $\mathbb{S}$  has order  $q^n$  and its *left nucleus* contains  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

Semifields are studied up to an equivalence relation called *isotopy*, which corresponds to the study of semifield planes up to isomorphisms (for more details on semifields see, e.g., [7]).

The spread  $\mathcal{S}$  is said to be *symplectic* if the elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  are totally isotropic with respect to a *symplectic polarity* of  $\text{PG}(2n - 1, q)$ . If  $A(\mathcal{S})$  is coordinatized by the semifield  $\mathbb{S}$ , then  $\mathbb{S}$  is called *symplectic semifield* and if its *center* contains  $\mathbb{F}_s \leq \mathbb{F}_q$ , then from  $\mathbb{S}$  we get by the cubical array (see [13]) a semifield isotopic to a commutative semifield with *middle nucleus* containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and *center* containing  $\mathbb{F}_s$  ([11]).

Let  $q$  be even. For  $n = 2$ , there is the following remarkable theorem due to Cohen and Ganley.

**Theorem 1.1** ([6]). *A commutative semifield of order  $q^2$  with middle nucleus containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a field.*

For  $n > 2$ , the only known commutative semifields, that are not a field, are the Kantor-Williams symplectic pre-semifields of order  $q^n$  and  $n > 1$  odd ([12]) and their commutative Knuth derivatives ([11]). Symplectic semifield spreads in characteristic 2 with odd dimension over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  give arise to  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes and extremal line sets in Euclidean spaces ([4]).

Most of the above mentioned results are obtained with an algebraic approach, whereas ours is mainly geometric. For small  $n$ , the study of semifield spreads has shown to be a good way to classify semifields.

Let  $M(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$  be the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Without loss of generality, we may always assume that  $S(\infty) := \{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n\}$  and  $S(0) := \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n\}$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}$ , hence we may write  $\mathcal{S} = \{S(A) : A \in \mathbb{C}\} \cup S(\infty)$ , with  $S(A) := \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}A) : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n\}$ , with  $\mathbb{C} \subset M(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$  such that  $|\mathbb{C}| = q^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  contains the zero matrix. The set  $\mathbb{C}$  is called the *spread set* associated with  $\mathcal{S}$ . In order to have a semifield spread, the non-zero elements of  $\mathbb{C}$  must be invertible and  $\mathbb{C}$  must be a subgroup of the additive group of  $M(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$  ([7, Section 5.1]), hence  $\mathbb{C}$  is a vector space over some subfield of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . If we choose the symplectic polarity induced by the alternating bilinear form  $\beta((\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}_2)) = \mathbf{x}_1 \mathbf{y}_2^T - \mathbf{y}_1 \mathbf{x}_2^T$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{y}_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ , then the subspace  $S(A) \in \mathcal{S}$  is totally isotropic if and only if  $A$  is symmetric. The symmetric matrices form an  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ -dimensional subspace of  $M(n, \mathbb{F}_q)$  that then induces a  $\text{PG}\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1, q\right)$ . The rank-1 symmetric matrices form the Veronese variety  $\mathcal{V}$  of degree 2 of  $\text{PG}\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1, q\right)$  (this

is the so called determinantal representation of the Veronese variety of degree 2, see [8, Example 2.6]). Hence the singular symmetric matrices form the  $(n - 2)$ -th secant variety, say  $\mathcal{V}_{n-2}$ , of the Veronese variety. If  $\mathbb{C}$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_s$ -vector space,  $q = s^t$ , then  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_s} \mathbb{C} = nt$  and it defines a subset  $L$  of  $\text{PG}\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1, q\right)$  called  $\mathbb{F}_s$ -linear set of rank  $nt$  (for a complete overview on linear sets see [18]). So to a symplectic semifield spread of  $\text{PG}(2n - 1, q)$  there corresponds an  $\mathbb{F}_s$ -linear set  $L$ ,  $q = s^t$ , of  $\text{PG}\left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} - 1, q\right)$  of rank  $tn$  such that  $L \cap \mathcal{V}_{n-2} = \emptyset$  (see also [15]). We recall the associated semifield has left nucleus containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and if  $\mathbb{F}_s$  is the maximum subfield with respect to  $L$  is linear, then the center of the semifield is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_s$ . So the isotopic commutative semifield we get has middle nucleus containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and center isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_s$ .

In this article, we are focused on the case  $n = 3$ , i.e., on symplectic semifield spreads of  $\text{PG}(5, q)$ , when  $q$  is even. In such a case, only two non-sporadic examples are known: the Desarguesian spread and one of its cousin (see [10]), so they are both obtained by slicing the so called Desarguesian spread of  $\mathcal{Q}^+(7, q)$ . In the former case, the associated translation plane is the Desarguesian plane, hence it is coordinatized by the finite field of order  $q^3$  and the relevant linear set is actually linear on  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In the latter case, the semifield spread is associated to a spread set  $\mathbb{C}$  that is an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -linear set  $L$  of  $\text{PG}(5, q)$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is the maximum subfield of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  for which  $L$  is linear, and the associate semifield has order  $q^3$  and center  $\mathbb{F}_2$ .

