Asymptotic enumeration of reversible maps regardless of genus

Michael Drmota *
Institute of Discrete Mathematics and Geometry, Vienna University of Technology
Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8–10, A-1040 Wien, Austria

Roman Nedela †
Matej Bel University and Mathematical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences
Banská Bystrica, Slovakia.

Received 31 January 2010, accepted 18 April 2011, published online 12 December 2011

Abstract

We derive asymptotic expansions for the numbers $U(n)$ of isomorphism classes of sensed maps on orientable surfaces with given number of edges $n$, where we do not specify the genus and for the numbers $A(n)$ of reflexible maps with $n$ edges. As expected the ratio $A(n)/U(n) \to 0$ for $n \to \infty$. This shows that almost all maps are chiral. Moreover, we show $\log A(n) \sim \frac{1}{2} \log U(n) \sim \frac{n}{2} \log n$. Due to a correspondence between sensed maps with given number of edges and torsion-free subgroups of the group $\Gamma = \langle x, y | y^2 = 1 \rangle$ of given index, the obtained results give an information on asymptotic expansions for the number of conjugacy classes of such subgroups of given index.
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1 Introduction

A map is a 2-cell decomposition of a compact connected surface. In this paper we preferably consider maps on orientable surfaces without boundary. A sensed (respectively unsensed) map is an equivalence class of maps on a closed orientable surface, where two maps
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are equivalent if one can be transformed into the other by a sense-preserving homeomorphism (respectively, by any homeomorphism either sense-preserving or sense-reversing) of the underlying surface. N. C. Wormald [18],[19] and T. R. Walsh [17] have calculated sensed and unsensed 1−, 2− and 3−connected planar maps. A formula for the number of sensed planar maps with given number of edges was obtained by V. Liskovets [10]. In [11] using a geometric approach sensed maps of a given genus are enumerated. Sensed and unsensed maps of all genera were counted in a beautiful lecture by R. W. Robinson [15]. Breda, Mednykh and Nedela in [4] employ a new geometric approach to enumerate sensed and unsensed maps regardless of genus. This method further extends the one used in [11] and [12] to count sensed unrooted maps and hypermaps on closed orientable surface of given genus. It is worth to mention that the enumeration results on maps can be translated into results on enumeration of free subgroups and their conjugacy classes in certain universal Fuchsian groups (see Subsection 5.5).

This paper is aimed at the asymptotic analysis of the enumeration formulas derived in [4]. We show in two different ways that the number $U(n)$ of sensed maps with $n$ edges is asymptotically given by

$$U(n) \sim \sqrt{2} (2n)^n e^{-n},$$

which is actually a result known between experts in map enumeration nevertheless to our best knowledge it was not published.

A sensed map $M$ is either isomorphic with its mirror image or not. In the first case $M$ is called reflexible, in the second case $M$ is chiral. Chiral maps appear in pairs (a map and its mirror image) which are sometimes called chiral twins. Furthermore we prove that the number $A(n)$ of reflexible maps with $n$ edges is

$$A(n) \sim \frac{e^{-\frac{3}{4}}}{2\sqrt{2\pi n}} (2n)^\frac{n}{2} e^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{2\sqrt{2n}}.$$ 

It follows that the ratio $A(n)/U(n) \to 0$ for $n \to 0$ and $\log A(n) \sim \frac{1}{2} \log U(n) \sim \frac{n}{2} \log n$ which means that almost all maps are chiral.

The structure of the paper follows. In Section 2 we present and discuss our asymptotic results, in particular, we indicate why the the asymptotic formula for $U(n)$ holds. In Section 3 we collect formulas for the enumeration of several classes of maps employed in the explicit formulas for $U(n)$ and $A(n)$. Section 4 provides an analytic tool to obtain the asymptotic expansion of quickly increasing sequences. This tool is applied in Section 5 to derive the asymptotic behavior of certain classes of maps. Section 6 is devoted to the proof of the asymptotic formula for $A(n)$ which is our main finding. Finally, in Section 7 we present two proofs of the asymptotic formula for $U(n)$. First one follows from an elementary estimation, the second one is based on the explicit formulas and provides precise second order terms.

## 2 Results

Let $U(n)$ denote the number of (unrooted) unbranched sensed maps with $n$ edges and $A(n)$ denote the number of (unrooted) orientable reflexible maps with $n$ edges. The main result of this paper follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** The numbers $U(n)$ and $A(n)$ are asymptotically given by

$$U(n) = \sqrt{2} (2n)^n e^{-n} \left(1 - \frac{13}{24n} + O \left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right),$$

(2.1)
\[
A(n) = \frac{e^{-\frac{3}{4}}}{2\sqrt{2\pi n}}(2n)^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{\frac{1}{2n}}e^{2\sqrt{2n}} \left( 1 - \frac{35\sqrt{2}}{96\sqrt{n}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right). \tag{2.2}
\]

The asymptotic expansion for \(U(n)\) is much easier to obtain than that for \(A(n)\). Actually it is not very difficult to obtain the asymptotic leading term of \(U(n)\).\(^1\) Here we use the fact that an \(n\)-edged map on an orientable surface can be also represented by a pair of permutations \((\alpha, \rho)\) of degree \(2n\), where \(\alpha\) is a fixed point free involution and the pair \((\alpha, \rho)\) generate a transitive subgroup, see [9, 13, 16]. Furthermore, two maps are isomorphic if and only if their corresponding permutation pairs are jointly conjugate by some permutation. By [2, Theorem 13] (where a classical result of Dixon [5] is extended) it follows that the probability that a pair \((\alpha, \rho)\) (of the above type) that does not generate a transitive subgroup is \(O(1/n)\). Hence, the number of transitive pairs is

\[
\frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right).
\]

It is an elementary fact that for almost all transitive pairs, their automorphism group is trivial, we shall investigate this in detail in Section 7. This means that asymptotically

\[
U(n) \sim \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!} \left( 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right).
\]

Of course, this provides the asymptotic leading term for \(U(n)\).