In [5], it is proven that the only symplectic semifield spread of  $\text{PG}(5, q^2)$ ,  $q > 2^{14}$ , whose associate semifield has center containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , is the Desarguesian spread, meaning that a commutative semifield of order  $q^6$ , with middle nucleus containing  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  and center containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a field, provided  $q$  is not too small. That was done by studying the intersection of the five non-equivalent  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear sets of  $\text{PG}(5, q^2)$  with the secant variety  $\mathcal{V}_1$  of the Veronese variety and the only one that can have empty intersection with  $\mathcal{V}_1$  is a plane. A classification of the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear sets of  $\text{PG}(5, q^t)$  of rank  $3t$  is not feasible, as the number of non-equivalent ones quickly grows with  $t$ . In fact, the present paper, we had a slightly different approach which allowed us to generalize the result of [5] in  $\text{PG}(5, q^t)$  for any  $t$ : by field reduction, a  $\text{PG}(5, q^t)$  can be seen as  $\text{PG}(6t - 1, q)$ , a linear set of rank  $3t$  as a subspace  $\cong \text{PG}(3t - 1, q)$  and  $\mathcal{V}_1$  an algebraic variety, say  $\mathcal{V}_1^t$ , of codimension  $t$  in  $\text{PG}(6t - 1, q)$ . Hence, we have studied when a subspace of dimension  $3t - 1$  can have empty intersection with  $\mathcal{V}_1^t$  (over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ ), regardless the geometric feature of the linear set in  $\text{PG}(5, q^t)$ .

## 2 Preliminary results

### 2.1 $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear sets and the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear representation of $\text{PG}(r - 1, q^t)$

The set  $L \subset \text{PG}(V, \mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = \text{PG}(r - 1, q^t)$ , with  $V$  an  $r$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ , is said to be an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear set of rank  $m$  if it is defined by the non-zero vectors of an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector subspace  $U$  of  $V$  of dimension  $m$ , i.e.

$$L = L_U = \{\langle \mathbf{u} \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^t}} : \mathbf{u} \in U \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}\}.$$

If  $r = m$  and  $\langle L_U \rangle = \text{PG}(r - 1, q^t)$ , then  $L_U \cong \text{PG}(r - 1, q)$ . In this case,  $L_U$  is said to be a *subgeometry* (of order  $q$ ) of  $\text{PG}(r - 1, q^t)$ . Throughout this paper, we shall extensively use the following result: a subset  $\Sigma$  of  $\text{PG}(r - 1, q^t)$  is a subgeometry of order  $q$  if and only if there exists an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear collineation  $\sigma$  of  $\text{PG}(r - 1, q^t)$  of order  $t$  such

that  $\Sigma = \text{Fix } \sigma$ , where  $\text{Fix } \sigma$  is the set of points fixed by  $\sigma$ . This is a straightforward consequence of the fact that there is just one conjugacy class of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear collineations of order  $t$  in  $\text{P}\Gamma\text{L}(r, q^t)$ , namely that of

$$\varsigma: (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}) \mapsto (x_0^q, x_1^q, \dots, x_{r-1}^q).$$

In particular, all subgeometries  $\cong \text{PG}(r-1, q)$  of  $\text{PG}(r-1, q^t)$  are projectively equivalent to the subgeometry induced by  $\{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}) : x_i \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ . A subspace  $\Pi$  of  $\text{PG}(r-1, q^t)$  defines a subspace of  $\text{Fix } \sigma \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q)$  of the same dimension if and only if  $\Pi = \Pi^\sigma$  (see [14, Lemma 1]). It will be more convenient for us to explicitly state the following equivalent result.

**Notation.** Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any field containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Throughout the paper we will denote by  $\Pi(\mathbb{F})$  the unique subspace of  $\text{PG}(r-1, \mathbb{F})$  containing  $\Pi$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *If we consider  $\text{PG}(r-1, q)$  embedded as a subgeometry of  $\text{PG}(r-1, q^t)$  and  $\Pi$  is a subspace of  $\text{PG}(r-1, q)$  of dimension  $s-1$ , then the subspace  $\Pi(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$  of  $\text{PG}(r-1, q^t)$  containing  $\Pi$  has dimension  $s-1$  as well.*

Analogously, if  $\mathcal{W}$  is an algebraic variety of  $\text{PG}(r-1, q^t)$ , then  $\mathcal{W} \cap \text{Fix } \sigma \subset \mathcal{W} \cap \mathcal{W}^\sigma \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{W}^{\sigma^{t-1}}$  and hence  $\mathcal{W} \cap \text{Fix } \sigma$  has the same dimension and degree of  $\mathcal{W}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}^\sigma$ .

**Remark 2.2.** An algebraic variety  $\mathcal{W}$  is said to be a variety of  $\text{PG}(r-1, q)$  if it consists of the set of zeros of polynomials  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}]$ , and we will write  $\mathcal{W} = V(f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k)$ . By *dimension* and *degree* of  $\mathcal{W}$  we will mean the dimension and degree of the variety when considered as variety of  $\text{PG}(r-1, \overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ , with  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$  the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

In the remaining part of this section, we will describe the setting we adopt to study the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear sets of  $\text{PG}(V, \mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = \text{PG}(r-1, q^t)$ .

When we regard  $V$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space,  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} V = rt$  and hence  $\text{PG}(V, q) = \text{PG}(rt-1, q)$ . Furthermore, a point  $\langle v \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^t}} \in \text{PG}(r-1, q^t)$  corresponds to the  $(t-1)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\text{PG}(rt-1, q)$  given by  $\{\lambda v : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}\}$ . This is the so-called  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear representation of  $\langle v \rangle_{\mathbb{F}_{q^t}}$  and the set  $\mathcal{S}$ , consisting of the  $(t-1)$ -subspaces of  $\text{PG}(rt-1, q)$  that are the linear representation of the points of  $\text{PG}(r-1, q^t)$ , is a partition of the point set of  $\text{PG}(rt-1, q)$ . Such a partition  $\mathcal{S}$  is called *Desarguesian spread* of  $\text{PG}(rt-1, q)$ . In this setting, a linear set  $L_U$  is the subset of the Desarguesian spread  $\mathcal{S}$  with non-empty intersection with the projective subspace  $\Pi_U$  of  $\text{PG}(rt-1, q)$  induced by  $U$ .

We shall adopt the following cyclic representation of  $\text{PG}(rt-1, q)$  in  $\text{PG}(rt-1, q^t)$ . Let  $\text{PG}(rt-1, q^t) = \text{PG}(V', q^t)$ , with  $V'$  the standard  $rt$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$  and let  $e_i$  the  $i$ -th element of the canonical base of  $V'$ . Consider the semi-linear collineation  $\sigma$  with accompanying automorphism  $x \mapsto x^q$  and such that  $e_i \mapsto e_{i+r}$ , where the subscript are taken mod  $rt$ . Then  $\sigma$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear collineation of order  $t$  and  $\text{Fix } \sigma = \{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^q, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{q^{t-1}}) : \mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}), x_i \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}, \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}\}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{PG}(rt-1, q)$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{S}$  are the subspaces  $\Pi_P := \langle P, P^\sigma, \dots, P^{\sigma^{t-1}} \rangle \cap \text{Fix } \sigma$ , with  $P \in \Pi_0 \cong \text{PG}(r-1, q^t)$  and  $\Pi_0$  defined by  $x_i = 0 \ \forall i > r-1$  (see [14]). Let  $\Pi_i$  be  $\Pi_0^{\sigma^i}$ . In the following, we shall identify a point  $P$  of  $\Pi_0 = \text{PG}(r-1, q^t)$  with the spread

element  $\Pi_P$ . We observe that  $P$  is just the projection of  $\Pi_P$  from  $\langle \Pi_1, \Pi_2, \dots, \Pi_{t-1} \rangle$  on  $\Pi_0$ . If  $L_U$  is a linear set of rank  $m$ , then it is induced by an  $(m - 1)$ -dimensional subspace  $\Pi_U \subset \text{PG}(rt - 1, q) = \text{Fix } \sigma$  and it can be viewed both as the subset of  $\Pi_0$  that is the projection of  $\Pi_U$  from  $\langle \Pi_1, \Pi_2, \dots, \Pi_{t-1} \rangle$  on  $\Pi_0$  as well as the subset of  $\mathcal{S}$  consisting of the elements  $\Pi_P$  such that  $\Pi_P \cap \Pi_U \neq \emptyset$ . We stress out that we have defined the subspaces  $\Pi_U$  and  $\Pi_P$  as subspaces of  $\text{Fix } \sigma = \text{PG}(rt - 1, q)$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be any field containing  $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ , then the projection of  $\Pi_U(\mathbb{F})$  on  $\Pi_0$  from  $\langle \Pi_1, \Pi_2, \dots, \Pi_{t-1} \rangle$  is  $\langle L_U \rangle_{\mathbb{F}}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a hypersurface of  $\text{PG}(r - 1, q^t)$  and let  $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q^t}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{r-1}]$  a polynomial defining  $\mathcal{H}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{H} = V(f)$ . In the linear representation of  $\text{PG}(r - 1, q^t) = \Pi_0$ , the points of  $\mathcal{H}$  correspond to the spread elements  $\Pi_P$  such that  $P \in \mathcal{H}$ , hence it is the intersection of the variety  $V(f, f^\sigma, \dots, f^{\sigma^{t-1}})$  of  $\text{PG}(rt - 1, q^t)$  with  $\text{Fix } \sigma$ , where, by abuse of notation, we extend the action of  $\sigma$  also to polynomials. We observe that the variety  $V(f, f^\sigma, \dots, f^{\sigma^{t-1}})$  is the *join* of the varieties  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}^\sigma, \dots, \mathcal{H}^{\sigma^{t-1}}$  (see [8, Chapter 8]) and hence it has dimension  $t(\dim \mathcal{H} + 1) - 1 = t(r - 1) - 1 = tr - t - 1$  and degree  $\deg(\mathcal{H})^t$ . We observe that  $V(f, f^\sigma, \dots, f^{\sigma^{t-1}})$  it is defined by  $t$  polynomials and  $\dim V(f, f^\sigma, \dots, f^{\sigma^{t-1}}) = tr - t - 1 = \dim \text{PG}(rt - 1, q^t) - t$ , hence  $V(f, f^\sigma, \dots, f^{\sigma^{t-1}})$  is a *complete intersection* (see [8, Example 11.8]). We will denote the join of the varieties  $\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2, \dots, \mathcal{W}_k$  by  $\text{Join}(\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2, \dots, \mathcal{W}_k)$ .