It is definitely more difficult to get asymptotics for \(A(n)\) by using a combinatorial-algebraic approach. A basic observation is that the mirror image of a map that is represented by a pair \((\alpha, \rho)\) corresponds to the pair \((\alpha, \rho^{-1})\). Hence, reflexible maps can be modelled by permutation pairs \((\alpha, \rho), (\alpha, \rho^{-1})\) that are conjugate, that is, there is a permutation \(\pi\) with \(\pi^{-1}\alpha\pi = \alpha\) and \(\pi^{-1}\rho\pi = \rho^{-1}\). However, in contrast to the case of (general) sensed maps it seems that it is not that direct to translate this observation into a counting problem that can be easily handled.

### 3 Explicit formulas for map enumeration

Although our primary object is to investigate isomorphism classes of maps on compact orientable connected surfaces without boundary, in order to express the enumeration formulas we need to consider a broader family of maps. Namely, we allow our maps to have semiedges, the underlying surface can be non-orientable, or may have a non-empty boundary. This happens because map automorphisms play a key role in enumeration of iso-classes of maps, but maps on compact orientable connected surfaces without boundary are not closed under taking quotients by actions of discrete groups of automorphisms. This can be easily demonstrated even on the sphere. All this topological notions can be formalized in terms of the permutational description of topological maps, see [4]. In particular, a dart is an edge endowed with an orientation. Two darts underlying the same edge are transposed by the dart-reversing involution \(\alpha\). Then the semiedges correspond to the fixed points of the involution \(\alpha\) and the cycles of length two gives correspond to complete edges of \(M\).

\(^1\)We are grateful to one of the referees for this hint.
Let $R^+(m, q)$ denote the number of rooted orientable maps with $m$ darts and $q$ complete edges and $R(m, q)$ denote the number of rooted boundary-free maps with $m$ darts and $q$ complete edges. In [4] the following identities for generating functions were derived:

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{q \geq 1} \frac{R^+(m, q)}{m} x^m y^q = \log \left( \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{q \geq 0} \frac{m!}{(m - 2q)! 2^q q!} x^m y^q \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{q \geq 1} \frac{R(m, q)}{2m} x^{2m} y^q = \log \left( \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{q \geq 0} \frac{(2m)!}{2^{2q} m! (m - 2q)! 2q! q!} x^{2m} y^q \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

Flags of a map $\mathcal{M}$ correspond to topological triangles of the first barycentric subdivision of $\mathcal{M}$. The side of a flag $(v, e, f)$ joining the center of the face $f$ to the vertex $v$ is called diagonal. An internal diagonal is a diagonal incident with two flags. Let $R(m, q, s)$ denote the number of rooted compact maps with $2m$ flags, $q$ complete edges and $s$ internal diagonals. A diagonal which is not internal lies on the boundary. The following identity for generating functions was derived in (see [4]):

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{q, s \geq 0} R(m, q, s) \frac{2m}{2m} w^{2m} x^q y^s = \log \left( \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{q, s \geq 0} \frac{(2m)!}{2^q m! (m - 2q)! q! s!} w^{2m} x^q y^s \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

Finally, let

$$\varphi_p(\ell) = \sum_{d|\ell} \mu \left( \frac{\ell}{d} \right) d^p$$

denote the Jordan function,

$$\varphi_p^{\text{even}}(\ell) = \sum_{d|\ell, d \text{ even}} \mu \left( \frac{\ell}{d} \right) d^p = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ 2^p \varphi_p(\ell) & \text{if } \ell \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{array} \right.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

denote the even Jordan function, and

$$\varphi_p^{\text{odd}}(\ell) = \sum_{d|\ell, \ell/d \text{ odd}} \mu \left( \frac{\ell}{d} \right) d^p = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \varphi_p(\ell) & \text{if } \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ 2^p \varphi_p(\ell') & \text{if } \ell = 2^r \ell', \ell' \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{array} \right.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

denote the odd Jordan function.

With the help of this notation one can express $U(n)$ and $A(n)$ explicitly (see [4]).

**Lemma 3.1.** The numbers $U(n)$ and $A(n)$ are explicitly given by

$$U(n) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\ell | 2n} \left( \sum_{0 \leq q < \frac{n}{\ell}} R^+ \left( \frac{2n}{\ell}, q \right) \varphi_{q+1}^{\text{even}}(\ell) + R^+ \left( \frac{2n}{\ell}, \frac{n}{\ell} \right) \varphi_{n/\ell + 1}(\ell) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell | n} \sum_{0 \leq q < \frac{n}{2\ell}} R^+ \left( \frac{n}{\ell}, q \right) 2^q \varphi_{q+1}(\ell') + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\ell | 2n} R^+ \left( \frac{2n}{\ell}, \frac{n}{\ell} \right) \varphi_{n/\ell + 1}(\ell).$$
and
\[ A(n) = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\ell|n} E\left(\ell, \frac{n}{\ell}\right), \tag{3.5} \]
where
\[ E(\ell, m) = \begin{cases} \left[\frac{m}{2}\right] \sum_{q=0}^{m} R(m, q, s) \varphi_{m+q+s+1}^{\text{odd}}(\ell) & \text{if } \ell \text{ is odd}, \\ -R^+(m, \frac{m}{2}) \varphi_{\frac{m}{2}+1}^{\text{odd}}(\ell) & \text{if } \ell \text{ is even}; \end{cases} \]
\[ \sum_{q=0}^{\frac{m}{2}} (R(m, q) - R^+(m, q)) \varphi_{q+1}^{\text{odd}}(\ell) \]
and we set \( R^+(m, \frac{m}{2}) = 0 \) if \( m \) is odd.

4 Asymptotic properties of quickly increasing sequences

In this section we provide preliminary results on asymptotics of certain superexponentially increasing sequences that are defined with the help of (formal) generating functions.

In particular let \( (a_m)_{m \geq 1} \) be a sequence of positive real numbers and let
\[ a(x) = \sum_{m \geq 1} a_mx^m \]
denote its formal generating function.\(^2\)

Suppose that \( (b_m)_{m \geq 0} \) is linked to \( (a_m)_{m \geq 0} \) by the following relation of their generating functions:
\[ \sum_{m \geq 1} b_m x^m = \log \left( 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} a_m x^m \right). \tag{4.1} \]
Alternatively we can express \( b_m \) explicitly by
\[ b_m = a_m + \sum_{k=2}^{m} \frac{1}{k} (-1)^{k-1} A_{m,k}, \]
where
\[ A_{m,k} = \sum_{r_1 + \cdots + r_k = m, r_j \geq 1} a_{r_1} a_{r_2} \cdots a_{r_k} \tag{4.2} \]
denotes the \( k \)-fold convolutions of the sequence \( a_m \). This also shows that \( b_m \) is close to \( a_m \) if the convolutions \( A_{m,k} \) are sufficiently small (compared to \( a_m \)).

Note that the relation (4.1) is equivalent to
\[ 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} a_m x^m = \exp \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} b_m x^m \right). \]

\(^2\)If \( a(x) = \sum_{m \geq 0} a_m x^m \) is a generating function (a formal power series) for the sequence \( a_m \), then we will denote by \( [x^m] a(x) = a_m \) the \( m \)-th coefficient of \( a(x) \).
Hence, if one knows, that $a_m$ and $b_m$ are non-negative then we have

$$b_m \leq a_m. \quad (4.3)$$

However, it is easy to construct examples, where $a_m$ and $b_m$ are not asymptotically equivalent. For example, if $a_m = 1$ then $b_m = 1/m$. Nevertheless, if $a_m$ is growing sufficiently fast then we actually have $a_m \sim b_m$.

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose that $(a_m)_{m \geq 1}$ is a sequence of positive numbers that satisfies the asymptotic relation

$$\log a_m = \alpha m \log m + \beta m + \gamma \sqrt{m} + \delta \log m + O(1) \quad (4.4)$$

for given real numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ with $\alpha > 0$.

If the sequence $(b_m)_{m \geq 1}$ is linked to $(a_m)_{m \geq 1}$ by the generating function relation (4.1) then we have

$$b_m = a_m - a_1 a_{m-1} + O \left( \frac{a_m}{m^{2\alpha}} \right). \quad (4.5)$$

This result can be derived from a theorem of Bender [3], see also [14, Theorem 7.2]. However, since we will need a bivariate extension of the used methods (Propositions 5.2 and 5.4) we have decided to include a short direct proof of Theorem 4.1.

Note that $a_{m-1} = \Theta(a_m/m^\alpha)$. Hence, (4.5) contains the first two terms of an asymptotic expansion. Actually it is possible to derive an asymptotic expansion of arbitrary length. But this is not needed for our purposes.

It is also worth mentioning that the radius of convergence of the generating function $a(x) = \sum_m a_m x^m$ equals zero if $a_m$ grows like (4.4) with $\alpha > 0$. Therefore one cannot use complex analysis in order to derive asymptotics for the coefficients.

We start with an easy lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** Suppose that a sequence $(a_m)_{m \geq 1}$ of positive real numbers satisfies

$$A_{m,2} = \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} a_r a_{m-r} \leq C a_{m-1} \quad (4.6)$$

for some constant $C > 0$ and for all $m \geq 2$. Then for all $2 \leq k \leq m$ we have

$$A_{m,k} \leq C^{k-1} a_{m-k+1}. \quad (4.7)$$

**Proof.** We proceed by induction. Of course, (4.7) is satisfied for $k = 2$ by assumption (4.6).

Now assume that (4.7) holds for some $2 \leq k < m$. Then the recursion

$$A_{m,k+1} = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-k} a_\ell A_{m-\ell,k}$$

implies

$$A_{m,k+1} \leq C^{k-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-k} a_\ell a_{m-k-\ell+1} \leq C^k a_{m-k}$$

which completes the proof.
With help of Lemma 4.2 we can easily estimate $A_{k,m}$ if the sequence $a_m$ grows sufficiently fast.

**Lemma 4.3.** Suppose that $(a_m)_{m \geq 1}$ satisfies an asymptotic relation of the form (4.4) for given real numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ with $\alpha > 0$.

Then there exists $C > 0$ such that (4.6) holds for all $m \geq 2$. Furthermore, we have the upper bound

$$\sum_{k=3}^{m} A_{m,k} = O(a_{m-2}) = O\left(\frac{a_m}{m^{2\alpha}}\right)$$

as $m \to \infty$ and the asymptotic expansion

$$A_{m,2} = 2a_1a_{m-1} + O\left(\frac{a_m}{m^{2\alpha}}\right).$$

**Proof.** First observe that (4.4) implies

$$\log a_{r+1} - \log a_r = \alpha \log r + O(1)$$

and consequently

$$\frac{a_{r+1}a_{m-r-1}}{a_ra_{m-r}} = e^{\alpha \log \frac{r}{m-r} + O(1)}.$$

Hence there exists $\mu > 0$ such that for $\frac{r}{m-r} \leq \mu$

$$\frac{a_{r+1}a_{m-r-1}}{a_ra_{m-r}} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

This directly implies

$$\sum_{1 \leq r \leq \frac{\mu}{1+\mu}m} a_ra_{m-r} \leq 2a_1a_{m-1}$$

and similarly

$$\sum_{\frac{\mu}{1+\mu}m \leq r \leq m-1} a_ra_{m-r} \leq 2a_1a_{m-1}.$$

Next suppose that $\frac{\mu}{1+\mu}m < r < \frac{1}{1+\mu}m$. Then with (4.4) we get the upper bound

$$\log a_r + \log a_{m-r} \leq \alpha m \log m + \beta m + |\gamma|\sqrt{2m} + 2|\delta| \log m + O(1)$$

$$- \alpha \left(\frac{\mu}{1+\mu} \log \frac{1+\mu}{\mu} + \frac{1}{1+\mu} \log(1+\mu)\right) m.$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{\frac{\mu}{1+\mu}m < r < \frac{1}{1+\mu}} a_ra_{m-r} \leq a_{m-1}me^{-\eta m + \alpha \log m + |\gamma|((\sqrt{2}+1)\sqrt{m} + 3|\delta| \log m + O(1)}$$

$$= o(a_{m-1}),$$

where $\eta = \alpha \left(\frac{\mu}{1+\mu} \log \frac{1+\mu}{\mu} + \frac{1}{1+\mu} \log(1+\mu)\right) > 0$. Hence, (4.6) holds for some constant $C > 4$. 