Let  $T_P(\mathcal{W})$  be the tangent space to the algebraic variety  $\mathcal{W}$  at the point  $P \in \mathcal{W}$ .

**Proposition 2.3** (Terracini’s Lemma [20]). *Let  $\mathcal{W} = \text{Join}(\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2)$  and let  $P = \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle \in \mathcal{W}$  with  $P_i \in \mathcal{Y}_i$ . Then  $\langle T_{P_1}(\mathcal{Y}_1), T_{P_2}(\mathcal{Y}_2) \rangle \subseteq T_P(\mathcal{W})$ .*

The variety  $V(f, f^\sigma, \dots, f^{\sigma^{t-1}})$  is the join of the varieties  $\mathcal{H}^i, i = 0, 1, \dots, t - 1$ . We recall that  $\mathcal{H}^i$  is a hypersurface of  $\Pi_i$ , hence  $T_{P_i}(\mathcal{H}^i)$  is a hypersurface of  $\Pi_i$  for a *non-singular point*  $P_i \in \mathcal{H}^i$ . By  $\Pi_i \cap \langle \Pi_j, j \neq i \rangle = \emptyset$ , we get

$$\dim \langle T_{P_0}(\mathcal{H}), T_{P_1}(\mathcal{H}^\sigma), \dots, T_{P_{t-1}}(\mathcal{H}^{\sigma^{t-1}}) \rangle = rt - 1 - t$$

for non-singular points  $P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{t-1}$ . Since for a non-singular point  $P \in V(f, f^\sigma, \dots, f^{\sigma^{t-1}})$ ,  $\dim T_P(V(f, f^\sigma, \dots, f^{\sigma^{t-1}})) = rt - 1 - t$ , we have

$$\langle T_{P_0}(\mathcal{H}), T_{P_1}(\mathcal{H}^\sigma), \dots, T_{P_{t-1}}(\mathcal{H}^{\sigma^{t-1}}) \rangle = T_P(V(f, f^\sigma, \dots, f^{\sigma^{t-1}}))$$

for a non-singular  $P \in V(f, f^\sigma, \dots, f^{\sigma^{t-1}})$ .

Let  $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{W})$  be the set of the singular points of a variety  $\mathcal{W}$ ; we recall that  $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{W})$  is a subvariety of  $\mathcal{W}$ . From the discussion above, it is clear that

$$\text{Sing}(V(f, f^\sigma, \dots, f^{\sigma^{t-1}})) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} S_i,$$

with  $S_i = \text{Join}(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{H}^i), \mathcal{H}^j, j \neq i)$ .

## 2.2 The Veronese surface and its secant variety

In this section we denote by  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional projective space over a generic field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

The Veronese map of degree 2

$$v_2: (x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{P}^2 \longmapsto (\dots, \mathbf{x}^l, \dots) \in \mathbb{P}^5$$

is such that  $\mathbf{x}^l$  ranges over all monomials of degree 2 in  $x_0, x_1, x_2$ . The image  $\mathcal{V} := v_2(\mathbb{P}^2)$  is the *quadric Veronese surface*, a variety of dimension 2 and degree 4. A section  $H \cap \mathcal{V}$ , where  $H$  is a hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}^5$ , consists of the points of  $v_2(\mathcal{C})$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a conic of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

If we use the so-called determinantal representation of  $\mathcal{V}$  (see [8, Example 2.6]), then we can take  $\mathbb{P}^5$  as induced by the subspace of  $M(3, \mathbb{F})$  consisting of symmetric matrices and  $v_2(x_0, x_1, x_2) = A$  such that  $a_{ij} = x_i x_j$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{V}$  consists of the rank 1 matrices of  $M(3, \mathbb{F})$ .

Hence, the secant variety of  $\mathcal{V}$ , say  $\mathcal{V}_1$ , consists of the symmetric matrices of rank at most 2, i.e.,  $\mathcal{V}_1$  consists of the singular symmetric  $3 \times 3$  matrices. So  $\mathcal{V}_1$  is a hypersurface of  $\mathbb{P}^5$  of degree 3. It is well known that the singular points of  $\mathcal{V}_1$  are the points of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

The automorphism group  $\hat{G}$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  is the lifting of  $G = \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{F})$  acting in the obvious way:  $v_2(p)^{\hat{g}} = v_2(p^g) \ \forall g \in \text{PGL}(3, \mathbb{F})$ . The group  $\hat{G}$  obviously fixes  $\mathcal{V}_1$ .

The maximal subspaces contained in  $\mathcal{V}_1$  are planes and they are of three types: the span of  $v_2(\ell)$ , with  $\ell$  a line of  $\mathbb{P}^2$ , the tangent planes  $T_P(\mathcal{V})$  for  $P \in \mathcal{V}$ , and, when the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}$  is even, the *nucleus plane*  $\pi_N$ .

Let the characteristic of  $\mathbb{F}$  be even. The plane  $\pi_N$  of  $\mathbb{P}^5$  consists of the symmetric matrices with zero diagonal, hence  $\pi_N$  is contained in  $\mathcal{V}_1$ . By the Jacobi’s formula,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{ij}} \det A = \text{tr}(\text{adj}(A) \frac{\partial A}{\partial a_{ij}})$ , where  $\text{tr}(M)$  is the trace of a matrix  $M$  and  $\text{adj}(M)$  is the adjoint matrix of  $M$ . Let  $E_{ij}$  be the  $3 \times 3$  matrix with 1 in the  $ij$ -position and 0 elsewhere, so we have  $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{ij}} \det A = \text{tr}(\text{adj}(A) \frac{\partial A}{\partial a_{ij}}) = \text{tr}(\text{adj}(A)(E_{ij} + E_{ji})) = 0 \ \forall i \neq j$ . It follows that a hyperplane is tangent to  $\mathcal{V}_1$  if and only if it contains  $\pi_N$ . Also, each point of  $\pi_N$  is the nucleus of a point of a unique conic  $v_2(\ell)$ .

If  $P \in \mathcal{V}_1$ , then the tangent hyperplane  $H$  to  $\mathcal{V}_1$  at  $P$  is such that  $H \cap \mathcal{V} = v_2(\ell^2)$ , where  $\ell = \langle p_1, p_2 \rangle$  if  $P \notin \pi_N$  and hence  $P \in \langle v_2(p_1), v_2(p_2) \rangle$ , or  $\ell$  is such that  $P$  is the nucleus of  $v_2(\ell)$  if  $P \in \pi_N$ . The tangent plane at  $v_2(p)$  to  $\mathcal{V}$  is the intersection of three hyperplanes  $K_1, K_2, K_3$  such that  $K_i \cap \mathcal{V} = v_2(\ell_i \cup \ell'_i)$ , where  $\ell_i, \ell'_i$  are lines through  $p$ .

If  $\mathbb{F}$  is an algebraically closed field, then any subspace of  $\mathbb{P}^5$  of dimension at least 1 has non-empty intersection with  $\mathcal{V}_1$ . If  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q$ , then there are subspaces of larger dimension disjoint from  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and, by the Chevalley-Waring Theorem, we know that they can have dimension at most 2. For  $q$  even we have the following result.

**Theorem 2.4** ([5]). *Let  $q \geq 4$  be even, then there exists one orbit of planes under the action of  $\hat{G}$  disjoint from  $\mathcal{V}_1$ .*

### 3 Proof of the main result

Through this section, we assume  $q$  to be even. Let  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$  be the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

We adopt the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear representation of  $\text{PG}(5, q^t)$ , i.e., we regard the points of  $\text{PG}(5, q^t)$  as elements of a Desarguesian spread of  $\text{PG}(6t - 1, q)$  and  $L_U$  as the subset of the spread with non-empty intersection with a  $(3t - 1)$ -dimensional subspace  $\Pi_U$  of  $\text{PG}(6t - 1, q)$ ; also, we consider  $\text{PG}(6t - 1, q)$  as subgeometry of  $\text{PG}(6t - 1, q^t)$  (cf. Section 2). Let  $f$  be the polynomial with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}_2$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_1 = V(f)$ , hence the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear representation of  $\mathcal{V}_1$  is  $V(f, f^\sigma, \dots, f^{\sigma^{t-1}}) \cap \text{Fix } \sigma$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}_1^t$  be  $V(f, f^\sigma, \dots, f^{\sigma^{t-1}})$ .

We have that  $\mathcal{V}_1 \cap L_U = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{V}_1^t \cap \Pi_U = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{V}_1^t \cap \text{Fix } \sigma \cap \Pi_U(\mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = \emptyset$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be  $\Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \cap \mathcal{V}_1^t$ . We observe that  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}^\sigma$ , hence  $\dim \mathcal{W} = \dim \mathcal{W} \cap \text{Fix } \sigma$ . We stress

out that  $\mathcal{W}$  is defined by polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{6t-1}]$  but it might not contain any  $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ -rational point. The linear representation of  $\pi_N$  is the  $(3t - 1)$ -dimensional subspace  $\Pi_N$  of  $\text{Fix } \sigma$  that is partitioned by the spread elements  $\{\Pi_P : P \in \pi_N\}$ . As  $L_U \cap \pi_N = \emptyset$ , we must have  $\Pi_U \cap \Pi_N = \emptyset$  and hence, by Lemma 2.1,  $\Pi_U(\mathbb{F}_{q^t}) \cap \Pi_N(\mathbb{F}_{q^t}) = \emptyset$  and  $\Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \cap \Pi_N(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $P \in \mathcal{W}$ , then  $\dim T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) = \dim T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) - 3t$ .*

*Proof.* The subspace  $\Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$  has codimension  $3t$ , hence

$$\dim T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \geq \dim T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) - 3t.$$