Finally, observe that (4.4) implies
\[
\frac{C^k a_{m-k}}{C^{k-1} a_{m-k+1}} = C e^{-\alpha(m-k) + O(1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}
\]
if \(m - k \geq k_0\) (for some \(k_0\)). Hence,
\[
\sum_{3 \leq k \leq m - k_0} C^{k-1} a_{m-k+1} \leq 2C a_{m-2} = O \left( \frac{a_m}{m^{2\alpha}} \right).
\]
Further we have
\[
\sum_{m-k_0 < k \leq m} C^{k-1} a_{m-k+1} \leq k_0 C^m \max_{1 \leq r \leq k_0} a_r = O(C^m) = o(a_{m-2}).
\]
This proves (4.8).

Finally, it is now easy to derive that
\[
\sum_{r=2}^{m-2} a_r a_{m-r} = O(a_{m-2}) = O \left( \frac{a_m}{m^{2\alpha}} \right)
\]
which implies (4.9) and completes the proof of Lemma 4.3. \(\square\)

It is now easy to complete the proof of Theorem 4.1. \(\square\)

Proof of Theorem 4.1. By definition we have
\[
b_m = a_m + \sum_{k=2}^{m} \frac{1}{k} (-1)^{k-1} A_{m,k}
\]
\[
= a_m - \frac{1}{2} A_{m-2} + \sum_{k=3}^{m} \frac{1}{k} (-1)^{k-1} A_{m,k}
\]
From Lemma 4.3 it directly follows that
\[
\left| \sum_{k=3}^{m} \frac{1}{k} (-1)^{k-1} A_{m,k} \right| \leq \sum_{k=3}^{m} A_{m,k} = O \left( \frac{a_m}{m^{2\alpha}} \right).
\]
By combining this with (4.9) we obtain (4.5). \(\square\)

We finish this section by providing several examples of sequences that satisfy asymptotic relations of the form (4.4) and appear in the sequel of the paper.

Lemma 4.4. We have the following asymptotic relations:
\[
\frac{(2m)!}{m!} = \sqrt{2} 2^{2m} m^m e^{-m} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{24m} + O \left( \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \right),
\]
\[
\frac{(4m)!}{(2m)! \cdot m!} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} 2^{6m} m^{m-\frac{3}{2}} e^{-m} \left( 1 - \frac{5}{48m} + O \left( \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \right).
\]
Proof. We just have to apply Stirling’s formula

\[ n! = n^n e^{-n} \sqrt{2\pi n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{12n} + O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right). \]  

(4.10)

**Lemma 4.5.** Let \( A_m(y) \) be defined by

\[ A_m(y) = \sum_{q \geq 0} \frac{m!}{(m-2q)!q!} y^q. \]  

(4.11)

Then for every positive \( y \) we have asymptotically

\[ A_m(y) = \frac{e^{-1/(8y)}}{\sqrt{2}} (2ym)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{n}{2y}}} \left( 1 + \frac{C_1(y)}{\sqrt{m}} + O \left( \frac{1}{m} \right) \right) \]  

(4.12)

with

\[ C_1(y) = \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{192y^{3/2}} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{8y^{1/2}} \]

and the uniform upper bound

\[ A_m(y) \leq 3 \sqrt{m} (2ym)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{n}{2y}}}. \]  

(4.13)

**Proof.** Let \( f(z) \) be defined by

\[ f(z,y) = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{A_m(y)}{m!} z^m. \]

Then we get

\[ f(z,y) = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{A_m(y)}{m!} z^m = \sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{0 \leq q \leq 2m} \frac{z^m y^q}{(m-2q)!q!} \]

\[ = \sum_{q \geq 0} \frac{y^q z^{2q}}{q!} \sum_{m \geq 2q} \frac{z^{m-2q}}{(m-2q)!} \]

\[ = e^{yz^2 + z}. \]

For every fixed \( y > 0 \) this function is admissible in the sense of Hayman (see [7]). Thus (for every fixed \( y > 0 \)) we have the asymptotic expansion\(^3\)

\[ A_m(y) = m! \frac{f(r_m(y), y)(r_m(y))^{-m}}{\sqrt{2\pi b(r_m(y))}} \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{d(r_m)}{b(r_m)^2} \right) \right) \quad (m \to \infty) \]

(4.14)

\(^3\)In Hayman’s paper [7] only the asymptotic leading term is given. The full asymptotic series expansion (that applies to \( f(z) = e^{yz^2 + z} \)) is given in [6].
where $r_m(y)$ is determined by the equation $a(r_m(y)) = m$ and where

$$a(r) = rf'(r, y)/f(r, y) = r + 2yr^2, \quad b(r) = ra'(r) = r + 4yr^2,$$

and

$$d(r) = r(rb'(r))' = r + 16yr^2.$$ 

Asymptotically we have

$$r_m(y) = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2y}} - \frac{1}{4y} + \frac{1}{32y^2} \sqrt{\frac{2y}{m}} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)$$

and consequently the leading term (4.11) and the second order term $C_1(y)/\sqrt{m}$ follows. Finally, since $d(r_m)/b(r_m)^2 \sim 2/m$, the error term in (4.14) is given by $O(1/m)$ and does not change the second order term.

In order to prove the upper bound we again use $f(z, y)$. If $y$ is positive then $A_m(y)$ is positive, too. Hence, for every $r > 0$ we get the trivial upper bound

$$\frac{A_m(y)}{m!} r_m \leq e^{r + yr^2}.$$ 

In particular, if we set $r = \sqrt{m/(2y)}$ we get

$$A_m(y) \leq m!e^{-\frac{m}{2} \log \frac{2y}{m} + \frac{m}{2} + \sqrt{2y}}.$$ 

Since

$$m! \leq 3m^{m + \frac{1}{2}}e^{-m}$$

we also get (4.13). \(\square\)

With help of this lemma we directly derive the following asymptotic relations.