Let  $P \in \langle P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{t-1} \rangle$  with  $P_i \in \Pi_i(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ . We have that

$$T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) = \langle T_{P_0}(\mathcal{V}_1), T_{P_1}(\mathcal{V}_1^\sigma), \dots, T_{P_{t-1}}(\mathcal{V}_1^{\sigma^{t-1}}) \rangle$$

and  $\pi_N^{\sigma^i} \subset T_{P_i}(\mathcal{V}_1^{\sigma^i}) \ \forall i$ , hence  $\Pi_N(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \subset T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t)$ . Since  $\Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \cap \Pi_N(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) = \emptyset$  and  $\dim \Pi_N(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) = 3t - 1$ , we have  $\dim T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \leq \dim T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) - 3t$ , hence the statement follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *We have  $\dim \mathcal{W} = 2t - 1$ , hence  $\mathcal{W}$  is a complete intersection.*

*Proof.* If  $P$  is non-singular for  $\mathcal{V}_1^t$ , then  $\dim T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) = \dim(\mathcal{V}_1^t) = 5t - 1$ , whereas  $\dim T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) > 5t - 1$  for  $P \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{V}_1^t)$ . As  $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V}_1^t \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ ,  $T_P(\mathcal{W}) = T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) \cap \Pi_U(\mathbb{F}_{q^t})$ . By Theorem 3.1,

$$\dim T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) = \dim T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) - 3t \geq 2t - 1,$$

and

$$\dim T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) > 2t - 1$$

only if  $P \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{V}_1^t)$ . Hence  $\dim \mathcal{W} = 2t - 1$ . We observe that  $2t - 1 = \dim \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) - t$ , hence  $\mathcal{W}$  is a complete intersection.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.**  $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{W}) = \text{Sing}(\mathcal{V}_1^t) \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1,  $\dim T_P(\mathcal{W}) = \dim T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) - 3t$ , hence  $\dim T_P(\mathcal{W}) > \dim \mathcal{W} = 2t - 1$  if and only if  $\dim T_P(\mathcal{V}_1^t) > 5t - 1 = \dim(\mathcal{V}_1^t)$ , i.e.,  $P \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{V}_1^t)$ .  $\square$

If a variety  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a complete intersection and  $\dim \mathcal{Y} - \dim \text{Sing}(\mathcal{Y}) \geq 2$ , then  $\mathcal{Y}$  is normal (see [19, Chapter 2, Section 5.1] for the general definition of normal varieties). An important tool for our proof is the following reformulation of the Hartshorne connectedness theorem ([9]).

**Theorem 3.4** ([3, Theorem 2.1]). *If  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a normal complete intersection, then  $\mathcal{Y}$  is absolutely irreducible.*

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $\mathcal{W}$  is reducible and  $L_U \cap \mathcal{V}_1 = \emptyset$ , then  $L_U$  is a plane which is isomorphic to  $\text{PG}(2, q^t)$  disjoint from  $\mathcal{V}_1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathcal{W}$  is reducible, then  $\mathcal{W}$  is not normal and hence  $\dim \text{Sing}(\mathcal{W}) = \dim \mathcal{W} - 1 = 2t - 2$ . A point  $P \in \mathcal{W}$  is singular if and only if  $P \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{V}_1^t) \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ . We have  $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{V}_1^t) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{t-1} S_i$ , with

$$S_i = \text{Join}(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{V}_1^{\sigma^i}), \mathcal{V}_1^{\sigma^j}, j \neq i) = \text{Join}(\mathcal{V}^{\sigma^i}, \mathcal{V}_1^{\sigma^j}, j \neq i)$$

(see Section 2), so  $S_0^{\sigma^i} = S_i$  and hence

$$\dim \text{Sing}(\mathcal{V}_1^t) \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) = \dim S_0 \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) = 2t - 2.$$

Let  $P \in S_0 \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$  with  $P = \langle P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{t-1} \rangle$ ,  $P_0 \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $P_i \in \mathcal{V}_1^{\sigma^i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$ , then the tangent space  $T_P(S_0 \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}))$  is

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle T_{P_0}(\mathcal{V}), T_{P_1}(\mathcal{V}_1^{\sigma^1}), \dots, T_{P_{t-1}}(\mathcal{V}_1^{\sigma^{t-1}}) \rangle \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \\ &= K_1^* \cap K_2^* \cap K_3^* \cap H_1^* \cap \dots \cap H_{t-1}^* \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}), \end{aligned}$$

with  $K_i^*, H_j^*$  hyperplanes of  $\text{PG}(6t - 1, q^t)$  such that  $K_i^*$  projects on the hyperplane  $K_i$  of  $\Pi_0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $H_j^*$  projects on the hyperplane  $H_j$  of  $\Pi_j \ \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, t-1$ ,  $K_1 \cap K_2 \cap K_3 = T_{P_0}(\mathcal{V})$  and  $H_j = T_{P_j}(\mathcal{V}_1^{\sigma^j})$ . We can take  $K_1, K_2, K_3$  such that  $K_1 \cap \mathcal{V} = v_2(\ell_1^2)$ ,  $K_2 \cap \mathcal{V} = v_2(\ell_2^2)$  and  $K_3 \cap \mathcal{V} = v_2(\ell_1 \cup \ell_2)$ . Hence,  $K_1^* \cap K_2^* \cap H_1^* \cap \dots \cap H_{t-1}^*$  contains  $\Pi_N$  and so  $\dim K_2^* \cap K_3^* \cap H_1^* \cap \dots \cap H_{t-1}^* \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$  is the smallest possible, i.e.,  $2t - 2$ . Hence,