**Lemma 4.6.** We have the following asymptotic relations:

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} \frac{m!}{(m-2q)!q!} = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} (2m)^{\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\frac{m}{2} + \sqrt{2m}} \left(1 + \frac{5\sqrt{2}}{192\sqrt{m}} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\right),$$

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} \frac{2^q(2m)!}{2^m m!(m-2q)!q!} = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi m}} (2m)^{\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\frac{m}{2} + \sqrt{2m}} \left(1 + \frac{53}{384\sqrt{m}} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\right)$$

and

$$\sum_{q, s \geq 0} \frac{(2m)!}{2^{2q+s}(m-2q)!(2m-2s)!q!s!} = \frac{e^{-\frac{3}{4} \sqrt{2}}}{2\sqrt{2\pi m}} (2m)^{\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\frac{m}{2} + e^{2\sqrt{2m}}\sqrt{2m}} \times \left(1 + \frac{61\sqrt{2}}{96\sqrt{m}} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\right).$$

**Proof.** We just have to apply Lemma 4.5 and Stirling’s formula since

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} \frac{m!}{(m-2q)!q!} = A_m(1),$$

$$\sum_{q \geq 0} \frac{2^q(2m)!}{2^m m!(m-2q)!q!} = \frac{(2m)!}{2^m (m!)^2} A_m(2)$$
and
\[
\sum_{q,s \geq 0} 2^{2q+s} \frac{(2m)!}{(m-2q)!(2m-2s)!q!s!} = \frac{1}{m!} A_m \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) A_{2m} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right).
\]

\[\square\]

5 Asymptotics for Rooted Maps

5.1 Rooted Unbranched Sensed Maps

Recall that a dart of a map is an edge endowed with an orientation and that the edges composed from two darts are complete. Furthermore, an edge with just one underlying dart is called a semiedge. A semiedge joins a vertex to a branch point of index two. A sensed map without semiedges is called unbranched. A sensed map is called rooted if one of the darts is distinguished as a root.

Let \( R^+(m) \) denote the number of rooted unbranched sensed maps with \( m \) edges. In [4] the following identity for generating functions was derived:

\[
\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{R^+(m)}{m} 2^{m-1} u^m = \log \left( \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(2m)!}{m!} u^m \right).
\]

Note that another formula for \( R^+(m) \) was determined earlier by D. M. Jackson and T. I. Visentin [8] and by Arquès and J.-F. Béraud [1].

It is now easy to obtain the following asymptotic expansion.

Proposition 5.1. The numbers \( R^+(m) \) are asymptotically given by

\[
R^+(m) = \frac{(2m)!}{2^{m-1}(m-1)!} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2m} + O \left( \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \right)
\]

\[= 2^{m+\frac{3}{2}} m^{m+1} e^{-m} \left( 1 - \frac{13}{24m} + O \left( \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \right).\]

Thus, (5.2) follows from Lemma 4.4.

Furthermore we have the upper bound

\[
R^+(m) \leq \frac{(2m)!}{2^{m-1}(m-1)!}.
\]

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, the sequence \( a_m = (2m)!/m! \) satisfies an asymptotic relation of the form (4.4) with \( \alpha = 1 \). Hence, by Theorem 4.1 we obtain

\[
\frac{R^+(m)}{m} 2^{m-1} = a_m - a_1 a_{m-1} + O \left( \frac{a_m}{m^2} \right)
\]

\[= \frac{(2m)!}{m!} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2m} + O \left( \frac{1}{m^2} \right) \right).
\]

Thus, (5.2) follows from Lemma 4.4.

The upper bound (5.3) follows from (4.3) since we know a-priori that \( R^+(m) \geq 0 \). \[\square\]
5.2 Rooted orientable maps

Recall that \( R^+(m, q) \) denotes the number of rooted orientable maps with \( m \) darts and \( q \) complete edges. Clearly \( 0 \leq 2q \leq m \), and we have

\[
R^+(2m, m) = R^+(m)
\]

which is asymptotically given by (5.2). With the help of the relation (3.1) and the methods of Section 4 we derive the following asymptotic relations for general \( m \) and \( q \), however the error term is not optimal in the whole range.

Proposition 5.2. The numbers \( R^+(m, q) \) are asymptotically given by

\[
R^+(m, q) = m \frac{m!}{(m-2q)!2^q q!} + O \left( m^{\frac{m}{2} + \frac{3}{4}} e^{-\frac{m}{2} + \sqrt{m}} \right)
\]

and we have the upper bound

\[
R^+(m, q) \leq m \frac{m!}{(m-2q)!2^q q!}.
\]

Furthermore, for every positive real \( y \) we have

\[
\sum_{q \geq 0} R^+(m, q) y^q = m \sum_{q \geq 0} \frac{m!}{(m-2q)!2^q q!} y^q \cdot \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= e^{-\frac{3y}{2}} \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\frac{m}{2} - \frac{m}{2} + \sqrt{y}} \cdot \left( 1 + O \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \right) \right).
\]

Remark 5.3. Note that the asymptotic relation (5.4) is only significant if

\[
\left| q - \frac{m}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2} \right| = O \left( m^{\frac{1}{4}} \right).
\]

If \( q \) is in that range then the order of magnitude of \( R^+(m, q) \) is

\[
m^{\frac{m}{2} + \frac{3}{4}} e^{-\frac{m}{2} + \sqrt{m}}.
\]

This is certainly a range of interest since it covers all large numbers \( R^+(m, q) \). The sum of the remaining \( R^+(m, q) \) can be made arbitrarily small compared to the whole sum choosing the \( O \)-constant in the term \( O(m^{\frac{1}{4}}) \) to be sufficiently large. Nevertheless it is expected that the upper bound (5.5) is actually the asymptotic leading term in a wider range. For example, it matches for \( q = \frac{m}{2} \), see Proposition 5.1.

Proof. The inequality (5.5) follows from the general principle (4.3) since we know that \( R^+(m, q) \geq 0 \). Further, the asymptotic relation (5.6) follows from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.5.

From (3.1) it follows that

\[
\frac{1}{m} R^+(m, q) = \frac{m!}{(m-2q)!2^q q!}
\]