$$K_1^* \supseteq K_2^* \cap K_3^* \cap H_1^* \cap \dots \cap H_{t-1}^* \cap \Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$$

and the projection of  $\Pi_U(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$  on  $\Pi_0$  is a subspace  $\Pi'_0$  such that the tangent space of  $P_0$  at  $\mathcal{V} \cap \Pi'_0$  has codimension 2 in  $\Pi'_0$ . So either the codimension of  $\Pi'_0 \cap \mathcal{V}$  in  $\Pi'_0$  is 2 or  $\Pi'_0 \cap \mathcal{V}$  has codimension 3 in  $\Pi'_0$  but it has singular points. Suppose we are in the latter case. The Veronese variety  $\mathcal{V}$  is smooth, hence  $\Pi'_0$  can be a 3 or 4-dimensional subspace of  $\Pi_0$ . If  $\Pi'_0$  is a hyperplane of  $\Pi_0$  and  $\Pi'_0 \cap \mathcal{V}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$  has singular points, then  $\Pi'_0 \cap \mathcal{V}$  is either  $v_2(\ell^2)$  or  $v_2(\ell_1 \cup \ell_2)$ . In the first case,  $\Pi'_0$  contains  $\pi_N$ . A plane  $\cong \text{PG}(2, q^t)$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear set of rank  $3t$ , so  $\Pi'_0(\mathbb{F}_{q^t}) \cong \text{PG}(4, q^t)$  contains two linear sets of rank  $3t$  that must intersect by Grassmann, i.e.,  $L_U \cap \mathcal{V}_1 \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\Pi'_0 \cap \mathcal{V} = v_2(\ell_1 \cup \ell_2)$ , then  $\Pi'_0$  contains the tangent space at  $\mathcal{V}$  of the point  $P = v_2(\ell_1 \cap \ell_2)$  and it is the unique tangent space at  $\mathcal{V}$  contained in  $\Pi'_0$ . Let  $\tau$  be the collineation induced by the field automorphism  $x \mapsto x^{q^t}$ , then both  $\Pi'_0$  and  $\mathcal{V}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$  are fixed by  $\tau$ , hence  $T_P(\mathcal{V})^\tau = T_P(\mathcal{V})$  and, by Lemma 2.1,  $T_P(\mathcal{V})$  contains a  $\text{PG}(2, q^t)$ . Again, by Grassmann,  $L_U \cap \mathcal{V}_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that  $\Pi'_0$  is a 3-dimensional space, so it contains 4 points counted with their multiplicity and at least one of them is multiple. If  $P$  is a multiple point and it is  $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ -rational, i.e.,  $P = P^\tau$ , then  $\Pi'_0$  contains a line tangent to  $\mathcal{V}$  at  $P$  that it is fixed by  $\tau$  and hence contains a  $\text{PG}(1, q^t)$ , so, by Grassmann,  $L_U \cap \mathcal{V}_1 \neq \emptyset$ . So a multiple point  $P$  must be  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{st}}$ -rational, but also  $P^\tau \in \Pi'_0 \cap \mathcal{V}$  would be, hence  $s = 2$  and we have  $\Pi'_0 \cap \mathcal{V} = \{P, P^\tau\}$ , with  $P \in \Pi'_0(q^{2t})$ . The line joining  $P$  and  $P^\tau$  is set-wise fixed by  $\tau$  and so it contains a  $\text{PG}(1, q^t)$ , yielding again  $L_U \cap \mathcal{V}_1 \neq \emptyset$ . So suppose that the codimension of  $\Pi'_0 \cap \mathcal{V}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$  in  $\Pi'_0$  is 2. Hence  $\Pi'_0$  is either a 3-dimensional space or a plane. Suppose that  $\Pi'_0$  is a 3-dimensional space and so  $\dim \Pi'_0 \cap \mathcal{V}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) = 3 - 2 = 1$ . Since  $\Pi'_0 \cap \mathcal{V}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$  is the Veronese embedding of the intersection of two distinct conics,  $\Pi'_0$  contains the Veronese embedding of a line  $\ell$  and it cannot contain the embedding of any other line. Hence  $v_2(\ell)^\tau \subset \Pi'_0$  implies  $v_2(\ell)^\tau = v_2(\ell)$  and so  $\langle v_2(\ell) \rangle$  contains a



plane  $\cong \text{PG}(2, q^t)$ . By Grassmann,  $L_U \cap \mathcal{V}_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $\Pi'_0(q^t)$  is a plane and so  $L_U = \Pi'_0(q^t)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $\mathcal{W}$  is absolutely irreducible and  $q > 2 \cdot 3^{4t}$ , then  $\mathcal{W} \cap \text{Fix } \sigma$  has at least one point.*

*Proof.* By [2, Corollary 7.4], an absolutely irreducible algebraic variety of  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$  with dimension  $r$  and degree  $\delta$  for  $q > \max\{2(r+1)\delta^2, 2\delta^4\}$  has at least one  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational point. By  $r = 2t - 1$  and  $\delta \leq 3^t = \deg \mathcal{V}_1^t$ , we have the statement.  $\square$