\[
+ [y^q] \sum_{k=2}^{m} \frac{1}{k} (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{r_1 + \cdots + r_k = m, r_j \geq 1} A_{r_1} \left( \frac{y}{2} \right) \cdots A_{r_k} \left( \frac{y}{2} \right).
\]
First observe that
\[
\left| \sum_{k=2}^{m} \frac{1}{k} (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{r_1, \ldots, r_k = m, r_j \geq 1} A_{r_1} \left( \frac{y}{2} \right) \cdots A_{r_k} \left( \frac{y}{2} \right) \right| \leq \\
\sum_{k=2}^{m} \sum_{r_1, \ldots, r_k = m, r_j \geq 1} A_{r_1} \left( \frac{y}{2} \right) \cdots A_{r_k} \left( \frac{y}{2} \right),
\]
where the right hand side has only non-negative coefficients. We now again use the principle that the \(n\)-th coefficient \(a_n\) of a power series \(a(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n x^n\) can be estimated by
\[
a_n \leq r^{-n} a(r) \quad \text{for every } r > 0.
\]
In particular, if we choose \(r = y = 1\) then we get the estimate
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{m} \sum_{r_1, \ldots, r_k = m, r_j \geq 1} A_{r_1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \cdots A_{r_k} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right).
\]
However, it follows from Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.5 that
\[
\sum_{k=2}^{m} \sum_{r_1, \ldots, r_k = m, r_j \geq 1} A_{r_1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \cdots A_{r_k} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) = O \left( \frac{m^{\frac{m-1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{m}{2} + \sqrt{m}}}{\sqrt{m}} \right).
\]
This implies
\[
\frac{1}{m} R^+(m, q) = \frac{m!}{(m - 2q)!2^q q!} + O \left( \frac{m^{\frac{m-1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{m}{2} + \sqrt{m}}}{\sqrt{m}} \right)
\]
and completes the proof of (5.4). \(\Box\)

5.3 Rooted boundary-free maps

Next we consider the numbers \(R(m, q)\) of rooted boundary-free maps with \(m\) darts and \(q\) complete edges. Here we have:

**Proposition 5.4.** The numbers \(R(m, q)\) are asymptotically given by
\[
R(m, q) = \frac{(2m)!}{2^{2m-1}(m-1)!(m-2q)q!} + O \left( 2^m m^{\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\frac{m}{2} + \sqrt{m}} \right) (5.7)
\]
and we have the upper bound
\[
R(m, q) \leq \frac{(2m)!}{2^{2m-1}(m-1)!(m-2q)q!}. \quad (5.8)
\]
Furthermore, for every positive real $y$ we have
\[
\sum_{q \geq 0} R(m, q) y^q = \sum_{q \geq 0} \frac{(2m)!}{2^{2m-1}(m-1)!(m-2q)!q!} y^q \cdot \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\right)\right)
\]
\[
= e^{-\frac{3}{8}\sqrt{2m}} \sqrt{2\pi} (2ym)^{\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{\sqrt{2m}} \cdot \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\right)\right)
\]  \hspace{0.5cm} (5.9)

**Proof.** The proof is exactly the same as that of Proposition 5.2. \hfill \Box

### 5.4 Rooted compact maps

Finally we consider the numbers $R(m, q, s)$ of rooted compact maps with $2m$ flags, $q$ complete edges and $s$ internal diagonals. A diagonal which is not internal lies on the boundary.

**Proposition 5.5.** The numbers $R(m, q, s)$ are bounded by
\[
R(m, q, s) \leq \frac{2m(2m)!}{2^{2q+s}(m-2q)!(2m-2s)!q!s!}
\]  \hspace{0.5cm} (5.10)

Furthermore, the sum $\sum_{q, s} R(m, q, s)$ is asymptotically given by
\[
\sum_{q,s \geq 0} R(m, q, s) = \sum_{q,s \geq 0} \frac{2m(2m)!}{2^{2q+s}(m-2q)!(2m-2s)!q!s!} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2m}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\right)\right)
\]
\[
= e^{-\frac{3}{8}\sqrt{m}} \sqrt{2\pi} (2m)^{\frac{m}{2}} e^{-\frac{m}{2}} e^{2\sqrt{2m}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{35\sqrt{2}}{96\sqrt{m}} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\right).
\]  \hspace{0.5cm} (5.11)

**Proof.** We just apply Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.6 with
\[
a_m = \sum_{q,s \geq 0} \frac{(2m)!}{2^{2q+s}(m-2q)!(2m-2s)!q!s!}.
\]
Note that $a_1 = 2$ and
\[
a_{m-1} = \frac{a_m}{\sqrt{2m}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\right)\right).
\]

### 5.5 Subgroup counting

As already noted map enumeration is closely related with counting (free) subgroups of given index and their conjugacy classes in the universal triangle group $\Delta^+ = \langle x, y | y^2 = 1 \rangle$ and in the extended triangle group $\Delta = \langle x, y, z | x^2 = y^2 = z^2 = (xy)^2 = 1 \rangle$. In
particular, \( R^+(m) \) is the number of free subgroups of rank \( m + 1 \) in \( \Delta^+ \) and \( U(m) \) is the number of conjugacy classes of such subgroups in \( \Delta^+ \).

Next we define \( R(m) \) as the number of rooted closed maps (orientable or not) with \( m \) edges.\(^4\) Then \( R(m) \) is the number of free subgroups rank \( m + 1 \) in \( \Delta \).

In [4] the following identity for generating functions was derived:

\[
\sum_{m \geq 1} R(m) \frac{m^{4m-1}u^m}{m!} = \log \left( \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(4m)!}{(2m)!m!} u^m \right).
\] (5.12)

Similarly to Proposition 5.1 we obtain the following asymptotic result:

**Proposition 5.6.** The numbers \( R(m) \) are asymptotically given by

\[
R(m) = \frac{(4m)!}{4^{2m-1}(2m)!(m-1)!} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{m} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{2^{2m+1}m^{m+\frac{1}{2}}e^{-m}}{(2m)!} \left( 1 + O\left( \frac{1}{m} \right) \right)
\] (5.13)

Furthermore we have the upper bound

\[
R(m) \leq \frac{(4m)!}{4^{2m-1}(2m)!(m-1)!}.
\] (5.14)

### 6 Reflexible Maps

The purpose of this section is to prove the asymptotic expansion (2.2) for \( A(n) \).

**Proof.** We use the explicit representation (3.5) (where \( E(\ell,m) \) is given in (3.6)). The crucial observation is that the term with \( \ell = 1 \) in the explicit representation (3.5) dominates the whole sum, that is, the term

\[
\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{q,s \geq 0} R(n,q,s)
\]

is dominating. By Proposition 5.5 this term is asymptotically given by

\[
\frac{e^{-\frac{3}{4}}}{2\sqrt{2\pi n}} (2n)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{3}{4} e^{2\sqrt{2n}}} \left( 1 - \frac{35\sqrt{2}}{96\sqrt{n}} + O\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right)
\]

Thus, we just have to deal with the terms \( \ell \neq 1 \). Recall that we have the following trivial

---

\(^4\)A map on a compact connected surface without boundary is called closed.
bounds

\[ R(m, q, s) \leq 2m \frac{(2m)!}{2^{q+s}(m-2q)!(2m-2s)!q!s!}, \]

\[ R^+(m, m/2) \leq m \frac{m!}{2^{m/2}(m/2)!} \text{ if } m \equiv 0 \mod 2, \]

\[ R^+(m, q) \leq m \frac{m!}{(m-2q)!2^q!}, \]

\[ R(m, q) \leq 2m \frac{2^{2m}m!(m-2q)!}{(m-2q)!q!}, \]

\[ \varphi_p^{\text{odd}}(\ell) \leq \max\{1, \ell^p\}. \]

Hence, by using the upper bound (4.13) we get

\[
\sum_{q,s \geq 0} R(m, q, s) \varphi_{m+q+s+1}(\ell) \leq m \sum_{q, s \geq 0} \frac{(2m)!}{2^{q+s}(m-2q)!(2m-2s)!q!s!} (\ell^{-m+q+s+1} + 1) \\
= \frac{\ell^{-m+1}}{(m-1)!} A_m \left( \frac{\ell}{4} \right) A_2m \left( \frac{\ell}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{(m-1)!} A_m \left( \frac{1}{4} \right) A_2m \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \\
\leq 3\sqrt{2}m^3 e^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{m}{\sqrt{\ell}} \log(2m\ell) - \frac{m}{\sqrt{\ell}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{m}{\ell}} + 3m^3 e^{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{m}{\sqrt{\ell}} \log(2m) - \frac{m}{\sqrt{\ell}} + 2\sqrt{\frac{m}{\ell}}.
\]

This implies that

\[
\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\ell \mid n, \ell \geq 3 \text{ odd}} \sum_{q, s \geq 0} R \left( \frac{n}{\ell}, q, s \right) \varphi_{m+q+s+1}(\ell) \\
\leq 3\sqrt{2} \sum_{\ell \mid n, \ell \geq 3 \text{ odd}} \left( \frac{n}{\ell} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{\frac{n}{\ell \sqrt{\ell}}} \log(2n) - \frac{n}{\ell} + 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ell}} \\
+ 3 \sum_{\ell \mid n, \ell \geq 3 \text{ odd}} \left( \frac{n}{\ell} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{\frac{n}{\ell \sqrt{\ell}}} \log \frac{2n}{\ell} - \frac{n}{\ell} + 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ell}} \\
= O \left( \sqrt{n} e^{\frac{3}{2}} \log(2n) - \frac{n}{\ell} + 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ell}} \right) + O \left( \sqrt{n} e^{\frac{3}{2}} \log \frac{2n}{\ell} - \frac{n}{\ell} + 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{\ell}} \right)
\]

Similarly we get an upper bound for

\[
\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\ell \mid n, \ell \text{ odd}} R^+ \left( \frac{n}{\ell}, \frac{n}{2\ell} \right) \varphi_{m+1}^{\text{odd}}(\ell) \leq 2\sqrt{2} \sum_{\ell \mid n, \ell \text{ odd}} \frac{\ell}{n} e^{\frac{n}{\ell \sqrt{\ell}}} \log \frac{3}{2} - \frac{n}{\ell} \\
= O \left( \frac{1}{n} e^{\frac{n}{\ell \sqrt{\ell}}} \log \frac{3}{2} - \frac{n}{\ell} \right)
\]
Finally, for even $\ell$ we have
\[
\sum_{q \geq 0} (R(m, q) - R^+(m, q)) \varphi_{q+1}^{\text{odd}}(\ell) \leq \sum_{q \geq 0} R(m, q) \varphi_{q+1}^{\text{odd}}(\ell)
\]
\[
\leq 2m \sum_{q \geq 0} \frac{(2m)!}{2^{2m}m!(m - 2q)!} \ell^{q+1}
\]
\[
= 2m \ell \frac{(2m)!}{2^{2m}(m!)^2} A_m(\ell)
\]
\[
\leq 6m \ell e^{\frac{\pi}{2} \log(m\ell) + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{m}}}
\]
which implies that
\[
\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\ell \mid n, \ell \geq 2 \text{ even}} \sum_{q \geq 0} (R\left(\frac{n}{\ell}, q\right) - R^+\left(\frac{n}{\ell}, q\right)) \varphi_{q+1}^{\text{odd}}(\ell)
\]
\[
\leq 3 \sum_{\ell \mid n, \ell \geq 2 \text{ even}} e^{\frac{\pi}{2} \log(2n) + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{n}}} = O\left(e^{\frac{\pi}{2} \log n + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{n}}}ight)
\]
Of course, this proves (2.2) since the order of magnitude of these three terms is much smaller than that of the leading term.

7 Unrooted Maps

In this final section we provide two proofs for the asymptotic expansion of $U(n)$.

7.1 Permutation counting

In Section 2 it was already indicated that the asymptotic formula for $U(n)$ follows from the fact that for almost all pairs $(\alpha, \rho)$ the automorphism group is trivial. For the reader’s convenience we present as short proof of the following upper bound.

Lemma 1. Let $T_n$ denote the set of pairs $\alpha, \rho \in S_{2n}$ such that $\alpha$ is fixed point free involution and that the group generated by $\alpha, \rho$ is transitive. Then
\[
\#\{ (\alpha, \rho) \in T_n : \exists \pi \in S_{2n}, \pi \neq 1, \pi\alpha = \alpha\pi, \pi\rho = \rho\pi \} = O\left( (1.05)^n n^{-n/3} \right)
\]

Proof. We recall that the automorphism group of transitive pairs $(\alpha, \rho)$ is given by
\[
\{ \pi \in S_{2n} : \pi\alpha = \alpha\pi, \pi\rho = \rho\pi \}.
\]
Hence, the proposed bound estimates the probability that the automorphism group of a (random) transitive pair is non-trivial.