We conclude the section with our main result.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $q > 2 \cdot 3^{4t}$  be even. The only symplectic semifield spread of  $\text{PG}(5, q^t)$  whose associate semifield has center containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , is the Desarguesian spread.*

*Proof.* By Theorems 3.6 and 3.5, we have that the only  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -linear set of rank  $3t$  disjoint from  $\mathcal{V}_1$  is a plane. The planes disjoint from  $\mathcal{V}_1$  form a unique orbit under the action of  $\hat{G}$  (see Theorem 2.4). In this case, the linear set is  $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ -linear as well, hence the semifield associated to the spread is 3-dimensional over its center. By [17], in even characteristic this implies that the semifield is a field, hence the spread is Desarguesian.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $q > 2 \cdot 3^{4t}$  be even. Then a commutative semifield of order  $q^{3t}$ , with middle nucleus containing  $\mathbb{F}_{q^t}$  and center containing  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , is a field.*

**Remark 3.9.** We emphasize that the hypothesis of even characteristic is crucial for all our arguments: only for even  $q$  the variety  $\mathcal{V}_1$  contains the plane  $\pi_N$ , and using  $L \cap \pi_N = \emptyset$  we can prove that  $\mathcal{W}$  is a complete intersection, i.e.  $\mathcal{W}$  has codimension  $t$ , and the singular points of  $\mathcal{W}$  are just the ones coming from  $\mathcal{V}$ .

## References

- [1] J. André, Über nicht-Desarguessche Ebenen mit transitiver Translationsgruppe, *Math. Z.* **60** (1954), 156–186, doi:10.1007/bf01187370.
- [2] A. Cafure and G. Matera, Improved explicit estimates on the number of solutions of equations over a finite field, *Finite Fields Appl.* **12** (2006), 155–185, doi:10.1016/j.ffa.2005.03.003.
- [3] A. Cafure, G. Matera and M. Primitelli, Polar varieties, Bertini's theorems and number of points of singular complete intersections over a finite field, *Finite Fields Appl.* **31** (2015), 42–83, doi:10.1016/j.ffa.2014.09.002.
- [4] A. R. Calderbank, P. J. Cameron, W. M. Kantor and J. J. Seidel,  $Z_4$ -Kerdock codes, orthogonal spreads, and extremal Euclidean line-sets, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **75** (1997), 436–480, doi:10.1112/s0024611597000403.
- [5] S. Capparelli and V. Pepe, On symplectic semifield spreads of  $\text{PG}(5, q^2)$ ,  $q$  even, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **46** (2017), 275–286, doi:10.1007/s10801-017-0742-x.
- [6] S. D. Cohen and M. J. Ganley, Commutative semifields, two-dimensional over their middle nuclei, *J. Algebra* **75** (1982), 373–385, doi:10.1016/0021-8693(82)90045-x.
- [7] P. Dembowski, *Finite Geometries*, volume 44 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1968, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-62012-6.
- [8] J. Harris, *Algebraic Geometry: A First Course*, volume 133 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992, doi:10.1007/978-1-4757-2189-8.

- [9] R. Hartshorne, Complete intersections and connectedness, *Amer. J. Math.* **84** (1962), 497–508, doi:10.2307/2372986.
- [10] W. M. Kantor, Spreads, translation planes and Kerdock sets. I, *SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods* **3** (1982), 151–165, doi:10.1137/0603015.
- [11] W. M. Kantor, Commutative semifields and symplectic spreads, *J. Algebra* **270** (2003), 96–114, doi:10.1016/s0021-8693(03)00411-3.
- [12] W. M. Kantor and M. E. Williams, Symplectic semifield planes and  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linear codes, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 895–938, doi:10.1090/s0002-9947-03-03401-9.
- [13] D. E. Knuth, Finite semifields and projective planes, *J. Algebra* **2** (1965), 182–217, doi:10.1016/0021-8693(65)90018-9.
- [14] G. Lunardon, Normal spreads, *Geom. Dedicata* **75** (1999), 245–261, doi:10.1023/a:1005052007006.
- [15] G. Lunardon, G. Marino, O. Polverino and R. Trombetti, Symplectic semifield spreads of  $\text{PG}(5, q)$  and the Veronese surface, *Ric. Mat.* **60** (2011), 125–142, doi:10.1007/s11587-010-0098-1.
- [16] H. Lüneburg, *Translation Planes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-67412-9.
- [17] G. Menichetti, On a Kaplansky conjecture concerning three-dimensional division algebras over a finite field, *J. Algebra* **47** (1977), 400–410, doi:10.1016/0021-8693(77)90231-9.
- [18] O. Polverino, Linear sets in finite projective spaces, *Discrete Math.* **310** (2010), 3096–3107, doi:10.1016/j.disc.2009.04.007.
- [19] I. R. Shafarevich, *Basic Algebraic Geometry 1: Varieties in Projective Space*, Springer, Heidelberg, 3rd edition, 2013, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-37956-7.
- [20] A. Terracini, Sulle  $V_k$  per cui la varietà degli  $S_h(h + 1)$ -seganti ha dimensione minore dell'ordinario, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **31** (1911), 392–396, doi:10.1007/bf03018812.