Actually, we can be more precise on non-trivial permutations $\pi$ in such automorphism groups. Since we are only considering transitive pairs, it follows that all such $\pi \neq 1$ consist of independent cycles of an equal length $\ell > 1$. Let $S_{2n}[\ell]$ denote the set of such permutations (if $\ell \mid 2n$) and $S_{2n}^\circ$ the set of fixed point free involutions.
By double counting we obtain the estimate
\[
\# \{ (\alpha, \rho) \in T_n : \exists \pi \in S_{2n}, \pi \neq 1 \pi \alpha = \alpha \pi, \pi \rho = \rho \pi \} \\
\leq \sum_{\ell \geq 2, \ell \mid 2n} \sum_{\pi \in S_{2n}[\ell]} \# \{ \alpha \in S_{2n}^0 : \pi \alpha = \alpha \pi \} \cdot \# \{ \rho \in S_{2n} : \pi \rho = \rho \pi \}
\]
First it is easy to show that (for $\pi \in S_{2n}[\ell]$ and $\rho \in S_{2n}$)
\[
\# \{ \rho \in S_{2n} : \pi \rho = \rho \pi \} = \ell^{2n/\ell} (2n/\ell)!.
\] (7.1)
This follows from the fact that the $\rho$-image of each cycle of $\pi$ is again a cycle of $\pi$ (in the corresponding order). Hence, by constructing such $\rho$ there are $(2n/\ell)!$ possible ways of grouping the cycles (of lengths $\ell$) in pairs, and for each pair there are $\ell$ possibilities to glue them together.

The number of $\alpha \in S_{2n}^0$ that commute with $\pi$ is more difficult to describe. However, if $\ell \geq 3$ we will use the trivial estimate
\[
\# \{ \alpha \in S_{2n}^0 : \pi \alpha = \alpha \pi \} \leq \ell^{2n/\ell} (2n/\ell)!.
\]
Thus, we just have to look at the special case $\ell = 2$. Here we have
\[
\# \{ \alpha \in S_{2n}^0 : \pi \alpha = \alpha \pi \} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{n!}{(n - 2k)!2^k k!} 2^k = A_n(1).
\] (7.2)
This follows from the observation that $\alpha$ maps a 2-cycle from $\pi$ either identically to itself or two different 2-cycles from $\pi$ are mapped to each other. Since $A_n(1) = O((2n)^{n/2} e^{\sqrt{n/2}})$ we thus obtain
\[
\# \{ (\alpha, \rho) \in T_n : \exists \pi \in S_{2n}, \pi \neq 1, \pi \alpha = \alpha \pi, \pi \rho = \rho \pi \} \\
\leq \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!} 2^n n! A_n(1) + \sum_{\ell \geq 3, \ell \mid 2n} \frac{(2n)!}{(2n/\ell)! (2n/\ell)!} \left( \ell^{2n/\ell} (2n/\ell)! \right)^2 \\
= O \left( \frac{(2n)! 3^{2n/3} \Gamma(2n/3 + 1)}{2^n n! (2n)!} \right) \\
= O \left( \frac{(2n)!}{2^n n! (2n)!} (1.05)^n n^{-n/3} \right).
\]
This proves the lemma.

Summing up, Lemma 1 assures that for almost all transitive pairs the isomorphy classes of transitive pairs consist of $(2n)!$ elements. This implies the asymptotic formula for $U(n)$ as explained in Section 2.

### 7.2 Map counting

Finally we present a second proof for the asymptotic formula for $U(n)$ that is based on the explicit representation (3.4). Note that this proof can be extended to a full asymptotic series expansion and is, thus, more precise than the first one.
Proof. Set
\[ S_1 := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell \mid n} \sum_{0 \leq q < \frac{n}{2\ell}} R^+ \left( \frac{n}{\ell}, q \right) 2^q \varphi_{q+1}(\ell') \]
and
\[ S_2 := \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\ell \mid 2n, \ell > 1} R^+ \left( \frac{2n}{\ell}, \frac{n}{\ell} \right) \varphi_{n/\ell+1}(\ell) \]
Then
\[ U(n) = S_1 + S_2 + \frac{R^+(2n, n)}{2n} \]
The crucial observation is that the last term \( R^+(2n, n)/(2n) = R^+(n)/(2n) \) dominates the whole sum. Note that by Proposition 5.1
\[ \frac{R^+(n)}{2n} = \sqrt{2} (2n)^n e^{-n} \left( 1 - \frac{13}{24n} + O \left( \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \right) . \]
Using the trivial bound \( \varphi_p(\ell) \leq \ell^p \) and
\[ R^+(m) \leq (2m)!/(2^{m-1}(m-1)!) \]
we can directly estimate the second sum
\[ S_2 \leq \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{\ell \mid 2n, \ell > 1} \frac{(2n/r)!}{(n/r - 1)!2^{n-1}r^{n+1}} \]
\[ = \sum_{\ell \mid 2n, \ell > 1} \frac{(2n/r)!}{(n/r - 1)!2^{n-1}r^{n+1}} \]
\[ \leq 2 \sum_{\ell \mid 2n, \ell > 1} 2^{n} \left( \frac{n}{r} \right)^{\frac{n}{r}} e^{-\frac{n}{r}} r^{n} \]
\[ = 2 \sum_{\ell \mid 2n, \ell > 1} \left( \frac{2n}{e} \right)^{\frac{n}{r}} \]
\[ = O \left( n^\frac{n}{r} \right) . \]
For the first sum we again use the trivial estimates \( \varphi_p(\ell) \leq \ell^p \) and
\[ R^+(m, q) \leq \frac{m m!}{(m-2q)! 2^q q!} \]
to get
\[ S_1 \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell \mid n} \sum_{0 \leq q < \frac{n}{2\ell}} \frac{n}{r} \left( \frac{n}{r} - 2q \right)!2^q q! r^{q+1} \]
\[ = \sum_{\ell \mid n} A_\frac{n}{r}(2\ell), \]
with \( A_m(y) \) defined in (4.11). By applying the upper bound (4.13) we thus get
\[
S_1 \leq 3\sqrt{n} \sum_{\ell|n} (2n)^{\frac{\ell}{2}} e^{-\frac{n}{2} + \frac{n}{(2\ell^2)}}
\]
\[
\leq 3\sqrt{n} e^{\sqrt{n}/2} \sum_{\ell|n} \left( \frac{2n}{e} \right)^{\frac{\ell}{2}}
\]
\[
= O \left( \sqrt{n} e^{\sqrt{n}/2} \left( 2n \right)^{n/2} \right).
\]

Of course, these bounds for \( S_1 \) and \( S_2 \) are of much smaller order of magnitude than the leading term \( R^+ (n) / (2n) \).
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